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#### Abstract

In this paper, we show a handy approximate approach to provide a lower bound of the Hausdorff dimension of a given subset in $[0,1)$ related to $\beta$-transformation dynamical system. Here approximation means from special class with $\beta$-shift satisfying the specification property or being subshift of finite type to general $\beta>1$. As an application, we obtain the multifractal spectra for the recurrence rate of the first return time of $\beta$-transformation, including the cases returning to the ball and cylinder.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T, d)$ be a metric measure-preserving system (m.m.p.s.), by which we mean that $(X, d)$ is a metric space, $\mathcal{B}$ is a $\sigma$-field containing the Borel $\sigma$-field of $X$ and $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$ is a measure-preserving dynamical system. Under the assumption that $(X, d)$ has a countable base, Poincaré recurrence theorem implies that $\mu$-almost all $x \in X$ is recurrent in the sense

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(T^{n} x, x\right)=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(for example, see [11]). Later, Boshernitzan [4] has improved it by a quantitative result

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{1 / \alpha} d\left(T^{n} x, x\right)<\infty, \quad \mu \text {-almost everywhere (a.e. for short), }
$$

where $\alpha$ is the dimension of the space in some sense.
The above results describe whether or not a point is recurrent and how far the orbit will return to the initial point. Recurrence time is an important aspect used to characterize the behaviors of orbits in

[^0]dynamical systems. Of the research conducted on recurrence time, the first return time of a point has been well studied in the last decade. The first return time of a point $x \in X$ into the set $A$ is defined by
$$
\tau_{A}(x)=\inf \left\{k \in \mathbb{N}: T^{k} x \in A\right\}
$$

Ornstein and Weiss [21] proved that for a finite partition $\xi$ of $X$, if there exists a $T$-invariant ergodic Borel probability measure $\mu$, then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \tau_{\xi_{n}(x)}(x)}{n}=h_{\mu}(\xi), \quad \mu \text {-а.е. }
$$

where $\xi_{n}(x)$ is the intersection of $\xi, T^{-1}(\xi), \cdots, T^{-n+1}(\xi)$ which contains $x$, and $h_{\mu}(\xi)$ denotes the measuretheoretic entropy of $T$ with respect to the partition $\xi$. Feng and Wu [10] considered the recurrence set of the one-sided shift space on $m$ symbols $\left(\{0,1, \ldots, m-1\}^{\mathbb{N}}, \sigma\right)$, where the partition $\xi$ is the cylinders sets $\{[0],[1], \ldots,[m-1]\}$. They proved that the set

$$
\left\{x \in\{0,1, \ldots, m-1\}^{\mathbb{N}}: \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \tau_{\xi_{n}(x)}(x)}{n}=\alpha, \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \tau_{\xi_{n}(x)}(x)}{n}=\gamma\right\}
$$

has Hausdorff dimension one for any $0 \leq \alpha \leq \gamma \leq+\infty$ (see also [26]). Lau and Shu [15] extended this result to the dynamical systems with specification property by considering the topological entropy instead of Hausdorff dimension. Barreira and Saussol [2] replaced the cylinders $\xi_{n}(x)$ with the balls $B(x, r)$ according to quantity

$$
\tau_{r}(x)=\inf \left\{n \geq 1: T^{n} x \in B(x, r)\right\}
$$

and defined the lower and upper recurrence rates of $x$ by

$$
\underline{R}(x)=\liminf _{r \rightarrow 0} R_{r}(x), \quad \bar{R}(x)=\underset{r \rightarrow 0}{\limsup } R_{r}(x),
$$

where $R_{r}(x)=\frac{\log \tau_{r}(x)}{-\log r}$. They proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{R}(x)=\underline{d}_{\mu}(x), \quad \bar{R}(x)=\bar{d}_{\mu}(x), \quad \mu \text {-a.e. } \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the conditions that $\mu$ has a so-called long return time (see [2]) and $\underline{d}_{\mu}(x)>0$ for $\mu$-a.e. $x$, where $\underline{d}_{\mu}(x), \bar{d}_{\mu}(x)$ are the lower and upper pointwise dimensions of $\mu$ at a point $x \in X$ respectively. A simple consequence of this result is a reformulation of Boshernitzan's theory by noting that

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{1 / \alpha} d\left(T^{n} x, x\right)=0
$$

holds for all $\alpha>\underline{d}_{\mu}(x)$. Many researchers have studied the problem when the formulation (1.2) holds from many different viewpoints. For example, Saussol [25, Theorem 3] proved that formulation (1.2) holds if the transformation $T$ is piecewise Lipschitz with some condition and the decay of the correlation is super-polynomial.

Let $A\left(R_{r}(x)\right)$ be the set of the accumulation points of $R_{r}(x)$ as $r \rightarrow 0$ and $J$ a compact sub-interval of $(0,+\infty)$. Olsen [20] studied the following set

$$
G \cap\left\{x \in K: A\left(R_{r}(x)\right)=J\right\}
$$

for the self-conformal set (satisfying a certain separation condition) $K$ with the natural self-map induced by the shift, where $G$ is an open set with $G \cap K \neq \emptyset$. He proved that such a set shares the same Hausdorff dimension as $K$. This result can be applied to the case of $N$-adic transformation with $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

In this investigation we consider the similar problem for the $\beta$-transformation $T_{\beta}$ with any $\beta>1$, which includes the cases of full-shift $(\beta=N)$, subshift of finite type, and cases with, but not limited to, specification condition. We use the notation $\tau_{r}^{\beta}(x), R_{r}^{\beta}(x), \underline{R}^{\beta}(x), \bar{R}^{\beta}(x)$ to emphasize the dynamical system $\left([0,1), T_{\beta}\right)$. Denote by $\mu_{\beta}$ the $T_{\beta}$-invariant measure equivalent with the Lebesgue measure $\mathcal{L}$.

Firstly, we prove the following.
Proposition 1.1. The set $A\left(R_{r}^{\beta}(x)\right)$ is a closed interval for any $x \in[0,1)$.
Proof. When $\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} R_{r}^{\beta}(x)$ exists, the accumulation set just contains one point and then the claim holds. Now we consider the case that such limit does not exist, say $a:=\liminf _{r \rightarrow 0} R_{r}^{\beta}(x)<\limsup _{r \rightarrow 0} R_{r}^{\beta}(x):=b$.

For any $a<c<b$, fix arbitrary small $\delta>0$, choose a decreasing sequence $\left\{r_{k}\right\}$ tending to zero as $k \rightarrow \infty$ such that $R_{r_{k}}^{\beta}(x) \leq c \leq R_{(1+\delta) r_{k}}^{\beta}(x)$. Such sequence can be found since $a<b$. Noting that $\tau_{(1+\delta) r_{k}}^{\beta}(x) \leq \tau_{r_{k}}^{\beta}(x)$, we know that $R_{(1+\delta) r_{k}}^{\beta}(x) \leq R_{r_{k}}^{\beta}(x)\left(1+\frac{\log (1+\delta)}{\log r_{k}}\right)$. Therefore,

$$
R_{r_{k}}^{\beta}(x) \leq c \leq R_{(1+\delta) r_{k}}^{\beta}(x) \leq R_{r_{k}}^{\beta}(x)\left(1+\frac{\log (1+\delta)}{\log r_{k}}\right),
$$

which implies $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} R_{r_{k}}^{\beta}(x)=c$. Thus we get the desired.
It is known that the dynamical system $\left([0,1), T_{\beta}\right)$ satisfies the conditions of metric theorem (Theorem 3) in [25] by noting that the measure $\mu_{\beta}$ is exponentially mixing (see [23]). Applying this metric result and noting that $\underline{d}_{\mu_{\beta}}(x)=\bar{d}_{\mu_{\beta}}(x)=1$ for $\mathcal{L}$-almost every $x \in[0,1)$, we obtain

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} R_{r}^{\beta}(x)=1
$$

for $\mathcal{L}$-almost every $x \in[0,1)$.
Theorem 1.1. Let $\beta>1$ be any real number and $J$ a closed interval in $[0,+\infty]$. Denote

$$
G_{J}^{\beta}=\left\{x \in[0,1): A\left(R_{r}^{\beta}(x)\right)=J\right\} .
$$

Then

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}} G_{J}^{\beta}=1,
$$

where $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}}$ denotes the Hausdorff dimension.
Remark 1. Due to Proposition 1.1, Theorem 1.1 is equivalent to say $\operatorname{dim}_{H} G_{\alpha, \gamma}^{\beta}=1$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\alpha, \gamma}^{\beta}=\left\{x \in[0,1): \liminf _{r \rightarrow 0} R_{r}^{\beta}(x)=\alpha, \limsup _{r \rightarrow 0} R_{r}^{\beta}(x)=\gamma\right\} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $0 \leq \alpha \leq \gamma \leq+\infty$.
Choose $\alpha=\gamma$ in (1.3), then we have the following.

