

UNIFORM DISTRIBUTION IN Z_g AND $Z_{g_1} \times \dots \times Z_{g_t}$

BY

H. G. MEIJER AND J. S. SHIUE *)

(Posthumously communicated by Prof. R. TIMMAN at the meeting of Nov. 29, 1975)

1. INTRODUCTION

Uniform distribution of g -adic sequences was studied in Meijer [4], [5], [6] and Shiue [9]. This theory is on one hand a generalization of the theory of uniform distribution of p -adic sequences introduced by Cugiani [1]; see Kuipers and Niederreiter [2], chapter 5.2. On the other hand the notion of uniform distribution of a g -adic sequence is a generalization of the notion of uniform distribution of a sequence of rational integers introduced by Niven [8]; see Kuipers and Niederreiter [2], chapter 5.1.

In the present paper we explored the fact that a g -adic ring Z_g , where $g = p_1^{e_1} \dots p_r^{e_r}$, can be decomposed into a direct product of p -adic rings $Z_{p_1} \times \dots \times Z_{p_r}$. In section 3 we generalize this decomposition in a natural way to a decomposition of Z_g into $Z_{g_1} \times \dots \times Z_{g_t}$, where $g = g_1 \dots g_t$ and the g_1, \dots, g_t are pairwise relatively prime. We prove that a sequence $\{x_n\}$ is uniformly distributed in Z_g if and only if the corresponding sequence in the direct product is uniformly distributed. In section 3 we apply this result to sequences of integers in Z_g . Finally in section 4 results are derived on sequences which are uniformly distributed in a direct product of g -adic rings $Z_{g_1} \times \dots \times Z_{g_t}$.

2. g -ADIC NUMBERS

In this section we give a survey of results on g -adic numbers which will be used in the sequel. For a more complete discussion of the theory of g -adic numbers we refer to Mahler [3], chapters 1 and 2.

Let Q denote the set of rational numbers.

If p is a prime number and $a \in Q$, then we denote the p -adic valuation of a by $|a|_p$. Let g be an integer, $g \geq 2$ and let $g = p_1^{e_1} \dots p_r^{e_r}$ be its canonical prime factorization. For $a \in Q$ the g -adic pseudo-valuation $|a|_g$ is defined by

$$(1) \quad |a|_g = \max \{ |a|_{p_1}^{1/e_1}, \dots, |a|_{p_r}^{1/e_r} \},$$

*) The second author was partly supported by Alexander von Humboldt-Stiftung, W. Germany and National Research Council, Rep. of China.

where the real numbers $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r$ are chosen in such a way, that

$$|g|_{p_1}^{\lambda_1} = \dots = |g|_{p_r}^{\lambda_r} = g^{-1}, \text{ i.e. } \lambda_\rho = \frac{\log g}{e_\rho \log p_\rho} \quad (\rho = 1, \dots, r).$$

The ring of g -adic numbers Q_g is defined as the completion of Q with respect to the g -adic pseudo-valuation. The g -adic pseudo-valuation of Q has a uniquely determined continuation on Q_g . For $a \in Q_g$ we denote this pseudo-valuation by $|a|_g$.

The subset of Q_g consisting of all elements a satisfying $|a|_g \leq 1$ is called the ring of g -adic integers Z_g .

Every $a \in Z_g$ has a unique representation

$$(2) \quad a = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i g^i,$$

where the a_i are taken from the set $\{0, 1, \dots, g-1\}$; see Mahler [3], chapter 2. Moreover, if $a_0 = \dots = a_{t-1} = 0$ and $a_t \neq 0$, then $|a|_g = |a_t g^t|_g = |a_t|_g g^{-t}$, where $g^{-1} < |a_t|_g \leq 1$, since $a_t \in \{0, 1, \dots, g-1\}$.

The functions Ψ_k mapping Z_g into the set of non-negative integers are defined by: if $a \in Z_g$ has representation (2), then

$$\Psi_k(a) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a_i g^i.$$

We have the following relations for Ψ_k ;

$$\Psi_k(a+b) \equiv \Psi_k(a) + \Psi_k(b) \pmod{g^k}$$

$$\Psi_k(ab) \equiv \Psi_k(a)\Psi_k(b) \pmod{g^k},$$

for $a, b \in Z_g$.

