# 國立政治大學 102 學年度博士班招生考試試題

				-	1			-1	4 月		_ /\
考言	試 科	目	社會政策與社會工作	所	刊社會工作研究所	考試時間	5 月	11	日(六)	第	1 節
1	每位碩 說明。			各種社會	會工作的理論,請用一個	架構,把所	學過的	理論	進行分	類立	加以
	- <del></del> -^ > \		·	<del>-</del> ->- <b>-</b>	<b>プニ! 今 マ / レ 吉 ハ / と しっ</b> / と / / /	<b>-</b> 11 <b>-</b> 12 -11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 -	0.05.0	٦,			
二 <b>、</b> [	請論述	立女口(	可透過社會工作教育》	万法傳輸	<b>俞社會工作專業知能給大</b>	學部的學生	? (25 <i>5</i> ,	<b>Ì</b> )			
自	的態度	, <u>H</u>	也有諸多批判,試予以	人歸納整	家(welfare state)作爲協 理並說明其批判的內容重 原因,並研提對策。(25 ½	點?又試以					
a	ind two 頁)。又	sta	ate-centered approach 試以台灣訂頒的一項I	es. 首约 立法( <sup>5</sup>	licy 發展的理論可歸納為 上,將其論述中的 the socion E人福利法、身心障礙者 經立法的因素為何?(	ety-centered 灌益保障法	approa	aches	譯成	中文	(見次

### 國立政治大學 102 學年度博士班招生考試試題

第2頁,共2頁

ž	考 試	科	目社會政策與社會工作	所 另	社會工作研究所	考	試時間	5	月	11	日(六)	第	1 節	-
								- 1	0.00			71-	- M	

The society-centered approaches bring into play the values, behaviors, and actions of individuals and groups that inform and structure the demands made of government that are tied to individual and social well-being. The first of these, based on cultural norms, is usually discussed under the rubric of national values. This lens has often been focused on U.S. social policy developments because of the country's exceptionalist political culture. However, as this culture allegedly impedes broad-based welfare state developments as seen in Europe, the aged emerge as a unique beneficiary group, being indulged where others are denied. A second society-centered approach finds mobilization of the working class to be central to welfare state developments: workers come to influence or even organize political parties, using their strength in numbers to press for benefits they are unable to gain from owners in the money-dominated economic realm. The aged are found to gain (or lose) relative advantage depending on the success of these forays into politics by labor.

The third society-centered approach looks to the participation of individual and organized interests manifested as groups or as constituencies, rather than as classes. Groups organize around various identities individuals may have, and in modern society these may be more particularistic than class goals. In a nation such as the United States, where working-class cohesion was never firmly established, multiple demands emerge, organized along these lines of identity. By the 1980s, the aged in the United States could be easily seen as one such interest.

出處: Robert B. Hudson, 2010," Theoretical Approaches to the Development of Aging Policy in the United States", pp. 108-109, in Robert B. Hudson (eds.) The New Politics of Old Age Policy(2<sup>nd</sup>).

### 國立政治大學 102 學年度博士班招生考試試題

第 / 頁, 共 4 頁

考試科目社會研究方法(言統計) 所别社會工作研究所考試時間 5月11日(大) 第2節

- 一、研究對象的抽樣方法可分爲機率抽樣和非機率抽樣兩種,請說明其各自的內涵和優劣。若針對65歲以 上的老人探究社會支持和憂鬱之相關性,你會採用何種抽樣方法?並請說明抽樣過程。(25分)
- 二、下面的文章是乃在探究婚姻暴力的預測因子,閱讀後請說明其研究設計和抽樣方法的內涵,並進而加 以評論其優劣。(25分)

### Research design

Using a large and representative sample of Chinese households in Hong Kong, the present study aimed at developing and validating a brief and easy-to-administer IPV risk assessment tool for the Chinese population: The Chinese Risk Assessment Tool for Victims (CRAT-V). In order to design a tailor-made risk assessment tool specifically for Chinese female victims of IPV in Hong Kong, the present study incorporated several important characteristics of Chinese culture (e.g., "face" and the influence of in-law relationships) into the development of the CRAT-V. Despite the inclusion of culture-specific factors, the CRAT-V differs from other existing risk assessment tools by using risk factors to actually predict the likelihood of future IPV risk. At present, almost all IPV risk assessment tools evaluate one's risk of future IPV recidivism by assessing the presence of IPV in the past (Campbell et al. 2009; Hilton et al. 2004; Kropp et al. 1995; Kropp et al. 1999). In contrast, the CRAT-V was designed to assess factors other than just one's history of IPV experience. This makes the CRAT-V a more sensitive instrument that may be effective in avoiding underreporting caused by social desirability. Methods

#### Sample

Data were obtained from a representative household population study conducted in 2004 in Hong Kong. A total of 4,347 eligible households were randomly sampled from the Register of Quarters maintained by the Census and Statistics Department of the Government of Hong Kong, which was the most up-to-date and complete sampling frame available. All family members of the selected households who met the inclusion criteria were invited to participate. The study criteria were: (a) 16 years of age or older, (b) Chinese ethnicity, (c) married or cohabitating, (d) ability to speak Cantonese, Mandarin or English, and (e) written informed consent. All eligible family members who had agreed to participate were interviewed face-to-face by trained interviewers. The study procedures were approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of Hong Kong. Of all eligible participants, a total of 5,049 Chinese adults

were successfully interviewed, with a response rate of 70 %. The present study employed a sub-sample of data from the household population study. Only female respondents were included in the analysis procedures, giving a sample of 2,708 complete self-reporting records of married women.