Corollary 1. Let $\beta>1$ be a real number and $0 \leq \alpha \leq+\infty$. Denote

$$
G_{\alpha}^{\beta}=\left\{x \in[0,1): \lim _{r \rightarrow 0} R_{r}^{\beta}(x)=\alpha\right\} .
$$

Then $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}} G_{\alpha}^{\beta}=1$.
Now we turn to consider the first return time of the point to the cylinders containing itself. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in[0,1)$, define

$$
\tau_{n}^{\beta}(x)=\inf \left\{m \geq 1: T_{\beta}^{m} x \in I_{n}(x)\right\}
$$

where $I_{n}(x)$ is the cylinder of $n$ containing $x$. Let $R_{n}^{\beta}(x)=\frac{\log \tau_{n}^{\beta}(x)}{n}$. Denoted by $A\left(R_{n}^{\beta}(x)\right)$ the set of all accumulation points of $R_{n}^{\beta}(x)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Similarly with Proposition 1.1 and Theorem 1.1, we can prove the following proposition and theorem.

Proposition 1.2. The set $A\left(R_{n}^{\beta}(x)\right)$ is a closed interval for any $x \in[0,1)$.
Theorem 1.2. Let $\beta>1$ be any real number and $J$ a closed interval in $[0,+\infty]$. Denote

$$
E_{J}^{\beta}=\left\{x \in[0,1): A\left(R_{n}^{\beta}(x)\right)=J\right\} .
$$

Then

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}} E_{J}^{\beta}=1
$$

The paper is organized as follows. Definitions and known results of $\beta$-transformations, as well as Hausdorff dimensions and measures, are given in Section 2. In Section 3, we provide a kind of approximation method from the specification case to the general case followed by a detailed proof for Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.1 in Section 4.

## 2. Preliminaries

### 2.1. Basic notions and notation for $\beta$-transformations

Rényi [24] introduced the $\beta$-expansions of real numbers in 1957, where $1<\beta \in \mathbb{R}$. More specifically stated, the $\beta$-expansion of $x \in[0,1)$ is the following

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_{n}(x, \beta)}{\beta^{n}}, \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{1}(x, \beta)=[\beta x],[x]$ is the integer part of $x$ and $\varepsilon_{n}(x, \beta)=\varepsilon_{1}\left(T_{\beta}^{n-1}(x), \beta\right)$ for all $n \geq 2$. Here $T_{\beta}$ is the $\beta$-transformation on the unit interval $[0,1)$ defined as

$$
T_{\beta}(x)=\beta x-[\beta x] .
$$

The numbers $\varepsilon_{1}(x, \beta), \varepsilon_{2}(x, \beta), \ldots, \varepsilon_{n}(x, \beta), \ldots$ are the $\beta$-digits of the $\beta$-expansion of $x$ and this sequence is denoted by $\varepsilon(x, \beta)$, that is,

$$
\varepsilon(x, \beta)=\left(\varepsilon_{1}(x, \beta), \varepsilon_{2}(x, \beta), \ldots, \varepsilon_{n}(x, \beta), \ldots\right)
$$

Sometimes we write $\varepsilon_{n}(x)$ instead of $\varepsilon_{n}(x, \beta)$ if there is no confusion. It is well known that the Lebesgue measure is $T_{\beta}$-invariant and ergodic when $\beta$ is an integer. When $\beta \notin \mathbb{N}$, Rényi [24] proved that there exists a unique invariant measure $\mu_{\beta}$ which is equivalent to the Lebesgue measure (the density formula was given by Gel'fond [12] and Parry [22] independently). Furthermore, the $\beta$-transformation is ergodic and strong mixing with respect to $\mu_{\beta}$ (see Fan et al. [8], Philipp [23] and Rényi [24]).

From the definition of $\beta$-digit $\left\{\varepsilon_{n}(\cdot, \beta)\right\}$, we know that the set of possible values of $\beta$-digits is $\mathcal{A}_{\beta}=$ $\{0,1, \ldots, \beta-1\}$ when $\beta$ is an integer, otherwise, $\mathcal{A}_{\beta}=\{0,1, \ldots,[\beta]\}$. Let $\left(\mathcal{A}_{\beta}^{\mathbb{N}}, \sigma\right)$ be the symbolic dynamics with $\sigma$ the shift transformation on $\mathcal{A}_{\beta}^{\mathbb{N}}$. For any words $u, v$ in the symbolic space, $u v$ denotes the concatenation of $u$ and $v$. Denote $\left.w\right|_{n}$ as the prefix of the sequence $w \in \mathcal{A}_{\beta}^{\mathbb{N}}$ with length $n$. The finite word $u^{n}(n \in \mathbb{N})$ and sequence $u^{\infty}$ mean $\underbrace{u u \cdots u}_{n}$ and $u u \cdots u \cdots$ respectively. We denote by $\Sigma_{\beta}$ the set of the admissible sequences in $\mathcal{A}_{\beta}^{\mathbb{N}}$, that is,

$$
\Sigma_{\beta}=\left\{w \in \mathcal{A}_{\beta}^{\mathbb{N}}: \text { there exists some } x \in[0,1) \text { such that } \varepsilon(x, \beta)=w\right\} .
$$

Let $\Sigma_{\beta}^{n}$ be the set of admissible words of length $n$, that is,

$$
\Sigma_{\beta}^{n}=\left\{w \in \mathcal{A}_{\beta}^{n}: \text { there exists some } x \in[0,1) \text { such that }\left.\varepsilon(x, \beta)\right|_{n}=w\right\} .
$$

When $\beta$ is an integer, $\Sigma_{\beta}$ is simply $\mathcal{A}_{\beta}^{\mathbb{N}}$ (or more precisely $\mathcal{A}_{\beta}^{\mathbb{N}}=S_{\beta}$ defined below); when $\beta$ is not an integer, $\Sigma_{\beta}$ was characterized by Parry [22] (see Theorem 2.1 below) by the $\beta$-expansion of the number 1, denoted by $\varepsilon(1, \beta)$, which can be obtained in a similar manner as the $\beta$-expansion of numbers in $[0,1)$. We say that $\varepsilon(1, \beta)$ is infinite if there are infinitely many non-zero elements in the sequence $\varepsilon(1, \beta)$, otherwise, it is said to be finite. For finite case, i.e., $\varepsilon(1, \beta)=\left(\varepsilon_{1}(1), \cdots, \varepsilon_{n}(1), 0^{\infty}\right)$ with $\varepsilon_{n}(1) \neq 0$ for some $n \geq 1$, we take $\varepsilon^{*}(1, \beta)=\left(\varepsilon_{1}(1), \varepsilon_{2}(1), \cdots, \varepsilon_{n-1}(1),\left(\varepsilon_{n}(1)-1\right)\right)^{\infty}$ as the infinite expansion of 1 . We will still write $\varepsilon^{*}(1, \beta)$ instead of $\varepsilon(1, \beta)$ for infinite cases for the sake of simplicity so that there is no ambiguity in the rest of this paper. To state the following theorem, we give two notations $\prec$ and $\preceq$, the lexicographical orders on $\mathcal{A}_{\beta}^{\mathbb{N}}$. That is, let $w, w^{\prime} \in \mathcal{A}_{\beta}^{\mathbb{N}}$, then $w \prec w^{\prime}$ means that there exists $n \geq 1$ such that $w_{n}<w_{n}^{\prime}$ and $w_{j}=w_{j}^{\prime}$ for all $j<n$, and $w \preceq w^{\prime}$ means that $w \prec w^{\prime}$ or $w=w^{\prime}$.

Theorem 2.1. (See [22].) Let $\beta>1$ be a real number and $\varepsilon^{*}(1, \beta)$ the infinite expansion of the number 1 . Then $w \in \Sigma_{\beta}$ if and only if

$$
\sigma^{k}(w) \prec \varepsilon^{*}(1, \beta) \quad \text { for all } k \geq 0 \text {. }
$$

Let $S_{\beta}$ be the closure of the set $\Sigma_{\beta}$. It is well known that $S_{\beta}=\mathcal{A}_{\beta}^{\mathbb{N}}$ when $\beta$ is an integer and otherwise, $\left(S_{\beta},\left.\sigma\right|_{S_{\beta}}\right)$ is a subshift of $\left(\mathcal{A}_{\beta}^{\mathbb{N}}, \sigma\right)$, where $\left.\sigma\right|_{S_{\beta}}$ is the restriction of $\sigma$ to $S_{\beta}$. Theorem 2.1 implies the following characterization of $S_{\beta}$.