For $d \in Z_g$ and k a non-negative integer we define the neighbourhood $U_k(d)$ by

$$U_k(d) = \{x | x \in Z_g, |x-d|_g \leq g^{-k}\}.$$

It is easy to show (see [4], p. 14-15) that

$$(3) \quad a \in U_k(d) \text{ if and only if } \Psi_k(a) = \Psi_k(d).$$

This implies $U_k(d) = U_k(\Psi_k(d))$. It is easy to derive that for $h > k$, the neighbourhood $U_k(d)$ can be written as the union of g^{h-k} disjoint neighbourhoods of the form $U_h(c)$ by

$$U_k(d) = \bigcup_{j=0}^{g^{h-k}-1} U_h(\Psi_k(d) + jg^k).$$

As is usual an element $a \in Z_g$ is called a unit of Z_g if a^{-1} exists in Z_g . In [5] lemma 4 the following characterization of the units of Z_g is given.

LEMMA 1. If a is an element of Z_g , then a is a unit of Z_g if and only if $(\Psi_1(a), g) = 1$. Moreover, if a is a unit of Z_g , then $|a|_g = |a^{-1}|_g = 1$.

In particular in the p -adic case is a a unit of Z_p if and only if $|a|_p = 1$.

Finally we recall the definitions of uniform distribution in Z_g and $Z_{g_1} \times \dots \times Z_{g_t}$.

If $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in a space M , V is a subset of M and N is a positive integer, we denote the number of points x_n satisfying

$$x_n \in V, 1 \leq n \leq N$$

by $A(V, N, \{x_n\})$ or, if there is no risk of confusion, simply by $A(V, N)$. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in Z_g is called uniformly distributed in Z_g , if

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-1} A(U_k(d), N) = g^{-k}$$

for every neighbourhood $U_k(d)$ in Z_g .

COROLLARY 1. Relation (3) implies that $\{x_n\}$ is uniformly distributed in Z_g if and only if for every positive integer k the sequence of rational integers $\{\Psi_k(x_n)\}$ is uniformly distributed mod. g^k .

Let g_1, \dots, g_t denote an ordered r -tuple of integers $g_\tau \geq 2$, $\tau = 1, \dots, t$. In the direct product

$$Z_{g_1} \times Z_{g_2} \times \dots \times Z_{g_t}$$

we define the neighbourhoods by

$$U_{k_1, \dots, k_t}(d_1, \dots, d_t) = U_{k_1}(d_1) \times \dots \times U_{k_t}(d_t).$$

Then a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in $Z_{g_1} \times \dots \times Z_{g_t}$ is called uniformly distributed in the direct product if

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-1} A(U_{k_1, \dots, k_t}(d_1, \dots, d_t), N) = g_1^{-k_1} \dots g_t^{-k_t}$$

for every neighbourhood in the direct product.

3. DECOMPOSITION THEOREM

It is well-known that the g -adic ring Z_g can be decomposed into a direct product of p -adic rings. We shall state this in lemma 2. In this lemma the following fact is used. If $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in Z , which converges in Z_g to the element a — e.g. the sequence $\{\Psi_n(a)\}$ — then it is a fundamental

sequence with respect to the g -adic pseudo-valuation. By (1) it is also a fundamental sequence with respect to each of the p_ϱ -adic valuations and therefore converges in each of the Z_{p_ϱ} .

LEMMA 2. Let $g = p_1^{e_1} \dots p_r^{e_r}$. There exists a one-to-one mapping φ of Z_g onto the direct product $Z_{p_1} \times \dots \times Z_{p_r}$ such that if $\varphi(a) = (a_1, \dots, a_r)$ and $\varphi(b) = (b_1, \dots, b_r)$ then

$$(4) \quad \varphi(a + b) = (a_1 + b_1, \dots, a_r + b_r),$$

$$(5) \quad \varphi(a - b) = (a_1 - b_1, \dots, a_r - b_r),$$

$$(6) \quad \varphi(ab) = (a_1 b_1, \dots, a_r b_r).$$

This mapping is defined by: if $\{Z_n\}$ is a sequence in Z with $a = \lim Z_n$ in the g -adic pseudo-valuation, then $a_\varrho = \lim Z_n$ in the p_ϱ -adic valuation for $\varrho = 1, \dots, r$.

PROOF. See Mahler [3], p. 23, 24.

LEMMA 3. Let $U_k(d)$ denote a neighbourhood in Z_g and $\varphi(d) = (d_1, \dots, d_r)$, then

$$\varphi U_k(d) = U_{ke_1, \dots, ke_r}(d_1, \dots, d_r).$$

PROOF. Let $a \in Z_g$ and $\varphi(a) = (a_1, \dots, a_r)$. Let $\{Z_n\}$ be a sequence in Z such that

$$a - d = \lim Z_n \text{ in } Z_g, \quad a_\varrho - d_\varrho = (a - d)_\varrho = \lim Z_n \text{ in } Z_{p_\varrho} \quad (\varrho = 1, \dots, r).$$

Then the following statements are equivalent.