資料來源: Chan, K. L. (2012). Predicting the Risk of Intimate Partner Violence:

The Chinese Risk Assessment Tool for Victims. Journal of Family Violence, 27:157-164

## 國立政治大學 /02 學年度博士班招生考試試題

第2頁,共4頁

考試科目社會研究方法(含物計)所別社會工作研究所考試時間5月11日(广、)第2節

- 三、王齡竟、陳毓文(2010)探討衝突與支持對青少年憂鬱情緒之影響。以下表一爲各變項之間的相關矩陣、表二爲階層迴歸結果。請依據表格資料回答以下問題:(25分)
- (1) 依據表一資料,請解釋各變項之間的相關關係。
- (2) 依據表二資料,請針對模式一與模式二進行分析,並分述其統計結果。

### 表一 青少年憂鬱情緒與各個自變項之相關矩陣表

	憂鬱情緒	父母衝突	親子衝突	同儕支持	家外成年 人支持	專業支持
憂鬱情緒						
雙親衝突	.30***	/				
親子衝突	.40***	.36***				
同儕支持	20***	17***	07**	-	-//	A.
家外成年人支持	22***	22***	/16***	.60***		
專業支持	18***	14***	10**	.54***	.56***	//
*p < .05, **p < .01,	***p<.001					
					1 //	- 7/
			\			

#### 國立政治大學 10ン 學年度博士班招生考試試題

, 共 4 頁

凶 工以 石	八子(0)	子十万	人鬥工班招	生考 試証	、延		
					e	第分頁,共	4
考 試 科 目社會研究方法	(包括计)所 为	刊社會工作研	究所	考試時間	5 月 1]	1日(大)第2	2 節
表二 階層迴歸結果	gen a se						
自變項		模式一	模式	七二			
	β	SE	β	SE			
性別(男=1・女=0)	18**	** .53	19***	.53			
年齡	.11**	.16	.11***	.16			
危險因子				i.			
雙親衝突	.13**	.04	.12***	.04			
親子衝突	.33**	.01	.33***	.01			
保護因子							
同儕支持	16**	.03	16***	.03			
家外成年人支持	05	.03	04	.03			
專業支持	04	.04	04	.03			
交互作用							
雙親衝突×同儕支持			.07*	.00			
I was the same of							

 $F_{(7,1234)} = 59.46***$ 

 $R^2 = .252$ 

 $\Delta R^2 = .223***$ 

.00

.00

.00

.00

.00

-.10\*\*

-.01

-.08\*

.07\*

 $F_{(13, 1228)} = 33.43***$ 

 $R^2 = .261$ 

 $\Delta R^2 = .009*$ 

雙親衝突×家外成年人支持

雙親衝突×專業支持

親子衝突×同儕支持

親子衝突×家外成年人支持

親子衝突×專業支持

模式顯著性

模式解釋力

\**p*<.05, \*\**p*<.01, \*\*\**p*<.001

### 國立政治大學 (02 學年度博士班招生考試試題

第 4頁,共 4 頁

考試科目社會研究方法(气绕計)所别社會工作研究所考試時間5月11日(六)第2節

四、Chao (2009) conducted a study comprised of 1,085 immigrant youth of Chinese, Korean, and Filipino descent, and also European American youth from high schools in the greater Los Angeles area. The study examined types of parental control and adolescents' affective interpretations of parents' control. Parent-control variables included strictness, provide structure, and psychological control. The author then measured adolescents' affective interpretations of anger toward each type of parental control. Table 3 provides descriptive information of all variables and results of Tukey post hoc tests following univariate analyses of variance (ANOVAs) between each ethnic group. Using information provided in Table 3, please provide your interpretations of the results with respect to (1) types of parental control and (2) adolescents' affective interpretations toward types of parental control. (25分)

Table 3 Means (and standard deviations) for all variables

	n. M (and SD) for participants						
Variable	Whole sample	Chinese	Korean	Filipino	European American	Differences acros groups	
Strictness	n = 1.082, 3.05 (0.73)	n = 196, 3.23(0.77)	n = 172, 3.04 (0.74)	n = 113, 3.10(0.69)	n = 601, 2.99 (0.72)	E < C	
Provides structure	n = 1.077, 3.65 (0.81)	n = 196, 3.69 (0.80)	n = 170, 3.56 (0.78)	n = 112, 3.56 (0.82)	n = 599, 3.67 (0.82)		
Psychological control	n = 1.082, 2.30 (0.75)		n = 172, 2.38 (0.72)			E < C, K, F	
Strictness-feeling angry	n = 996, 2.36 (0.69)	n = 183, 2.21 (0.67)	n = 151, 2.33 (0.67)	n = 109, 2.40 (0.74)	n = 553, 2.42 (0.70)	C < E	
Provides structure-feeling angry	n = 973, 3.59 (0.62)	n = 172, 3.66 (0.58)	n = 146, 3.59 (0.60)	n = 109, 3.60 (0.61)	n = 546, 3.57 (0.65)		
Psychological control-feeling angry	n = 991, 2.80(0.70)	n = 180, 2.61 (0.67)	n = 151, 2.64 (0.66)	n = 109, 2.54 (0.68)	n = 551, 2.96 (0.69)	C, K, F < E	

註