Corollary 2. (See [3,16,22].) Let $\beta>1$ be a real number and $\varepsilon^{*}(1, \beta)$ the infinite expansion of the number 1 . Then

$$
S_{\beta}=\left\{w \in \mathcal{A}_{\beta}^{\mathbb{N}}: \sigma^{k} w \preceq \varepsilon^{*}(1, \beta) \text { for all } k \geq 0\right\} .
$$

Proposition 2.1. (See [22].) The function $\beta \mapsto \varepsilon^{*}(1, \beta)$ is increasing with respect to the variable $\beta>1$. Therefore, if $1<\beta_{1}<\beta_{2}$, then

$$
\Sigma_{\beta_{1}} \subset \Sigma_{\beta_{2}}, \quad \Sigma_{\beta_{1}}^{n} \subset \Sigma_{\beta_{2}}^{n} \quad(\text { for all } n \geq 1) \quad \text { and } \quad S_{\beta_{1}} \subset S_{\beta_{2}}
$$

Topological entropy of $T_{\beta}$ and the measure-theoretical entropy of $\mu_{\beta}$ share the same value $\log \beta$, and $\mu_{\beta}$ is the unique measure of maximal entropy (see Dajani and Kraaikamp [6], Hofbauer [13], Ito and Takahashi [14]). In 1989, Blanchard [3] outlined a classification for all numbers $\beta>1$ according to the topological properties of $S_{\beta}$, furthermore, the Lebesgue measures and Hausdorff dimensions of all classes were calculated by Schmeling [27]. Recently, Li and Wu [17] provided another classification by the quantity $\ell_{n}(\beta)$, which is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell_{n}(\beta)=\sup \left\{k \geq 0: \varepsilon_{n+j}^{*}(1, \beta)=0 \text { for all } 1 \leq j \leq k\right\} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n \geq 0$. Let

$$
A_{0}=\left\{\beta \in(1,+\infty): \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \ell_{n}(\beta)<\infty, \text { i.e., }\left\{\ell_{n}(\beta)\right\} \text { is bounded }\right\}
$$

and $A_{1}=(1,+\infty) \backslash A_{0}$. The key function $\ell_{n}(\beta)$ states the maximal length of the string of 0 's following $\varepsilon_{n}(1, \beta)$ in $\varepsilon(1, \beta)$. All $\beta$ 's such that $S_{\beta}$ is a subshift of finite type are contained in $A_{0}$, and moreover, $\beta \in A_{0}$ if and only if $S_{\beta}$ satisfies the specification property. Buzzi [5] proved that the set of $\beta>1$ such that the map $T_{\beta}$ has the specification property is of zero Lebesgue measure. It is known that the set $A_{0}$ has full Hausdorff dimension (see [27]) and is dense in $(1, \infty)$ (see [22]).

Definition 2.1. For any $w \in \Sigma_{\beta}^{n}$, we call

$$
I_{n}(w)=\left\{x \in[0,1): \varepsilon_{1}(x)=w_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}(x)=w_{2}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n}(x)=w_{n}\right\}
$$

a cylinder of order $n$. It is a left-closed and right-open interval. Furthermore, if $\left|I_{n}(w)\right|=\beta^{-n}$, we say $I_{n}(w)$ is full or $w$ is full.

The full cylinder $I_{n}(w)$ means that any admissible word can be concatenated following $w$ (see also [9]). The following lemma from [17] describing a way to get full cylinders, will be used to prove Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2 below.

Lemma 2.1. (See [17].) Let $\beta>1$ be a real number and $\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2} \cdots \varepsilon_{n}$ an admissible word. Denote $M_{n}(\beta)=$ $\max _{1 \leq k \leq n}\left\{\ell_{k}(\beta)\right\}$, then for any $m>M_{n}(\beta)$, the cylinder

$$
I_{n+m}(\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}, \cdots, \varepsilon_{n}, \underbrace{0, \cdots, 0}_{m})
$$

is a full cylinder of order $n+m$ and its length equals $\beta^{-(n+m)}$.
It is simple to deduce that $\left|I_{n}(w)\right| \leq \beta^{-n}$ for any $w \in \Sigma_{\beta}^{n}$, where $|\cdot|$ denotes the length of an interval. The following proposition characterizes the sizes of cylinders by the classification in [17].

Proposition 2.2. (See [17].) $\beta \in A_{0}$ if and only if there exists a constant $C$ such that for all $x \in[0,1)$ and $n \geq 1$,

$$
C \beta^{-n} \leq\left|I_{n}(x)\right| \leq \beta^{-n} .
$$

Define a projection function $\pi_{\beta}$ from $S_{\beta}$ to $[0,1]$ as the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{\beta}(w)=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{w_{i}}{\beta^{i}} \quad \text { where } w=\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{i}, \ldots\right) \in S_{\beta} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\pi_{\beta}$ is one-to-one except at the countable many points for which the $\beta$-expansions are finite and the restriction of $\pi_{\beta}$ to which is two-to-one. It is easy to know that $\pi_{\beta}$ is continuous and $\pi_{\beta} \circ \sigma=T_{\beta} \circ \pi_{\beta}$.

### 2.2. Hausdorff dimensions and measures

Let us recall the definitions of both the Hausdorff measures and dimensions, as well as a useful mass distribution principle which will be used later. A finite or countable collection of subsets $\left\{U_{i}\right\}$ of $\mathbb{R}$ is called a $\delta$-cover of a set $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ if $\left|U_{i}\right|<\delta$ for all $i$ and $E \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} U_{i}$. Let $E$ be a subset of $\mathbb{R}$ and $s \geq 0$. For all $\delta>0$, we define

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\delta}^{s}(E)=\inf \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left|U_{i}\right|^{s}:\left\{U_{i}\right\} \text { is a } \delta \text {-cover of } E\right\} .
$$

The $s$-dimensional Hausdorff measure of $E$ is defined as

$$
\mathcal{H}^{s}(E)=\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{H}_{\delta}^{s}(E)
$$

We know that there exists a critical point $s_{0}$ such that $\mathcal{H}^{s}(E)=\infty$ if $s<s_{0}$ and $\mathcal{H}^{s}(E)=0$ if $s>s_{0}$. This point is called the Hausdorff dimension of $E$, denoted by $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}} E$, that is,

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}} E=\inf \left\{s: \mathcal{H}^{s}(E)=0\right\}=\sup \left\{s: \mathcal{H}^{s}(E)=\infty\right\} .
$$

The following mass distribution principle is usually used to estimate a lower bound for the Hausdorff dimension of a set. We refer to Falconer [7] and Mattila [18] for further properties of Hausdorff dimension.

Theorem 2.2 (Mass distribution principle). Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $\mu$ be a finite measure with $\mu(E)>0$. Suppose that there exist $s \geq 0, C>0$ and $\delta>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(U) \leq C|U|^{s} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all sets $U$ with $|U| \leq \delta$, where $|U|$ denotes the diameter of the set $U$. Then

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{H} E \geq s
$$

Remark 2. In (2.7), we can replace the set $U$ by any ball $B(x, r)$ of radius $r$ centered at $x$ with $r$ which is sufficiently small.

## 3. Approximation method for the $\beta$-shift

Let $1<\beta^{\prime}<\beta$. Since $\Sigma_{\beta^{\prime}} \subset \Sigma_{\beta}$, we know that $H_{\beta}^{\beta^{\prime}}:=\pi_{\beta}\left(\Sigma_{\beta^{\prime}}\right)$ is a Cantor set of $\pi_{\beta}\left(\Sigma_{\beta}\right)=[0,1)$. Let $m \geq 1$ and denote

$$
F_{m}^{\beta}=\left\{x \in[0,1): 0^{m} \notin \varepsilon(x, \beta)\right\},
$$

where $0^{m} \notin \varepsilon(x, \beta)$ means that the word $0^{m}$ does not appear in $\varepsilon(x, \beta)$. Sometimes we use the notations $I_{n}^{\beta}(x)$ and $I_{n}^{\beta^{\prime}}(x)$ to distinguish the cylinders of $n$ containing $x$ w.r.t. $\beta$-expansion and $\beta^{\prime}$-expansion respectively.

## Remark 3.

$$
x \in H_{\beta}^{\beta^{\prime}} \Longleftrightarrow \varepsilon(x, \beta) \in \Sigma_{\beta^{\prime}} .
$$

Define the function $h: H_{\beta}^{\beta^{\prime}} \rightarrow[0,1)$ as

$$
h(x)=\pi_{\beta^{\prime}}(\varepsilon(x, \beta))
$$

Theorem 3.1. (1) For any $x \in H_{\beta}^{\beta^{\prime}}$, we have

$$
\varepsilon\left(h(x), \beta^{\prime}\right)=\varepsilon(x, \beta) .
$$

(2) The function $h$ is bijective and strictly increasing on $H_{\beta}^{\beta^{\prime}}$.
(3) The function $h$ is continuous on $H_{\beta}^{\beta^{\prime}}$.
(4) If additionally assume $\beta^{\prime} \in A_{0}$ with $M=\max \left\{\ell_{n}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right): n \geq 1\right\}$, then $h$ is Hölder continuous on $H_{\beta}^{\beta^{\prime}}$, moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|h(x)-h(y)| \leq 2 \beta^{M+1}|x-y|^{\frac{\log \beta^{\prime}}{\log \beta}} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $x, y \in H_{\beta}^{\beta^{\prime}}$.
(5) If additionally assume $\beta^{\prime} \in A_{0}$ with $M=\max \left\{\ell_{n}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right): n \geq 1\right\}$ and $m>M$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|h(x)-h(y)| \geq \beta^{\prime-(m+1)}|x-y|^{\frac{\log \beta^{\prime}}{\log \beta}} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $x, y \in H_{\beta}^{\beta^{\prime}} \cap F_{m}^{\beta}$.
Proof. (1) It is clear from the definitions of $H_{\beta}^{\beta^{\prime}}$ and $h$.
(2) Suppose $h(x)=h(y)$; by (1), we have $\varepsilon(x, \beta)=\varepsilon\left(h(x), \beta^{\prime}\right)=\varepsilon\left(h(y), \beta^{\prime}\right)=\varepsilon(y, \beta)$ which implies $x=y$. That is, $h$ is injective. For any $z \in[0,1)$, take $x=\pi_{\beta}\left(\varepsilon\left(z, \beta^{\prime}\right)\right) \in H_{\beta}^{\beta^{\prime}}$. It is easy to check that $h(x)=z$, that is, $h$ is surjective.