- 1) $a \in U_k(d)$.
- 2) $|a - d|_g \leq g^{-k}$.
- 3) $|Z_n|_g \leq g^{-k}$ if n is sufficiently large.
- 4) $|Z_n|_{p_\varrho}^{e_\varrho} \leq g^{-k}$ ($\varrho = 1, \dots, r$) if n is sufficiently large.
- 5) $|Z_n|_{p_\varrho} \leq p_\varrho^{-ke_\varrho}$ ($\varrho = 1, \dots, r$, n sufficiently large).
- 6) $|a_\varrho - d_\varrho| \leq p_\varrho^{-ke_\varrho}$ ($\varrho = 1, \dots, r$).
- 7) $a_\varrho \in U_{ke_\varrho}(d_\varrho)$ ($\varrho = 1, \dots, r$).
- 8) $\varphi(a) \in U_{ke_1, \dots, ke_r}(d_1, \dots, d_r)$.

This proves the lemma.

THEOREM 1. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in Z_g is uniformly distributed in Z_g if and only if $\{\varphi(x_n)\}$ is uniformly distributed in $Z_{p_1} \times \dots \times Z_{p_r}$.

PROOF. a) Suppose $\{\varphi(x_n)\}$ is uniformly distributed in the direct product. Let $U_k(d)$ be a neighbourhood in Z_g .

Then, using lemma 3,

$$x_n \in U_k(d) \text{ if and only if } \varphi(x_n) \in U_{ke_1, \dots, ke_r}(d_1, \dots, d_r).$$

Hence

$$A(U_k(d), N, \{x_n\}) = A(U_{ke_1, \dots, ke_r}(d_1, \dots, d_r), N, \{\varphi(x_n)\}).$$

By hypothesis

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-1}A(U_{ke_1, \dots, ke_r}(d_1, \dots, d_r), N, \{\varphi(x_n)\}) = p_1^{-ke_1} \dots p_r^{-ke_r} = g^{-k}.$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-1}A(U_k(d), N, \{x_n\}) = g^{-k}$$

and the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is uniformly distributed in Z_g .

b) Suppose $\{x_n\}$ is uniformly distributed in Z_g .

Let $U_{k_1, \dots, k_r}(d_1, \dots, d_r)$ denote a neighbourhood in the direct product. Choose an integer k such that $ke_\varrho \geq k_\varrho$ for $\varrho = 1, \dots, r$. Then the neighbourhood $U_{k_\varrho}(d_\varrho)$ in Z_{p_ϱ} is the union of $p_\varrho^{ke_\varrho - k_\varrho}$ disjoint neighbourhoods in Z_{p_ϱ} of the form $U_{k_\varrho}(c_\varrho)$. Therefore the neighbourhood $U_{k_1, \dots, k_r}(d_1, \dots, d_r)$ in the direct product is the union of $s = p_1^{ke_1 - k_1} \dots p_r^{ke_r - k_r}$ disjoint neighbourhoods of the form $U_{ke_1, \dots, ke_r}(c_1, \dots, c_r)$. Every neighbourhood of the last form is the image under φ of a neighbourhood $U_k(c)$ in Z_g . Hence, $U_{k_1, \dots, k_r}(d_1, \dots, d_r)$ is the image of s neighbourhoods of the form $U_k(c)$ in Z_g . Since $\{x_n\}$ is uniformly distributed in Z_g we have for every neighbourhood $U_k(c)$ in Z_g

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-1}A(U_k(c), N, \{x_n\}) = g^{-k}.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-1}A(U_{k_1, \dots, k_r}(d_1, \dots, d_r), N, \{\varphi(x_n)\}) = sg^{-k} = p_1^{-k_1} \dots p_r^{-k_r}.$$

Therefore $\{\varphi(x_n)\}$ is uniformly distributed in the direct product.

It is possible to generalize theorem 1. Let g_1, \dots, g_t be integers, $g_\tau \geq 2$, $\tau = 1, \dots, t$, which are pairwise relatively prime and put $g = g_1 \dots g_t = p_1^{e_1} \dots p_r^{e_r}$. By lemma 2 there is a one-to-one correspondance between Z_g and $Z_{p_1} \times \dots \times Z_{p_r}$. On the other hand each of the Z_{g_ϱ} can be decomposed into a direct product of p -adic rings Z_p . Since g_1, \dots, g_t are pairwise relatively prime this induces a one-to-one correspondance between $Z_{g_1} \times \dots \times Z_{g_t}$ and $Z_{p_1} \times \dots \times Z_{p_r}$. Hence there exists a one-to-one mapping of Z_g onto $Z_{g_1} \times \dots \times Z_{g_t}$.

