

## Appendix B : A Listing File of Model II

Given  $B = 1,000,000$ ,  $a_1 = 334(kbps)$ ,  $a_2 = 166(kbps)$ ,  $a_3 = 56(kbps)$ ,  $r_1 = 167(kbps)$ ,  $r_2 = 83(kbps)$ , and  $r_3 = 28(kbps)$  where  $\delta_i = a_i/r_i = 2$ ,  $\forall i = 1, 2, 3$ . Just like in Table 4.2, three different QoS classes are given, where class 1 has the highest priority and class 3 has the lowest priority. We change the numbers of connections in class 1 to  $(J_1, J_2, J_3) = (190, 100, 100)$ , and the numerical results are shown in Table 4.13.

Following is the listing file of the program Model II.

General Algebraic Modeling System  
Compilation

```
1 *Model II*
2
4
5
6 options
7 MINLP=BARON,
8 SOLVEOPT=REPLACE,
9 DECIMALS=8;
10
11 *Model II*
12
13 *宣告集合的大小 *
14 ****
15 SETS
16 i " index of class 1 to 3 in Model II " /1*3/,
17 k " index of another class 1 to 3 in Model II " /1*3|,
18 e " index of link 1 to 14 in Model II " /1*14/;
19
20 *Model II*
21
22 *宣告參數*
23 ****
24 PARAMETERS
25 J(i) " Number of connection of class i in Model II "
26 /
27 1      190
28 2      100
29 3      100
30
31 /,
32 o(k) " k in Model II "
33 /
34 1      1
35 2      2
36 3      3
```



```

37
38 /,
39
40
41 capital_B " Total budget in Model II " /1000000,
42
43
44
45 U(e) " Capacity of link e in Model II "
46 /
47 1      230000
48 2      350000
49 3      100000
50 4      250000
51 5      210000
52 6      220000
53 7      200000
54 8      300000
55 9      210000
56 10     270000
57 11     150000
58 12     180000
59 13     300000
60 14     350000
61
62
63 /,
64 small_k(e) " The link cost of bandwidth for each link e in Model II "
65 /
66 1      5
67 2      6
68 3      10
69 4      5
70 5      4
71 6      11
72 7      6
73 8      8
74 9      6
75 10     7
76 11     12
77 12     6
78 13     5
79 14     6
80
81
82 /,
83 l(e) " Mean delay in Model II "
84 /
85 1      0.03
86 2      0.032
87 3      0.035
88 4      0.012
89 5      0.02
90 6      0.012
91 7      0.03
92 8      0.015
93 9      0.027
94 10     0.012
95 11     0.03
96 12     0.02
97 13     0.035
98 14     0.035
99

```

```

100
101 /,
102 capital_D(i) " Maximal end-to-end delay in Model II "
103 /
104 1      0.89
105 2      1.02
106 3      2.34
107
108 /,
109 a(i) " Asp. Level in Model II "
110 /
111 1      334
112 2      166
113 3      56
114
115 /,
116 r(i) " Res. Level in Model II "
117 /
118 1      167
119 2      83
120 3      28
121
122 /,
123 s(i) " Mean Packet Size in Model II "
124 /
125 1      35
126 2      16.6
127 3      12.5
128
129 /,
130 b(i) " Bandwidth requirement of class i in Model II "
131 /
132 1      160
133 2      80
134 3      25
135
136 /;
137
138 *Model II*
139
140 *變數限制*
141 *****
142 free variable Z " Total utililiation sum in Model II ";
143
144 free variable t(k) " Free variable t(k) in Model II ";
145 free variable y(k) " Free variable y(k) in Model II ";
146 free variable f(i) " Free variable f(i) in Model II ";
147
148 positive variables q(i) " Bandwidth of each class i in Model II ";
149 positive variables v(k) " Weight w(i) of each class i, satisfies w(i)=sum(
150   k=i to 3, v(k)) in Model II ";
151 positive variables hat_w(k) " Ordered weight of each class k in Model II "
152   ;
153 positive variables d(i,k) " The difference between t(k) and f(i) in Model
154   II ";
155
156 *Model II*
157
158 *宣告限制式*
159 *****
160 EQUATIONS