For any $x<y$, we have $\varepsilon(x, \beta) \prec \varepsilon(y, \beta)$. Thus $h(x)<h(y)$ since $\pi_{\beta^{\prime}}$ is strictly increasing on $\Sigma_{\beta^{\prime}}$.
(3) Let $x \in H_{\beta}^{\beta^{\prime}}$. We will prove that $h$ is continuous at $x$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\substack{y \rightarrow x, y \in H_{\beta}^{\beta^{\prime}}}} h(y)=h(x) . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\varepsilon(x, \beta)$ is infinite, then there exist infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $y \in I_{n}^{\beta}(x)$, that is, $\left.\varepsilon(y, \beta)\right|_{n}=\left.\varepsilon(x, \beta)\right|_{n}$. By (1), we have $\left.\varepsilon\left(h(y), \beta^{\prime}\right)\right|_{n}=\left.\varepsilon\left(h(x), \beta^{\prime}\right)\right|_{n}$, that is, $h(y) \in I_{n}^{\beta^{\prime}}(h(x))$, which implies (3.10) holds. If $\varepsilon(x, \beta)$ is finite, that is, $x$ is the endpoint of some cylinders, note that $H_{\beta}^{\beta^{\prime}}$ is a Cantor set, we know that $y$ cannot approach $x$ from left. Then $y \rightarrow x$ means that $y$ tends to $x$ from right. Since the cylinder $I_{n}(x)$ is left-closed and right-open, we have that $y \in I_{n}^{\beta}(x)$ for infinitely many $n$. Thus (3.10) holds similarly with the infinite case.
(4) Without loss of generality, we assume $x>y$ since it is similar for the case $x<y$ and (3.8) holds trivially if $x=y$. Let $n \geq 1$ be the smallest integer such that $\varepsilon_{n}(x, \beta)>\varepsilon_{n}(y, \beta)$. We divide the left proof to two cases according to $\varepsilon_{n}(x, \beta)=\varepsilon_{n}(y, \beta)+1$ or not.

Case I: $\varepsilon_{n}(x, \beta)>\varepsilon_{n}(y, \beta)+1$. By (1) and $2 \leq \varepsilon_{n}(x, \beta)-\varepsilon_{n}(y, \beta) \leq \beta^{\prime}$, we have

$$
|h(x)-h(y)|=\left(\varepsilon_{n}(x, \beta)-\varepsilon_{n}(y, \beta)\right) \beta^{\prime-n}+\left(T_{\beta^{\prime}}^{n} h(x)-T_{\beta^{\prime}}^{n} h(y)\right) \beta^{\prime-n} \leq\left(\beta^{\prime}+1\right) \beta^{\prime-n}
$$

and

$$
|x-y|=\left(\varepsilon_{n}(x, \beta)-\varepsilon_{n}(y, \beta)\right) \beta^{-n}+\left(T_{\beta}^{n} x-T_{\beta}^{n} y\right) \beta^{-n} \geq \beta^{-n} .
$$

Therefore,

$$
|h(x)-h(y)| \leq\left(\beta^{\prime}+1\right)|x-y|^{\frac{\log \beta^{\prime}}{\log \beta}}
$$

Case II: $\varepsilon_{n}(x, \beta)=\varepsilon_{n}(y, \beta)+1$. Denote

$$
j=\min \left\{k \geq 1: \varepsilon_{n+1}(x, \beta) \cdots \varepsilon_{n+k}(x, \beta) \neq 0^{k} \text { or } \varepsilon_{n+1}(y, \beta) \cdots \varepsilon_{n+k}(y, \beta) \neq\left.\varepsilon\left(1, \beta^{\prime}\right)\right|_{k}\right\} .
$$

By the definition of $j$, there is at least one other cylinder, denoted by $I_{n+j}^{\beta}(w)$, between $I_{n+j}^{\beta}(x)$ and $I_{n+j}^{\beta}(y)$. Since $I_{n+j+M+1}^{\beta^{\prime}}\left(w 0^{M+1}\right)$ is full for $\Sigma_{\beta^{\prime}}$, we know that the cylinder $I_{n+j+M+1}^{\beta}\left(w 0^{M+1}\right)$ is full for $\Sigma_{\beta}$. It implies

$$
|x-y| \geq\left|I_{n+j+M+1}^{\beta}\left(w 0^{M+1}\right)\right|=\beta^{-(n+j+M+1)} .
$$

By (1) and the definition of $j$, the cylinders $I_{n+j-1}^{\beta^{\prime}}(h(y))$ and $I_{n+j-1}^{\beta^{\prime}}(h(x))$ are consecutive in $\Sigma_{\beta^{\prime}}^{n+j-1}$. Then

$$
|h(x)-h(y)| \leq 2 \beta^{\prime-(n+j-1)} \leq 2 \beta^{\prime M+2}|x-y|^{\frac{\log \beta^{\prime}}{\log \beta}}
$$

(5) Let $n$ be the smallest integer such that $\varepsilon_{n}(x, \beta) \neq \varepsilon_{n}(y, \beta)$, also that for $\varepsilon_{n}\left(h(x), \beta^{\prime}\right) \neq \varepsilon_{n}\left(h(y), \beta^{\prime}\right)$ by (1). Then $x, y \in I_{n-1}^{\beta}(x)=I_{n-1}^{\beta}(y)$, and thus

$$
|x-y| \leq \beta^{-(n-1)}
$$

We assume, without loss of generality, $x>y$, which indicates $h(x)>h(y)$ by (2). Since $x \in F_{m}^{\beta}$, we know that $h(x) \in F_{m}^{\beta^{\prime}}$. So $h(x)$ and $h(y)$ lie on the two sides of the cylinder $I_{n+m}^{\beta^{\prime}}\left(\varepsilon\left(h(x), \beta^{\prime}\right) \mid n, 0^{m}\right)$, which is full since $m>M$. Thus

$$
|h(x)-h(y)| \geq \beta^{\prime-(n+m)} \geq \beta^{\prime-(m+1)}|x-y|^{\frac{\log \beta^{\prime}}{\log \beta}}
$$

## Corollary 3.

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{H} H_{\beta}^{\beta^{\prime}}=\frac{\log \beta^{\prime}}{\log \beta} .
$$

Proof. On the one hand, by Theorem 3.1(4), we have

$$
1=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}} h\left(H_{\beta}^{\beta^{\prime}}\right) \leq \frac{\log \beta}{\log \beta^{\prime}} \operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}} H_{\beta}^{\beta^{\prime}} .
$$

Then $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}} H_{\beta}^{\beta^{\prime}} \geq \frac{\log \beta^{\prime}}{\log \beta}$.
On the other hand, by the relationship between Hausdorff dimension and topological entropy in symbolic space $S_{\beta}$, we know that $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}} \Sigma_{\beta^{\prime}}=\frac{\log \beta^{\prime}}{\log \beta}$. Since the projection $\pi_{\beta}: S_{\beta} \rightarrow[0,1)$ is Lipschitz, that is, $\left|\pi_{\beta}(w)-\pi_{\beta}\left(w^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq d\left(w, w^{\prime}\right)$ for any $w, w^{\prime} \in S_{\beta}$, where $d\left(w, w^{\prime}\right)=\beta^{-\inf \left\{k \geq 0: w_{k+1} \neq w_{k+1}^{\prime}\right\} \text {, we have }}$

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}} H_{\beta}^{\beta^{\prime}}=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}} \pi_{\beta}\left(\Sigma_{\beta^{\prime}}\right) \leq \operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}} \Sigma_{\beta^{\prime}}=\frac{\log \beta^{\prime}}{\log \beta}
$$

That is, $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}} H_{\beta}^{\beta^{\prime}} \leq \frac{\log \beta^{\prime}}{\log \beta}$.

Remark 4. (1) From Corollary 3, we know $\lim _{\beta^{\prime} \rightarrow \beta} \operatorname{dim}_{H} H_{\beta}^{\beta^{\prime}}=1$.
(2) Note that

$$
B:=\left\{x \in[0,1): \text { the orbit of } x \text { under } T_{\beta} \text { is not dense in }[0,1]\right\}=\bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} F_{m}^{\beta}
$$

in [16], where the authors proved $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}} B=1$, then $\sup _{m \geq 0} \operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}} F_{m}^{\beta}=1$, that is,

$$
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}} F_{m}^{\beta}=1
$$

The function $h$ induces a method to provide a lower bound of the Hausdorff dimension of a given set $E \subset[0,1)$. Firstly, consider a subset $E \cap H_{\beta}^{\beta^{\prime}} \subset E$ and use the Hölder function $h$ in Theorem 3.1 to transfer it to $h\left(E \cap H_{\beta}^{\beta^{\prime}}\right.$ ), whose dimension may be easier to be calculated by choosing $\beta^{\prime} \in A_{0}$ or $\beta^{\prime}$ satisfying that $S_{\beta^{\prime}}$ is subshift of finite type. Secondly, give a lower bound of $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}} h\left(E \cap H_{\beta}^{\beta^{\prime}}\right)$ and then by the Hölder exponent of $h$ (Theorem 3.1(4)) obtain a lower bound of $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}} E \cap H_{\beta}^{\beta^{\prime}}$, also that of $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}} E$. That is,

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}} E \geq \operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}} E \cap H_{\beta}^{\beta^{\prime}} \geq \frac{\log \beta^{\prime}}{\log \beta} \operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}} h\left(H \cap H_{\beta}^{\beta^{\prime}}\right)
$$

Finally, let $\beta^{\prime}$ approximate to $\beta$.
In the following section, we will apply this approximate method to prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.1

In this section we give a detailed proof for Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.1. First, we obtain several lemmas for $\beta \in A_{0}$ and then go on to prove Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.1 using the approximation method given in last section.