In the sequel we shall denote this mapping by γ . Obviously the mapping φ may be regarded as a special case of γ which can be obtained by taking $g_1 = p_1^{e_1}, \dots, g_r = p_r^{e_r}$ and observing that $Z_{p_1}^{e_1} \times \dots \times Z_{p_r}^{e_r} = Z_{p_1} \times \dots \times Z_{p_r}$. Note

that for $n \in Z$, $\gamma(n) = (n, \dots, n)$. It is easy to check that γ satisfies relations similar to (4), (5) and (6).

Moreover if $U_k(d)$ is a neighbourhood in Z_g and $\gamma(d) = (d_1, \dots, d_t)$, then it is easy to prove that $\gamma U_k(d) = U_{k, \dots, k}(d_1, \dots, d_t)$.

Repeating the proof of theorem 1 with p_e replaced by g_τ and $e_1 = \dots = e_t = 1$ we obtain the following result.

THEOREM 1a. Let g_1, \dots, g_t denote integers ≥ 2 which are pairwise relatively prime, put $g = g_1 \dots g_t$ and let γ be the one-to-one mapping of Z_g onto the direct product $Z_{g_1} \times \dots \times Z_{g_t}$ induced by the mapping φ of lemma 2. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in Z_g is uniformly distributed in Z_g if and only if $\{\gamma(x_n)\}$ is uniformly distributed in the direct product.

EXAMPLE 1. It is easy to prove that the sequence of positive integers $\{x_n = n\}$ is uniformly distributed in Z_g (see [5], theorem 2). It follows from theorem 1a that the sequence $\{(n, \dots, n)\}$ is uniformly distributed in $Z_{g_1} \times \dots \times Z_{g_t}$ if g_1, \dots, g_t are pairwise relatively prime. (See [4], theorem 5.3).

REMARK 1. If g_1, \dots, g_t are *not* pairwise relatively prime, then the sequence $\{x_n\} = \{(n, \dots, n)\}$ is not uniformly distributed in $Z_{g_1} \times \dots \times Z_{g_t}$. Suppose e.g. $(g_1, g_2) > 1$. Consider the neighbourhood

$$U = U_{1,1,0, \dots, 0}(1, 0, \dots, 0).$$

Then $x_n \in U$ is equivalent to $n \equiv 1 \pmod{g_1}$ and $n \equiv 0 \pmod{g_2}$. It is easy to see that this system of congruences has no solution since $(g_1, g_2) > 1$. Hence $A(U, N) = 0$ and the sequence cannot be uniformly distributed in $Z_{g_1} \times \dots \times Z_{g_t}$. (compare [4], theorem 5.3).

LEMMA 4. Let $a \in Z_g$ and $\varphi(a) = (a_1, \dots, a_r) \in Z_{p_1} \times \dots \times Z_{p_r}$. Then a is a unit of Z_g if and only if all a_ϱ are units of Z_{p_ϱ} ($\varrho = 1, \dots, r$).

PROOF. By lemma 1 the element a is a unit of Z_g if and only if $(\Psi_1(a), g) = 1$ which is equivalent to $(\Psi_k(a), g) = 1$ for every k .

The sequence $\{\Psi_k(a)\}$ is a sequence in Z which converges to a in Z_g . Then, by lemma 2, $a_\varrho = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Psi_k(a)$ in Z_{p_ϱ} ($\varrho = 1, \dots, r$).

Now $(\Psi_k(a), g) = 1$ is equivalent to $(\Psi_k(a), p_\varrho) = 1$ for $\varrho = 1, \dots, r$ or $|\Psi_k(a)|_{p_\varrho} = 1$ and $|a_\varrho|_{p_\varrho} = \lim |\Psi_k(a)|_{p_\varrho} = 1$ for $\varrho = 1, \dots, r$. The last relation is equivalent to: a_ϱ is a unit of Z_{p_ϱ} for $\varrho = 1, \dots, r$.

From lemma 4 and the construction of γ the following result follows.

LEMMA 4a. Let $a \in Z_g$ and $\gamma(a) = (a_1, \dots, a_t) \in Z_{g_1} \times \dots \times Z_{g_t}$, where g_1, \dots, g_t are pairwise relatively prime. Then a is a unit of Z_g if and only if all a_τ are units of Z_{g_τ} ($\tau = 1, \dots, t$).

4. SEQUENCES OF INTEGERS

Let $\{x_n\}$ denote a sequence of integers then we can make the following observations.