```

```

161 OBJ " Maximizing total utilijation sum in Model II ",
162 equal1(k) " y(k)=k*t(k)-sum(i=1 to 3, d(i,k)) in Model II ",
163 equal2(i) " f(i)=(log((q(i)+0.00001)/r(i)))/ (log(a(i)/r(i))) in Model II
",
164 small(i,k) " t(k)-d(i,k)<=f(i) in Model II ",
165 budg " Sum of q(i)*x(i,e) is smaller then budget in Model II ",
166 capa(e) " Smaller then capacity in Model II ",
167 dela(i) " Smaller then delay in Model II ",
168 requ(i) " q(i) bigger then bandwidth requirement b(i) in Model II ,
169 sour(i) " Source sum is 1 in Model II ,
170 inout2(i) " In equal out in node 2 in Model II ",
171 inout3(i) " In equal out in node 3 in Model II ",
172 inout4(i) " In equal out in node 4 in Model II ",
173 inout5(i) " In equal out in node 5 in Model II ",
174 inout6(i) " In equal out in node 6 in Model II ",
175 dest(i) " Destination sum is 1 in Model II ",
176 weig " Sum of h*v(h) equals 1 in Model II " ,
177 weig1 " v(3)=hat_w(3) in Model II " ,
178 weig2 " v(2)+v(3)=hat_w(2) in Model II " ,
179 weig3 " v(1)+v(2)+v(3)=hat_w(1) in Model II " ;
180
181
182 *Model II*
183
184 * 目標函數與限制式表示 *
185 ****
186
187 OBJ..
188 Z == SUM(k,v(k)*y(k));
189
190
191 equal1(k)..
192 y(k)==o(k)*t(k)-SUM(i,d(i,k));
193
194 equal2(i)..
195 f(i)==(log((q(i)+0.00001)/r(i)))/ (log(a(i)/r(i)));
196
197 small(i,k)..
198 t(k)-d(i,k)=l=f(i);
199
200 budg..
201 SUM((i,e),J(i)*small_k(e)*q(i)*x(i,e))=l=capital_B;
202
203 capa(e)..  

204 SUM(i,J(i)*q(i)*x(i,e))=l=U(e);
205
206 dela(i)..  

207 SUM(e,l(e)*x(i,e))=l=capital_D(i);
208
209
210 requ(i)..  

211 q(i)=g=b(i);
212
213 sour(i)..  

214 x(i,'1')+x(i,'2')+x(i,'3')=e=1;
215
216
217
218
219 inout2(i)..  

220 x(i,'1')=e= x(i,'4')+ x(i,'5')+ x(i,'6');
221
222 inout3(i)..  

223 x(i,'2')+x(i,'4')=e= x(i,'7')+ x(i,'8');
224
225 inout4(i)..
```

```

226 x(i,'5')+x(i,'3')+x(i,'7')=e= x(i,'9')+x(i,'11')+x(i,'10');
227
228 inout5(i)..  

229 x(i,'6')+x(i,'9')+x(i,'12')=e= x(i,'13');  

230
231 inout6(i)..  

232 x(i,'10')+x(i,'8')=e= x(i,'12')+x(i,'14');  

233
234 dest(i)..  

235 x(i,'13')+x(i,'11')+x(i,'14')=e=1;  

236
237 weig..  

238 SUM(k,o(k)*v(k))=e=1;  

239
240 weig1..  

241 v('3')=e=hat_w('3');  

242
243 weig2..  

244 v('2')+v('3')=e=hat_w('2');  

245
246 weig3..  

247 v('1')+v('2')+v('3')=e=hat_w('1');  

248
249 *Model II*
250
251 *宣告模型 *
252 ****
253 MODEL Model_II /ALL/;  

254 SOLVE Model_II USING MINLP MAXIMIZING Z;  

255 *結果呈現*
256 ****
257
258 display q.l;

```

COMPILATION TIME = 0.000 SECONDS 3.2 Mb WIN213-138 Feb 03, 2004

General Algebraic Modeling System  
Equation Listing SOLVE Model\_II Using MINLP From line 254

```

---- OBJ  =E= Maximizing total utilijation sum in Model II

OBJ.. Z + (0)*y(1) + (0)*y(2) + (0)*y(3) + (0)*v(1) + (0)*v(2) + (0)*v(3) =E= 0
; (LHS = 0)

---- equal1  =E= y(k)=k*t(k)-sum(i=1 to 3, d(i,k)) in Model II

equal1(i).. - t(1) + y(1) + d(1,1) + d(2,1) + d(3,1) =E= 0 ; (LHS = 0)

equal1(2).. - 2*t(2) + y(2) + d(1,2) + d(2,2) + d(3,2) =E= 0 ; (LHS = 0)

equal1(3).. - 3*t(3) + y(3) + d(1,3) + d(2,3) + d(3,3) =E= 0 ; (LHS = 0)

---- equal2  =E= f(i)=(log((q(i)+0.00001)/r(i)))/ (log(a(i)/r(i))) in Model II

equal2(1).. f(1) - (144269.504088896)*q(1) =E= 0 ;
(LHS = 23.9933447669109, INFES = 23.9933447669109 ***)