### 4.1. The case of bases in $A_{0}$

Let $\beta \in A_{0}$ and $M_{\beta} \geq \max \left\{\ell_{n}(\beta): n \geq 1\right\}$. Denote

$$
W_{N}=\left\{0^{M_{\beta}} w 0^{M_{\beta}}: w \in \Sigma_{\beta}^{N-2 M_{\beta}}\right\}
$$

where $2 M_{\beta} \leq N \in \mathbb{N}$ and by $W_{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ the set of sequences $u_{1} u_{2} \cdots u_{n} \cdots$ with $u_{n} \in W_{N}$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and put

$$
F_{N, m}^{\beta}=\left\{x \in[0,1): \varepsilon(x, \beta)=\left(0^{M_{\beta}} w_{n} 0^{M_{\beta}}\right)_{n \geq 1} \in W_{N}^{\mathbb{N}}, 0^{m} \notin w_{n} \text { for all } n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}
$$

Lemma 4.1. Let $\beta \in A_{0}$. For any $N>2 M_{\beta}$ and $M_{\beta}<m \leq N-2 M_{\beta}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}}\left(F_{N, m}^{\beta}\right) \geq s_{N, m}^{\beta}:=1-\frac{2 M_{\beta}}{N}-\frac{N-2 M_{\beta}}{m N}\left(1-\frac{\log (\beta-1)}{\log \beta}\right) \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We will show a mass distribution $\mu$ supported on $F_{N, m}^{\beta}$ and then apply the mass distribution principle.
Firstly, we define the measure $\mu$ as a weak limit of a sequence of measures $\left\{\mu_{k}\right\}_{k \geq 1}$ given step by step.

Step I. Define $\mu_{1}\left(I_{1}\left(\varepsilon_{1}\right)\right)=1$ if $\varepsilon_{1}=0$ and otherwise, $\mu_{1}\left(I_{1}\left(\varepsilon_{1}\right)\right)=0$.

Step II. Assuming $\mu_{k-1}$ is well defined, now we define the measure $\mu_{k}$ by the following three cases according to the position $k$. Denote the set

$$
P=\left\{i N+j,(i+1) N-M_{\beta}+j: i=0,1,2, \ldots, j=1,2, \ldots, M_{\beta}\right\} .
$$

Case (i). $k \in P$. Define $\mu_{k}\left(I_{k}\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{k-1}, \varepsilon_{k}\right)\right)=\mu_{k-1}\left(I_{k-1}\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{k-1}\right)\right)$ if $\varepsilon_{k}=0$ and otherwise, $\mu_{k}\left(I_{k}\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{k-1}, \varepsilon_{k}\right)\right)=0$.

Case (ii). $k=i N+M_{\beta}+j$ with $i=0,1,2, \ldots$ and $1 \leq j<m$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{k}\left(I_{k}\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{k-1}, \varepsilon_{k}\right)\right)=\frac{\left|I_{k}\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{k-1}, \varepsilon_{k}\right)\right|}{\left|I_{k-1}\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{k-1}\right)\right|} \mu_{k-1}\left(I_{k-1}\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{k-1}\right)\right) . \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case (iii). $k=i N+M_{\beta}+j$ with $i=0,1,2, \ldots$ and $m \leq j \leq N-2 M_{\beta}$. If $\varepsilon_{1} \cdots \varepsilon_{k-1}$ does not end up with $0^{m-1}$, then $\mu_{k}\left(I_{k}\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{k-1}, \varepsilon_{k}\right)\right)$ is defined by the formula (4.12) and otherwise,

$$
\mu_{k}\left(I_{k}\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{k-1}, \varepsilon_{k}\right)\right)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } \varepsilon_{k}=0, \\ \frac{\left|I_{k}\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{k-1}, \varepsilon_{k}\right)\right|}{\left|I_{k-1}\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{k-1}\right) \backslash I_{k}\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{k-1}, 0\right)\right|} \mu_{k-1}\left(I_{k-1}\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{k-1}\right)\right) & \text { if } \varepsilon_{k} \neq 0 .\end{cases}
$$

Step III. Continuing the procedures in Step II as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain a sequence of measures $\left\{\mu_{k}\right\}_{k \geq 1}$ satisfying the condition

$$
\mu_{k}\left(I_{k}\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{k}\right)\right)=\sum_{\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{k}, \varepsilon_{k+1}\right) \in \Sigma_{\beta}^{k+1}} \mu_{k+1}\left(I_{k+1}\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{k}, \varepsilon_{k+1}\right)\right)
$$

for any $\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{k}\right) \in \Sigma_{\beta}^{k}$ and $k \geq 1$.
Step IV. Denote by $\mu$ a weak limit of the sequence of measures $\left\{\mu_{k}\right\}_{k \geq 1}$.
From the construction of $\mu$, we know that it is supported on $F_{N, m}^{\beta}$.
Secondly, we will prove that the measure $\mu$ satisfies the condition (2.7) for any cylinder and any ball.
Step (a). For any $0^{M_{\beta}} w 0^{M_{\beta}} \in W_{N}$, we claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu\left(I_{N}\left(0^{M_{\beta}} w 0^{M_{\beta}}\right)\right) \leq\left(\frac{\beta}{\beta-1}\right)^{\frac{N-2 M_{\beta}}{m}} \frac{1}{\beta^{N-2 M_{\beta}}} . \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, by Step II Case (i), we know $\mu\left(I_{N}\left(0^{M_{\beta}} w 0^{M_{\beta}}\right)\right)=\mu\left(I_{N-M_{\beta}}\left(0^{M_{\beta}} w\right)\right)$. Let $j\left(0 \leq j \leq \frac{N-2 M_{\beta}}{m}\right)$ be the times that the word $0^{m-1}$ appears in $w$ and

$$
w=\varepsilon_{1} \cdots \varepsilon_{i_{1}} 0^{m-1} \varepsilon_{i_{1}+m} \cdots \varepsilon_{i_{j}} 0^{m-1} \varepsilon_{i_{j}+m} \cdots \varepsilon_{N-2 M_{\beta}} .
$$

That is, $i_{l}(1 \leq l \leq j)$ are just the positions which the word $0^{m-1}$ follows. Therefore, combining Step II Case (ii) and Case (iii) in the construction, $\mu\left(I_{N-M_{\beta}}\left(0^{M_{\beta}} w\right)\right)$ can be written as, in the following paragraph of calculations, we omit the subindex of the orders of the cylinders for simplicity,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\left|I\left(0^{M_{\beta}} \varepsilon_{1} \cdots \varepsilon_{N-2 M_{\beta}}\right)\right|}{\left|I\left(0^{M_{\beta}} \varepsilon_{1} \cdots \varepsilon_{N-2 M_{\beta}-1}\right)\right|} \cdots \frac{\left|I\left(0^{M_{\beta}} \varepsilon_{1} \cdots \varepsilon_{i_{j}+m+1}\right)\right|}{\left|I\left(0^{M_{\beta}} \varepsilon_{1} \cdots \varepsilon_{i_{j}} 0^{m-1} \varepsilon_{i_{j}+m}\right)\right|} \mu\left(I\left(0^{M_{\beta}} \varepsilon_{1} \cdots \varepsilon_{i_{j}} 0^{m-1} \varepsilon_{i_{j}+m}\right)\right) \\
& \quad=\frac{\left|I\left(0^{M_{\beta}} \varepsilon_{1} \cdots \varepsilon_{N-2 M_{\beta}}\right)\right|}{\left|I\left(0^{M_{\beta}} \varepsilon_{1} \cdots \varepsilon_{i_{j}} 0^{m-1} \varepsilon_{i_{j}+m}\right)\right|} \frac{\left|I\left(0^{M_{\beta}} \varepsilon_{1} \cdots \varepsilon_{i_{j}} 0^{m-1} \varepsilon_{i_{j}+m}\right)\right| \cdot \mu\left(I\left(0^{M_{\beta}} \varepsilon_{1} \cdots \varepsilon_{i_{j}} 0^{m-1}\right)\right)}{\left|I\left(0^{M_{\beta}} \varepsilon_{1} \cdots \varepsilon_{i_{j}} 0^{m-1}\right)\right| \backslash\left|I\left(0^{M_{\beta}} \varepsilon_{1} \cdots \varepsilon_{i_{j}} 0^{m-1} 0\right)\right|} \\
& \quad=\left|I\left(0^{M_{\beta}} \varepsilon_{1} \cdots \varepsilon_{N-2 M_{\beta}}\right)\right| \frac{\left|I\left(0^{M_{\beta}} \varepsilon_{1} \cdots \varepsilon_{i_{j}} 0^{m-1}\right)\right|}{\beta^{-\left(M_{\beta}+i_{j}+m-1\right)}-\beta^{-\left(M_{\beta}+i_{j}+m\right)}} \frac{\mu\left(I\left(0^{M_{\beta}} \varepsilon_{1} \cdots \varepsilon_{i_{j}} 0^{m-2}\right)\right)}{\left|I\left(0^{M_{\beta}} \varepsilon_{1} \cdots \varepsilon_{i_{j}} 0^{m-2}\right)\right|},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last equality is from Lemma 2.1 and the definition of the measure $\mu$. Continuing the computation, the quantity above is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\beta}{\beta-1} \frac{\left|I_{N-M_{\beta}}\left(0^{M_{\beta}} \varepsilon_{1} \cdots \varepsilon_{N-2 M_{\beta}}\right)\right|}{\left|I_{M_{\beta}+i_{j}+m-2}\left(0^{M_{\beta}} \varepsilon_{1} \cdots \varepsilon_{i_{j}} 0^{m-2}\right)\right|} \mu\left(I_{M_{\beta}+i_{j}+m-2}\left(0^{M_{\beta}} \varepsilon_{1} \cdots \varepsilon_{i_{j}} 0^{m-2}\right)\right) \\
& \quad=\cdots \\
& \quad=\left(\frac{\beta}{\beta-1}\right)^{j} \frac{\left|I_{N-M_{\beta}}\left(0^{M_{\beta}} \varepsilon_{1} \cdots \varepsilon_{N-2 M_{\beta}}\right)\right|}{\left|I_{M_{\beta}}\left(0^{M_{\beta}}\right)\right|} \mu\left(I_{M_{\beta}}\left(0^{M_{\beta}}\right)\right) \\
& \quad \leq\left(\frac{\beta}{\beta-1}\right)^{\frac{N-2 M_{\beta}}{m}} \frac{1}{\beta^{N-2 M_{\beta}}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last inequality holds because $j \leq \frac{N-2 M_{\beta}}{m},\left|I_{N-M_{\beta}}\left(0^{M_{\beta}} \varepsilon_{1} \cdots \varepsilon_{N-2 M_{\beta}}\right)\right| \leq \beta^{-\left(N-M_{\beta}\right)},\left|I_{M_{\beta}}\left(0^{M_{\beta}}\right)\right|=$ $\beta^{-M_{\beta}}$ and $\mu\left(I_{M_{\beta}}\left(0^{M_{\beta}}\right)\right)=1$. Thus (4.13) holds.