1. Since $\gamma(x_n) = (x_n, \dots, x_n)$ we obtain from theorem 1a: the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is uniformly distributed in Z_g if and only if the sequence $\{(x_n, \dots, x_n)\}$ is uniformly distributed in $Z_{g_1} \times \dots \times Z_{g_t}$, where g_1, \dots, g_t are pairwise relatively prime and $g = g_1 \dots g_t$.
2. It follows from corollary 1 that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is uniformly distributed in Z_g if and only if for every positive integer k the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is uniformly distributed mod. g^k .
3. As a consequence of the last fact we obtain (compare [5], theorem 7) that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is a uniformly distributed sequence of integers in the sense of Niven [8] if and only if for every integer $g \geq 2$ the sequence is uniformly distributed in Z_g .

We will use the following notion of Niederreiter [7] of uniform distribution mod. (m_1, \dots, m_t) .

DEFINITION 1. Let $\underline{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_t)$ be a t -tuple of natural numbers, and let $\sigma = \{(a_{n1}, \dots, a_{nt})\}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, be a given sequence of t -dimensional lattice points. For a natural number N and a t -tuple $\underline{d} = (d_1, \dots, d_t)$ of integers, the counting function $A(\underline{d}, \underline{m}, N, \sigma)$ is defined to be the number of indices n , $1 \leq n \leq N$, such that simultaneously

$$a_{n1} \equiv d_1 \pmod{m_1}, \dots, a_{nt} \equiv d_t \pmod{m_t}.$$

Then σ is uniformly distributed mod. (m_1, \dots, m_t) or mod. \underline{m} if

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-1} A(\underline{d}, \underline{m}, N, \sigma) = m_1^{-1} m_2^{-1} \dots m_t^{-1} \text{ for all } \underline{d}.$$

Furthermore, σ is uniformly distributed in Z^t if σ is uniformly distributed mod. \underline{m} for all possible \underline{m} .

Now we can state the following result as a consequence of the observations 1, 2 and 3.

THEOREM 2. The following statements are equivalent for a sequence of integers $\{x_n\}$.

1. $\{x_n\}$ is a uniformly distributed sequence of integers.
2. $\{x_n\}$ is uniformly distributed in Z_g for every $g \geq 2$.
3. $\{(x_n, \dots, x_n)\}$ is uniformly distributed in $Z_{g_1} \times \dots \times Z_{g_t}$ for every set of pairwise relatively prime integers g_1, \dots, g_t with $g_\tau \geq 2$, $\tau = 1, \dots, t$.
4. For every set of pairwise relatively prime integers g_1, \dots, g_t with $g_\tau \geq 2$, $\tau = 1, \dots, t$ is $\{(x_n, \dots, x_n)\}$ uniformly distributed mod. (g_1, \dots, g_t) .

REMARK 2. The equivalence of 1. and 4. can also be derived as a direct consequence of the Chinese remainder theorem: if g_1, \dots, g_t are

pairwise relatively prime and $g = g_1 \dots g_t$, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the residue classes

$$n \equiv a \pmod{g} \text{ and } n \equiv a_1 \pmod{g_1}, \dots, n \equiv a_t \pmod{g_t}.$$

Moreover remark 1 shows that the condition that g_1, \dots, g_t are pairwise relatively prime cannot be omitted in theorem 2.

EXAMPLES 2. Theorem 2 can be applied to the following well-known sequences of integers, which are uniformly distributed (compare Kuipers-Niederreiter [2], p. 307–308).

1. $(\{\alpha n\})$, where α is irrational or $\alpha = 1/d$ for some nonzero integer d .
2. $(\{f(n)\})$, where f denotes a polynomial with real coefficients of which at least one, different from $f(0)$, is irrational.
3. $(\{\alpha n^\sigma\})$, where α, σ real, $\alpha \neq 0, \sigma > 0, \sigma$ non integral.
4. $(\{\alpha (\log n)^\tau\})$, where α, τ real, $\alpha \neq 0, \tau > 1$.

5. SPECIAL SEQUENCES

In this section we derive some results on uniformly distributed sequences in $Z_{g_1} \times \dots \times Z_{g_t}$ where g_1, \dots, g_t are integers ≥ 2 . We point out that in most results of this section the integers g_1, \dots, g_t had not to be relatively prime.

LEMMA 5. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence, uniformly distributed in Z_g and let $a, b \in Z_g$. Then the sequence $\{ax_n + b\}$ is uniformly distributed in Z_g if and only if a is a unit of Z_g .

PROOF. See [5], theorem 3.

THEOREM 3. Suppose that $\{X_n\} = \{(x_n, \dots, x_{nt})\}$ is a sequence uniformly distributed in $Z_{g_1} \times \dots \times Z_{g_t}$ and let $(a_1, \dots, a_t), (b_1, \dots, b_t) \in Z_{g_1} \times \dots \times Z_{g_t}$. Then the sequence

$$\{Y_n\} = \{(a_1 x_{n1} + b_1, \dots, a_t x_{nt} + b_t)\}$$

is uniformly distributed in the direct product if and only if a_1, \dots, a_t are units of respectively Z_{g_1}, \dots, Z_{g_t} .

PROOF. If g_1, \dots, g_t are relatively prime the theorem is a direct consequence of theorem 1a, lemma 5 and lemma 4a. In the general case the proof proceed as follows.

Suppose a is a unit of some g -adic ring Z_g . Then by lemma 1

$$|a|_g = |a^{-1}|_g = 1.$$

If x, b and d are arbitrary elements of Z_g , then

$$|ax + b - d|_g \leq |a|_g |x + a^{-1}b - a^{-1}d|_g \leq |a|_g |a^{-1}|_g |ax + b - d|_g.$$

Hence

$$|ax + b - d|_g = |x + a^{-1}b - a^{-1}d|_g.$$

i.e.

$$ax + b \in U_k(d) \text{ if and only if } x \in U_k(a^{-1}b - a^{-1}d).$$

Observe that $a^{-1}d - a^{-1}b \in Z_g$.

Let a_1, \dots, a_t be units of respectively Z_{g_1}, \dots, Z_{g_t} . If (d_1, \dots, d_t) is an element in the direct product $Z_{g_1} \times \dots \times Z_{g_t}$, then

$$Y_n \in U_{k_1, \dots, k_t}(d_1, \dots, d_t) \text{ if and only if}$$

$$X_n \in U_{k_1, \dots, k_t}(a_1^{-1}b_1 - a_1^{-1}d_1, \dots, a_t^{-1}b_t - a_t^{-1}d_t).$$

Since $\{X_n\}$ is uniformly distributed in the direct product it follows that $\{Y_n\}$ is uniformly distributed in the direct product.

Suppose conversely that the sequence $\{Y_n\}$ is uniformly distributed in the direct product.

Considering the neighbourhoods $U_{k_1, \dots, k_t}(d_1, \dots, d_t)$ of the form $k_i = k$ for $i = \tau$, $k_i = 0$ for $i \neq \tau$, we obtain that the sequences $\{a_\tau x_{n\tau} + b_\tau\}$ are uniformly distributed in Z_{g_τ} . Then, by lemma 5, the elements a_τ are units of Z_{g_τ} ($\tau = 1, \dots, t$).

THEOREM 4. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence uniformly distributed in Z_g and let $a, b, c \in Z_g$. The sequence $\{ax_n^2 + bx_n + c\}$ is uniformly distributed in Z_g if and only if $|a|_g < 1$ and b is a unit of Z_g .

PROOF. Suppose $|a|_g < 1$ and b is a unit of Z_g .

If k is a positive integer and d is an arbitrary element of Z_g , then by (3), the relation

$$ax_n^2 + bx_n + c \in U_k(d)$$

is equivalent to

$$\Psi_k(a)\Psi_k(x_n)^2 + \Psi_k(b)\Psi_k(x_n) + \Psi_k(c) \equiv \Psi_k(d) \pmod{g^k}.$$

Let f_k be the mapping of the set of integers $\{0, 1, \dots, g^k - 1\}$ into itself defined by

$$f_k(u) \equiv \Psi_k(a)u^2 + \Psi_k(b)u + \Psi_k(c) \pmod{g^k}.$$

We prove that f_k is an injection.

Suppose $f_k(u) = f_k(v)$, then it follows

$$(7) \quad (u - v)(\Psi_k(a)(u + v) + \Psi_k(b)) \equiv 0 \pmod{g^k}.$$

Let p_1, \dots, p_r be the different primes in the prime factorization of g . Since $|a|_g < 1$ we have for the rational integer $\Psi_k(a)$ that $|\Psi_k(a)|_g < 1$,

and then

$$\Psi_k(a) = 0 \text{ or } p_\varrho | \Psi_k(a) \text{ for } \varrho = 1, \dots, r.$$

Further b is a unit of Z_g and then by lemma 1: $(\Psi_k(b), g) = 1$. Hence

$$(\Psi_k(a)(u+v) + \Psi_k(b), g) = 1 \text{ for all integers } u, v.$$

Then it follows from (7) that $u \equiv v \pmod{g^k}$, i.e. f is an injection indeed. This implies that there exists exactly one integer $u_0 \in \{0, 1, \dots, g^k - 1\}$ such that

$$\Psi_k(a)u_0^2 + \Psi_k(b)u_0 + \Psi_k(c) \equiv \Psi_k(d) \pmod{g^k}.$$

Then the relation

$$ax_n^2 + bx_n + c \in U_k(d)$$

is equivalent to $\Psi_k(x_n) = u_0$.