Step (b). We will prove that (2.7) holds for any cylinder $I_{k}\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \cdots, \varepsilon_{k}\right)$. Without loss of generality, assume $\varepsilon_{1} \cdots \varepsilon_{k}$ is the prefix of some word in $F_{N, m}^{\beta}$, otherwise, (2.7) will naturally hold since $\mu\left(I_{k}\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \cdots, \varepsilon_{k}\right)\right)=0$. Noting that $I_{k}\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \cdots, \varepsilon_{k}\right) \subset I_{t_{k}}\left(0^{M_{\beta}} w_{1} 0^{M_{\beta}} \cdots 0^{M_{\beta}} w_{\left[\frac{k}{N}\right]} 0^{M_{\beta}}\right)$, where $t_{k}=\left[\frac{k}{N}\right] N$, we know

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu\left(I_{k}\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \cdots, \varepsilon_{k}\right)\right) & \leq \mu\left(I_{t_{k}}\left(0^{M_{\beta}} w_{1} 0^{M_{\beta}} \cdots 0^{M_{\beta}} w_{\left[\frac{k}{N}\right]} 0^{M_{\beta}}\right)\right) \\
& =\mu\left(I_{N}\left(0^{M_{\beta}} w_{1} 0^{M_{\beta}}\right)\right) \cdots \mu\left(I_{N}\left(0^{M_{\beta}} w_{\left[\frac{k}{N}\right]} 0^{M_{\beta}}\right)\right) \\
& \leq\left(\left(\frac{\beta}{\beta-1}\right)^{\frac{N-2 M_{\beta}}{m}} \frac{1}{\beta^{N-2 M_{\beta}}}\right)^{\left[\frac{k}{N}\right]} \\
& \leq\left(\frac{1}{\beta^{k}}\right)^{s_{N, m}^{\beta}} \leq C^{-s_{N, m}^{B}}\left|I_{k}\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \cdots, \varepsilon_{k}\right)\right|^{s_{N, m}^{\beta}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the equality is from the construction of the measure $\mu$, the second inequality is derived from (4.13) and $C$ is an absolute constant in Proposition 2.2.
Step (c). For any ball $B(x, r)$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\beta^{-k-1}<r \leq \beta^{-k}$, then $B(x, r)$ can be covered by at most $2 C^{-1}$ adjoint cylinders at level $k$ by Proposition 2.2. Combining this and Step (b), we know that (2.7) holds for any ball.

Finally, the application of the mass distribution principle (Theorem 2.2) implies (4.11).
Recall

$$
F_{m}^{\beta}=\left\{x \in[0,1): 0^{m} \notin \varepsilon(x, \beta)\right\} .
$$

We remark that the set $F_{m}^{\beta}$ is related to the dynamical systems with holes (for example, see [1]) and also can be written as the following type of badly approximable points

$$
F_{m}^{\beta}=\left\{x \in[0,1): T_{\beta}^{n} x \geq \beta^{-m} \text { for all } n \in \mathbb{N}\right\} .
$$

The general badly approximable set for $\beta=2$ was studied in [19]. Note that $F_{N, m}^{\beta} \subset F_{2 m+2 M_{\beta}}^{\beta}$; letting $N \rightarrow \infty$ in Lemma 4.1, we obtain the following.

Remark 5. Let $\beta \in A_{0}$. For any $m>2 M_{\beta}$, we have

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{H}\left(F_{m}^{\beta}\right) \geq 1-\frac{2}{m-2 M_{\beta}}\left(1-\frac{\log (\beta-1)}{\log \beta}\right) .
$$

Lemma 4.2. Let $\beta \in A_{0}$ and $J$ be a closed interval. Then

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}}\left(E_{J}^{\beta} \cap F_{3 m}^{\beta}\right) \geq s_{m}^{\beta}:=1-\frac{1}{m}\left(1-\frac{\log (\beta-1)}{\log \beta}\right)
$$

for any $m \geq 2 M_{\beta}$.
Proof. The idea of the proof will eventually be to construct a function $f: F_{N, m}^{\beta} \rightarrow E_{J}^{\beta} \cap F_{3 m}^{\beta}$ such that $f^{-1}$ is nearly Lipschitz (in particular Hölder for every exponent $<1$ ).

Choose a sequence $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ in $J$ such that $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ is dense in $J$ and $\left|a_{n+1}-a_{n}\right| \leq \frac{1}{n+1}$ and let $b_{n}=\left[e^{n\left(a_{n}+n^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)}\right]$, where [•] represents the integer part of a real number. For any given $N \in \mathbb{N}$ with $N>m-2 M_{\beta}$, we can obtain recursively a sequence $\left\{c_{n}\right\}$ of natural numbers such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{n} \leq N+N \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(i+M_{\beta}+1\right)<b_{n}+N . \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is simple to check that such $\left\{c_{n}\right\}$ can be uniquely determined. Denote

$$
d_{n}=N+N \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\left(i+M_{\beta}+1\right) .
$$

We will now define the function $f$ on $F_{N, m}^{\beta}$. For any $x \in F_{N, m}^{\beta}$ with its $\beta$-expansion $\varepsilon(x, \beta)=$ $\left(0^{M_{\beta}} w_{n} 0^{M_{\beta}}\right)_{n \geq 1}$, we firstly construct a sequence $\left\{\xi^{*}\right\}$ from $\varepsilon(x, \beta)$. Write

$$
\xi^{(0)}=\left(\xi_{i}^{(0)}\right)=\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2} \cdots \varepsilon_{N} 0^{M_{\beta}} w_{1} 0^{M_{\beta}} 0^{M_{\beta}} w_{2} 0^{M_{\beta}} \cdots 0^{M_{\beta}} w_{n} 0^{M_{\beta}} \cdots,
$$

where $\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2} \cdots \varepsilon_{N}$ is the prefix of $\varepsilon(1, \beta)$ with length $N$, that is, $\xi^{(0)}$ is obtained by adding the word $\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2} \cdots \varepsilon_{N}$ before $\varepsilon(x, \beta)$. We have $\xi^{(0)} \in S_{\beta}$ using Lemma 2.1 since $\varepsilon(x, \beta)$ begins with the string of 0 's with length $M_{\beta}$. Denote $u_{1}:=\left.\xi^{(0)}\right|_{1} 0^{M_{\beta}} v_{1}$ with $v_{1} \neq \xi_{1+M_{\beta}+1}^{(0)}$. Let

$$
\xi^{(1)}=\left(\xi_{i}^{(1)}\right)=\left.\xi^{(0)}\right|_{d_{1}} u_{1} 0^{M_{\beta}} w_{c_{1}+1} 0^{M_{\beta}} 0^{M_{\beta}} w_{c_{1}+2} 0^{M_{\beta}} \ldots,
$$

that is, insert the word $u_{1}$ between the positions $d_{1}$ and $d_{1}+1$ of $\xi^{(0)}$. Assuming $\xi^{(k-1)}$ is well defined, we obtain $\xi^{(k)}$ according to inserting $u_{k}:=\left.\xi^{(k-1)}\right|_{k} 0^{M_{\beta}} v_{k}$ with $v_{k} \neq \xi_{k+M_{\beta}+1}^{(k-1)}$ between the positions $d_{k}$ and $d_{k}+1$ of $\xi^{(k-1)}$, that is,

$$
\xi^{(k)}=\left(\xi_{i}^{(k)}\right)=\left.\xi^{(k-1)}\right|_{d_{k}} u_{k} 0^{M_{\beta}} w_{c_{k}+1} 0^{M_{\beta}} 0^{M_{\beta}} w_{c_{k}+2} 0^{M_{\beta}} \cdots .
$$

As this procedure continues, we get a sequence $\left\{\xi^{(k)}\right\}_{k \geq 1}$ with $\left.\xi^{(k)}\right|_{d_{k}}=\left.\xi^{(k-1)}\right|_{d_{k}}$ for all $k \geq 2$ and denote $\xi^{*}=\left(\xi_{i}^{*}\right)$ as the limit point of the sequence $\left\{\xi^{(k)}\right\}$. That is,

$$
\xi^{*}=\varepsilon_{1} \cdots \varepsilon_{N} 0^{M_{\beta}} w_{1} 0^{M_{\beta}} \cdots 0^{M_{\beta}} w_{c_{1}} 0^{M_{\beta}} u_{1} 0^{M_{\beta}} w_{c_{1}+1} 0^{M_{\beta}} \cdots 0^{M_{\beta}} w_{c_{n}} 0^{M_{\beta}} u_{n} 0^{M_{\beta}} w_{c_{n}+1} 0^{M_{\beta}} \cdots
$$

According to Theorem 2.1, we know $\xi^{(k)} \in \Sigma_{\beta}$ and $\xi^{*} \in S_{\beta}$. Denote

$$
x^{*}=\pi_{\beta}\left(\xi^{*}\right)=\frac{\xi_{1}^{*}}{\beta}+\frac{\xi_{2}^{*}}{\beta^{2}}+\cdots+\frac{\xi_{n}^{*}}{\beta^{n}}+\cdots
$$

Then $\varepsilon\left(x^{*}, \beta\right)=\xi^{*}$.

We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{n-M_{\beta}} \leq \tau_{n}^{\beta}\left(x^{*}\right) \leq d_{n} \quad \text { for all } n>N \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, we have $\tau_{n}^{\beta}\left(x^{*}\right) \leq d_{n}$ since $\varepsilon\left(x^{*}, \beta\right)=\xi^{*}$ and

$$
\sigma^{d_{n}}\left(\xi^{*}\right)=u_{n} 0^{M_{\beta}} w_{c_{n}+1} 0^{M_{\beta}} \ldots=\left.\xi^{*}\right|_{n} 0^{M_{\beta}} v_{n} 0^{M_{\beta}} w_{c_{n}+1} 0^{M_{\beta}} \ldots
$$

from the construction of $\xi^{*}$ and $x^{*}$. All that remains to be proven is $\tau_{n}^{\beta}\left(x^{*}\right) \geq d_{n-M_{\beta}}$, since the word $\left.\xi^{*}\right|_{n}$ does not appear in any first $d_{n-M_{\beta}}$ positions of $\xi^{*}$ except the initial position. In fact, from the structure of $x$ and the construction of $\xi^{*}$, we know that $\left.\xi^{*}\right|_{n}$ does not appear in the positions lying in any $0^{M_{\beta}} w_{i} 0^{M_{\beta}}$ $(i \geq 1)$ since $\left.\xi^{*}\right|_{n}$ begins with the first $N$ digits of the $\beta$-expansion of the number 1 and the maximal length of the string of 0 's in $\left.\xi^{*}\right|_{N}$ is less than $M_{\beta}$. Combining $\left|u_{i}\right|=i+M_{\beta}+1<n$ for all $1 \leq i<n-M_{\beta}-1$ and because the last letter of $u_{i}$ is not the same with $\xi_{i+M_{\beta}+1}^{*}$, we know that $\left.\xi^{*}\right|_{n}$ does not appear in $u_{i}$ $\left(1 \leq i<n-M_{\beta}\right)$. So (4.15) holds.

We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{\log \tau_{n}^{\beta}\left(x^{*}\right)}{n}-a_{n}\right)=0 \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(\frac{\log \tau_{n}^{\beta}\left(x^{*}\right)}{n}\right)=J \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ is dense in $J$. Now we verify the equality (4.16). Indeed, by (4.14), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{n} \leq d_{n}+\left(n+M_{\beta}+1\right)<b_{n}+N \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combine (4.18) and (4.15), to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{n-M_{\beta}}-(n+1) \leq \tau_{n}^{\beta}\left(x^{*}\right) \leq b_{n}+N-\left(n+M_{\beta}+1\right) \leq b_{n} \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $n>N$. Note that because $b_{n}=\left[e^{n\left(a_{n}+n^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)}\right]$, we know

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{\log b_{n}}{n}-a_{n}\right)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{\log \left(b_{n-M_{\beta}}-(n+1)\right)}{n}-a_{n}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(a_{n-M_{\beta}}-a_{n}\right) .
$$

By $\left|a_{n+1}-a_{n}\right| \leq \frac{1}{n+1}$, we have obtained $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(a_{n-M_{\beta}}-a_{n}\right)=0$. Therefore, by (4.19), we find that (4.16) holds.

Define the function $f$ as $f(x)=x^{*}$ for any $x \in F_{N, m}^{\beta}$. Combining (4.17) and the structure of $\xi^{*}$, we know

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(F_{N, m}^{\beta}\right) \subset E_{J}^{\beta} \cap F_{3 m}^{\beta} \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $m \geq 2 M_{\beta}$.
We consider $f^{-1}$ on $f\left(F_{N, m}^{\beta}\right)$ as $f^{-1}\left(x^{*}\right)=x$, that is, delete the first $N$ digits and the digits between $d_{i}+1$ and $d_{i}+i+M_{\beta}+1$ positions for all $i \geq 1$. That is, the words $\varepsilon_{1} \cdots \varepsilon_{N}$ and $u_{n}(n \geq 1)$ are removed from $\xi^{*}$. We claim that $f^{-1}$ is $(1-\eta)$-Hölder for any $\eta>0$. In fact, for any $x^{*}, y^{*} \in I_{n}\left(x^{*}\right)$, where $n$ is the largest integer such that $y^{*} \in I_{n}\left(x^{*}\right)$ (assume $\varepsilon_{n+1}\left(x^{*}, \beta\right)>\varepsilon_{n+1}\left(y^{*}, \beta\right)$ without loss of generality), then $x, y \in I_{n^{\prime}}(x)$ for some $n^{\prime}$ from the definition of $f^{-1}$. By (4.14), we know that $d_{n}$ is of exponential rate and
that the total number of deleted digits $\left|u_{n}\right|$ have a polynomial growth rate, therefore $n^{\prime} \geq n(1-\eta)$ can be assured when $n$ is large enough. Since $x^{*} \in F_{3 m}^{\beta}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|x^{*}-y^{*}\right| \\
& \quad=\frac{\varepsilon_{n+1}\left(x^{*}, \beta\right)-\varepsilon_{n+1}\left(y^{*}, \beta\right)}{\beta^{n+1}}+\frac{1}{\beta^{n+1}}\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{n+2}\left(x^{*}, \beta\right)}{\beta}+\cdots\right)-\frac{1}{\beta^{n+1}}\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{n+2}\left(y^{*}, \beta\right)}{\beta}+\cdots\right) \\
& \quad \geq \frac{1}{\beta^{n+1}}+\frac{1}{\beta^{n+1+3 m}}-\frac{1}{\beta^{n+1}}=\frac{1}{\beta^{n+1+3 m}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $|x-y| \leq \beta^{-n^{\prime}} \leq \beta^{-n(1-\eta)}$, so

$$
\left|f^{-1}\left(x^{*}\right)-f^{-1}\left(y^{*}\right)\right| \leq\left(\beta^{1+3 m}\right)^{1-\eta}\left|x^{*}-y^{*}\right|^{1-\eta} .
$$

Therefore, $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}}\left(F_{N, m}^{\beta}\right) \leq \frac{1}{1-\eta} \operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}} f\left(F_{N, m}^{\beta}\right)$. Letting $\eta \rightarrow 0$, by (4.20) and Lemma 4.1, we have

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}}\left(E_{J}^{\beta} \cap F_{3 m}^{\beta}\right) \geq s_{N, m}^{\beta}
$$