Since the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is uniformly distributed in Z_g , the sequence $\{\Psi_k(x_n)\}$ is, by corollary 1, uniformly distributed in $\{0, 1, \dots, g^k - 1\}$. Then we obtain

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-1}A(u_0, N, \{\Psi_k(x_n)\}) = g^{-k}.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-1}A(U_k(d), N, \{ax_n^2 + bx_n + c\}) \text{ is uniformly distributed in } Z_g.$$

and the sequence $\{ax_n^2 + bx_n + c\}$ is uniformly distributed in Z_g .

Suppose conversely that $\{ax_n^2 + bx_n + c\}$ is uniformly distributed in Z_g . Then, by lemma 5, $\{ax_n^2 + bx_n\}$ is uniformly distributed in Z_g . This implies, by corollary 1, that for every positive integer k $\{\Psi_k(a)\Psi_k(x_n)^2 + \Psi_k(b)\Psi_k(x_n)\}$ is uniformly distributed mod. g^k .

Therefore $\{\Psi_k(a)\Psi_k(x_n)^2 + \Psi_k(b)\Psi_k(x_n)\}$ is uniformly distributed mod. p_ϱ^k for $\varrho = 1, \dots, r$ if p_1, \dots, p_r are the different primes in the prime factorization of g . We remark that, by corollary 1, also $\{\Psi_k(x_n)\}$ is uniformly distributed mod. g^k and therefore mod. p_ϱ^k for $\varrho = 1, \dots, r$.

Suppose now b is not a unit of Z_g . Then, by lemma 1, $(\Psi_1(b), g) \neq 1$ and there exists a p_ϱ such that $p_\varrho | \Psi_1(b)$. Then also $p_\varrho | \Psi_2(b)$. Now $\Psi_1(x_n) \equiv 0 \pmod{p_\varrho}$ implies $\Psi_2(x_n) \equiv 0 \pmod{p_\varrho}$ and

$$\Psi_2(a)\Psi_2(x_n)^2 + \Psi_2(b)\Psi_2(x_n) \equiv 0 \pmod{p_\varrho^2}.$$

Since $\{\Psi_1(x_n)\}$ is uniformly distributed mod. p_ϱ , we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-1}A(0, p_\varrho, N, \{\Psi_1(x_n)\}) = p_\varrho^{-1}.$$

(We use here the notation of definition 1 with $t=1$). This implies

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-1}A(0, p_e^2, N, \{\Psi_2(a)\Psi_2(x_n)^2 + \Psi_2(b)\Psi_2(x_n)\}) \geq p_e^{-1}$$

and the sequence $\{\Psi_2(a)\Psi_2(x_n)^2 + \Psi_2(b)\Psi_2(x_n)\}$ cannot be uniformly distributed mod. p_e^2 , which is a contradiction. Therefore b had to be a unit of Z_g . Suppose now there is a p_e such that $(\Psi_1(a), p_e) = 1$.

Then there is a u_0 in the set $\{1, 2, \dots, p_e - 1\}$ such that $\Psi_1(a)u_0 + \Psi_1(b) \equiv 0 \pmod{p_e}$. Then the equation $\Psi_1(a)u^2 + \Psi_1(b)u \equiv 0 \pmod{p_e}$ has the two different solutions $u=0$ and $u=u_0$ in $\{0, 1, \dots, p_e - 1\}$.

Now $\Psi_1(x_n)=0$ and $\Psi_1(x_n)=u_0$ both imply

$$\Psi_1(a)\Psi_1(x_n)^2 + \Psi_1(b)\Psi_1(x_n) \equiv 0 \pmod{p_e}.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-1}A(0, p_e, N, \{\Psi_1(a)\Psi_1(x_n)^2 + \Psi_1(b)\Psi_1(x_n)\}) =$$

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-1}A(0, p_e, N, \{\Psi_1(x_n)\}) + \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-1}A(u_0, p_e, N, \{\Psi_1(x_n)\}).$$

Since $\{\Psi_1(x_n)\}$ is uniformly distributed mod. p_e , the sequence

$$\{\Psi_1(a)\Psi_1(x_n)^2 + \Psi_1(b)\Psi_1(x_n)\}$$

cannot be uniformly distributed mod. p_e . This is a contradiction. Therefore $(\Psi_1(a), p_e) > 1$ for $\varrho=1, \dots, r$ and then $|a|_g < 1$.

COROLLARY 2. Since the sequence $\{n\}$ is uniformly distributed in Z_g (see [5], theorem 2), the sequence $\{an^2 + bn + c\}$ is uniformly distributed in Z_g if and only if $|a|_g < 1$ and b is a unit of Z_g . This result improves [5], theorem 5.