Letting $N \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain $\operatorname{dim}_{H}\left(E_{J}^{\beta} \cap F_{3 m}^{\beta}\right) \geq s_{m}^{\beta}$.
Corollary 4. If $\beta \in A_{0}$, then $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}} E_{J}^{\beta}=1$.
Proof. Since $E_{J}^{\beta} \cap F_{3 m}^{\beta} \subset E_{J}^{\beta}$, Lemma 4.2 implies that Corollary 4 holds by letting $m \rightarrow \infty$.
Remark 6. For the recurrence rate $\tau_{r}^{\beta}(x)$ to the ball, we can similarly with Lemma 4.2 prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}}\left(G_{J}^{\beta} \cap F_{3 m}^{\beta}\right) \geq s_{m}^{\beta} \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\beta \in A_{0}$. In fact, we construct the same $x^{*}$ as Lemma 4.2; we claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{n+1}\left(x^{*}\right) \subset B\left(x^{*}, r\right) \subset I_{n-3 N}\left(x^{*}\right) \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $r>0$ and $\left|I_{n+1}\left(x^{*}\right)\right|<r \leq\left|I_{n}\left(x^{*}\right)\right|$. So $\tau_{n-3 N}^{\beta}\left(x^{*}\right) \leq \tau_{r}^{\beta}\left(x^{*}\right) \leq \tau_{n+1}^{\beta}\left(x^{*}\right)$, which implies $A\left(\frac{\log \tau_{r}^{\beta}\left(x^{*}\right)}{-\log r}\right)=J$ since $\left|I_{n}\left(x^{*}\right)\right| \approx \beta^{-n}$ (change the base $e$ to $\beta$ in $\left\{b_{n}\right\}$ that is, $b_{n}=\left[\beta^{n\left(a_{n}+n^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)}\right]$ ), thus (4.21) holds following the same argument with the proof of Lemma 4.2. Now we prove (4.22), indeed, $I_{n+1}\left(x^{*}\right) \subset B\left(x^{*}, r\right)$ is from $\left|I_{n+1}\left(x^{*}\right)\right|<r$. From the $\beta$-expansion of $x^{*}$, we know that $0^{m+2 M_{\beta}}$ does not appear and $0^{M_{\beta}} 0^{M_{\beta}}$ does appear in $\varepsilon_{n-3 N+1}\left(x^{*}, \beta\right) \cdots \varepsilon_{n}\left(x^{*}, \beta\right)$. Then the full cylinder $I_{n}\left(\varepsilon_{1}\left(x^{*}, \beta\right), \cdots, \varepsilon_{n-3 N}\left(x^{*}, \beta\right), 0^{3 N}\right)$ lies on the left side of $I_{n}\left(x^{*}\right)$ and its length equals to $\beta^{-n}\left(\geq\left|I_{n}\left(x^{*}\right)\right|\right)$. Similarly, we can find a full cylinder of order $n$ inside $I_{n-3 N}\left(x^{*}\right)$ lying on the right side of $I_{n}\left(x^{*}\right)$. Therefore, (4.22) holds.

### 4.2. General case for any $\beta$

Denote

$$
E_{J}^{\beta, \beta^{\prime}}=\left\{x \in H_{\beta}^{\beta^{\prime}}: A\left(R_{n}^{\beta}(x)\right)=J\right\}
$$

and

$$
G_{J}^{\beta, \beta^{\prime}}=\left\{x \in H_{\beta}^{\beta^{\prime}} \cap F_{m}^{\beta}: A\left(R_{r}^{\beta}(x)\right)=J\right\} .
$$

Recall $h: H_{\beta}^{\beta^{\prime}} \rightarrow[0,1)$ defined as $h(x)=\pi_{\beta^{\prime}}(\varepsilon(x, \beta))$.

Lemma 4.3. For any given closed interval $J$, we obtain

$$
h\left(E_{J}^{\beta, \beta^{\prime}}\right)=E_{J}^{\beta^{\prime}} .
$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.1(1), we know $\tau_{n}^{\beta^{\prime}}(h(x))=\tau_{n}^{\beta}(x)$ for any $x \in H_{\beta}^{\beta^{\prime}}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $h\left(E_{J}^{\beta, \beta^{\prime}}\right) \subset$ $E_{J}^{\beta^{\prime}}$. Meanwhile, for any $y \in E_{J}^{\beta^{\prime}}$, note that $h$ is bijective, take $z=h^{-1}(y) \in H_{\beta}^{\beta^{\prime}}$. We obtain $\varepsilon(z, \beta)=$ $\varepsilon\left(h(z), \beta^{\prime}\right)=\varepsilon\left(y, \beta^{\prime}\right)$ by Theorem 3.1(1), thus $z \in E_{J}^{\beta, \beta^{\prime}}$, which implies $E_{J}^{\beta^{\prime}} \subset h\left(E_{J}^{\beta, \beta^{\prime}}\right)$.

Finally, we will summarize the proof of Theorem 1.2.
Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $\beta^{\prime} \in A_{0}$ and $\beta^{\prime} \leq \beta$. According to Lemma 4.3 and Theorem 3.1(4), note that $E_{J}^{\beta, \beta^{\prime}} \subset E_{J}^{\beta}$, then we have

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}}\left(E_{J}^{\beta^{\prime}}\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}}\left(h\left(E_{J}^{\beta, \beta^{\prime}}\right)\right) \leq \frac{\log \beta}{\log \beta^{\prime}} \operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}}\left(E_{J}^{\beta, \beta^{\prime}}\right) \leq \frac{\log \beta}{\log \beta^{\prime}} \operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}}\left(E_{J}^{\beta}\right)
$$

That is, $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}}\left(E_{J}^{\beta}\right) \geq \frac{\log \beta^{\prime}}{\log \beta} \operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}}\left(E_{J}^{\beta^{\prime}}\right)$. By applying Lemma 4.2 to $\beta^{\prime}$, we obtain

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}}\left(E_{J}^{\beta}\right) \geq \frac{\log \beta^{\prime}}{\log \beta} s_{m}^{\beta^{\prime}} .
$$

Since $A_{0}$ is dense in $(1, \infty)$, let $\beta^{\prime} \rightarrow \beta$, and we obtain $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}} E_{J}^{\beta}=1$.
Lemma 4.4. Let $\beta^{\prime} \in A_{0}$. For any given closed interval $J$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We have

$$
h\left(G_{J}^{\beta, \beta^{\prime}}\right)=G_{J^{\prime}}^{\beta^{\prime}} \cap F_{m}^{\beta^{\prime}}
$$

where $J^{\prime}=\frac{\log \beta}{\log \beta^{\prime}} J$.
Proof. Applying Theorem 3.1(4) and (5) to any $x \in H_{\beta}^{\beta^{\prime}}$ and $T_{\beta}^{k} x$, and noting that $h\left(T_{\beta}^{k} x\right)=T_{\beta^{\prime}}^{k} h(x)$, we have

$$
\beta^{\prime-(m+1)}\left|x-T_{\beta}^{k} x\right|^{\frac{\log \beta^{\prime}}{\log \beta}} \leq\left|h(x)-T_{\beta^{\prime}}^{k} h(x)\right| \leq 2 \beta^{\prime M+1}\left|x-T_{\beta}^{k} x\right|^{\frac{\log \beta^{\prime}}{\log \beta}} .
$$

Thus

$$
\tau_{c_{1}(r)}^{\beta^{\prime}}(h(x)) \leq \tau_{r}^{\beta}(x) \quad \text { and } \quad \tau_{c_{2}(r)}^{\beta}(x) \leq \tau_{r}^{\beta^{\prime}}(h(x)),
$$

where $c_{1}(r)=2 \beta^{M+1} r^{\frac{\log \beta^{\prime}}{\log \beta}}$ and $c_{2}(r)=\beta^{\prime(m+1)} r^{\frac{\log \beta}{\log \beta^{\prime}}}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{R}^{\beta^{\prime}}(h(x))=\frac{\log \beta}{\log \beta^{\prime}} \underline{R}^{\beta}(x) \quad \text { and } \quad \bar{R}^{\beta^{\prime}}(h(x))=\frac{\log \beta}{\log \beta^{\prime}} \bar{R}^{\beta}(x) . \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting that $h\left(F_{m}^{\beta}\right)=F_{m}^{\beta^{\prime}}$ by Theorem 3.1(1), together with (4.23), we obtain $h\left(G_{J}^{\beta, \beta^{\prime}}\right)=G_{J^{\prime}}^{\beta^{\prime}} \cap F_{m}^{\beta^{\prime}}$.
Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Lemma 4.4, we have

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}} G_{J^{\prime}}^{\beta^{\prime}} \cap F_{m}^{\beta^{\prime}}=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}} h\left(G_{J}^{\beta, \beta^{\prime}}\right) \leq \frac{\log \beta}{\log \beta^{\prime}} \operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}} G_{J}^{\beta, \beta^{\prime}} \leq \frac{\log \beta}{\log \beta^{\prime}} \operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}} G_{J}^{\beta}
$$

where the first inequality is from Theorem 3.1(4) and the second inequality is because $G_{J}^{\beta, \beta^{\prime}} \subset G_{J}^{\beta}$. Applying (4.21) to $\beta^{\prime}$, we get

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{H} G_{J^{\prime}}^{\beta^{\prime}} \cap F_{3 m}^{\beta^{\prime}} \geq s_{m}^{\beta^{\prime}}
$$

Thus

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}} G_{J}^{\beta} \geq \frac{\log \beta^{\prime}}{\log \beta} s_{m}^{\beta^{\prime}}
$$

By letting $m \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}} G_{J}^{\beta} \geq \frac{\log \beta^{\prime}}{\log \beta}
$$

Let $\beta^{\prime} \rightarrow \beta$, and we obtain $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}} G_{J}^{\beta}=1$.
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