THEOREM 5. Suppose that $\{X_n\} = \{(x_n, \dots, x_{nt})\}$ is a sequence uniformly distributed in $Z_{g_1} \times \dots \times Z_{g_t}$ and let

$$(a_1, \dots, a_t), (b_1, \dots, b_t), (c_1, \dots, c_t) \in Z_{g_1} \times \dots \times Z_{g_t}.$$

Then the sequence

$$\{Y_n\} = \{(a_1x_{n1}^2 + b_1x_{n1} + c_1, \dots, a_t x_{nt}^2 + b_t x_{nt} + c_t)\}$$

is uniformly distributed in the direct product if and only if $|a_\tau|_{g_\tau} < 1$ for $\tau=1, \dots, t$ and b_τ is a unit of Z_{g_τ} for $\tau=1, \dots, t$.

PROOF. Suppose $|a_\tau|_{g_\tau} < 1$ for $\tau = 1, \dots, t$ and b_τ is a unit of Z_{g_τ} for $\tau = 1, \dots, t$. Consider a neighbourhood $U_{k_1, \dots, k_t}(d_1, \dots, d_t)$ in the direct product.

In the proof of theorem 4 we have shown that there exists exactly one integer $u_\tau \in \{0, 1, \dots, g_\tau^{k_\tau} - 1\}$ such that

$$\Psi_k(a_\tau)u_\tau^2 + \Psi_k(b_\tau)u_\tau + \Psi_k(c_\tau) = \Psi_{k_\tau}(d_\tau) \pmod{g_\tau^{k_\tau}}$$

in Z_{g_τ} for $\tau = 1, \dots, t$. Moreover the relation $Y_n \in U_{k_1, \dots, k_t}(d_1, \dots, d_t)$ is equivalent to

$$\Psi_{k_1}(x_{n1}) = u_1, \dots, \Psi_{k_t}(x_{nt}) = u_t.$$

Since the sequence $\{X_n\}$ is uniformly distributed in the direct product, we obtain that the sequence $\{Y_n\}$ is also uniformly distributed in the direct product.

If, conversely, the sequence $\{Y_n\}$ is uniformly distributed in the direct product for each $\tau \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ the sequence $\{a_\tau x_{n\tau}^2 + b_\tau x_{n\tau} + c_\tau\}$ is uniformly distributed in Z_{g_τ} and then, using theorem 4, we have $|a_\tau|_{g_\tau} < 1$ and b_τ is a unit of Z_{g_τ} for $\tau = 1, \dots, t$.

EXAMPLES 3.

1. If the g_1, \dots, g_t are pairwise relatively prime we can apply theorem 3 and theorem 5 to the sequences $\{X_n\}$ mentioned in examples 2.
2. Niederreiter [7] has proved the following result: if the real numbers $1, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t$ are linearly independent over the rationals, then the sequence $\{X_n\} = \{([n\alpha_1], \dots, [n\alpha_t])\}$ is uniformly distributed in Z^t . This implies that $\{X_n\}$ is uniformly distributed in each direct product $Z_{g_1} \times \dots \times Z_{g_t}$ and we can apply theorem 3 and theorem 5 to this sequence.

*University of Technology
Department of Mathematics
Delft, The Netherlands*

*National Chengchi University
Department of Mathematical Science
Taipei, Taiwan, Republic of China*

REFERENCES

1. Cugiani, M. – Successioni uniformemente distribuite nei domini p -adici, Ist. Lombardo Accad. Sci. Lett. Rend. A 96, 351–372 (1962).
2. Kuipers, L. and H. Niederreiter – Uniform distribution of sequences, J. Wiley, New York, 1974.
3. Mahler, K. – Lectures on diophantine approximations, Part 1, University of Notre Dame, 1961.
4. Meijer, H. G. – Uniform distribution of g -adic numbers, Thesis, Universiteit van Amsterdam 1967.
5. Meijer, H. G. – Uniform distribution of g -adic integers, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A 70 = Indag. Math. 29, 535–546 (1967).

6. Meijer, H. G. – The discrepancy of a g -adic sequence, *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A* 71=*Indag. Math.* 30, 54–66 (1968).
7. Niederreiter, H. – On a class of sequences of lattice points, *J. Number Theory* 4, 477–502 (1972).
8. Niven, I. – Uniform distribution of integers, *Trans Amer. Math. Soc.* 98, 52–61 (1961).
9. Shiue, J. S. – On a theorem of uniform distribution of g -adic integers and a notion of independence, *Rend. Accad. Naz. Lincei* 50, 90–93 (1971).