```

```

equal2(2).. f(2) - (144269.504088896)*q(2) =E= 0 ;
(LHS = 22.9846799057837, INFES = 22.9846799057837 ***)

equal2(3).. f(3) - (144269.504088896)*q(3) =E= 0 ;
(LHS = 21.4169953964944, INFES = 21.4169953964944 ***)

---- small =L= t(k)-d(i,k)<=f(i) in Model II

small(1,1).. t(1) - f(1) - d(1,1) =L= 0 ; (LHS = 0)

small(1,2).. t(2) - f(1) - d(1,2) =L= 0 ; (LHS = 0)

small(1,3).. t(3) - f(1) - d(1,3) =L= 0 ; (LHS = 0)

REMAINING 6 ENTRIES SKIPPED

---- budg =L= Sum of q(i)*x(i,e) is smaller then budget in Model II

budg.. (0)*q(1) + (0)*q(2) + (0)*q(3) + (0)*x(1,1) + (0)*x(1,2) + (0)*x(1,3)
+ (0)*x(1,4) + (0)*x(1,5) + (0)*x(1,6) + (0)*x(1,7) + (0)*x(1,8)
+ (0)*x(1,9) + (0)*x(1,10) + (0)*x(1,11) + (0)*x(1,12) + (0)*x(1,13)
+ (0)*x(1,14) + (0)*x(2,1) + (0)*x(2,2) + (0)*x(2,3) + (0)*x(2,4)
+ (0)*x(2,5) + (0)*x(2,6) + (0)*x(2,7) + (0)*x(2,8) + (0)*x(2,9)
+ (0)*x(2,10) + (0)*x(2,11) + (0)*x(2,12) + (0)*x(2,13) + (0)*x(2,14)
+ (0)*x(3,1) + (0)*x(3,2) + (0)*x(3,3) + (0)*x(3,4) + (0)*x(3,5)
+ (0)*x(3,6) + (0)*x(3,7) + (0)*x(3,8) + (0)*x(3,9) + (0)*x(3,10)
+ (0)*x(3,11) + (0)*x(3,12) + (0)*x(3,13) + (0)*x(3,14) =L= 1000000 ;
(LHS = 0)

---- capa =L= Smaller then capacity in Model III

capa(1).. (0)*q(1) + (0)*q(2) + (0)*q(3) + (0)*x(1,1) + (0)*x(2,1) + (0)*x(3,1)
=L= 230000 ; (LHS = 0)

capa(2).. (0)*q(1) + (0)*q(2) + (0)*q(3) + (0)*x(1,2) + (0)*x(2,2) + (0)*x(3,2)
=L= 350000 ; (LHS = 0)

capa(3).. (0)*q(1) + (0)*q(2) + (0)*q(3) + (0)*x(1,3) + (0)*x(2,3) + (0)*x(3,3)
=L= 100000 ; (LHS = 0)

REMAINING 11 ENTRIES SKIPPED

---- dela =L= Smaller then delay in Model II

dela(1).. 0.03*x(1,1) + 0.032*x(1,2) + 0.035*x(1,3) + 0.012*x(1,4)
+ 0.02*x(1,5) + 0.012*x(1,6) + 0.03*x(1,7) + 0.015*x(1,8) + 0.027*x(1,9)

```

```

+ 0.012*x(1,10) + 0.03*x(1,11) + 0.02*x(1,12) + 0.035*x(1,13)
+ 0.035*x(1,14) =L= 0.89 ; (LHS = 0)

dela(2).. 0.03*x(2,1) + 0.032*x(2,2) + 0.035*x(2,3) + 0.012*x(2,4)
+ 0.02*x(2,5) + 0.012*x(2,6) + 0.03*x(2,7) + 0.015*x(2,8) + 0.027*x(2,9)
+ 0.012*x(2,10) + 0.03*x(2,11) + 0.02*x(2,12) + 0.035*x(2,13)
+ 0.035*x(2,14) =L= 1.02 ; (LHS = 0)

dela(3).. 0.03*x(3,1) + 0.032*x(3,2) + 0.035*x(3,3) + 0.012*x(3,4)
+ 0.02*x(3,5) + 0.012*x(3,6) + 0.03*x(3,7) + 0.015*x(3,8) + 0.027*x(3,9)
+ 0.012*x(3,10) + 0.03*x(3,11) + 0.02*x(3,12) + 0.035*x(3,13)
+ 0.035*x(3,14) =L= 2.34 ; (LHS = 0)

---- requ =G= q(i) bigger then bandwidth requirement b(i) in Model II

requ(1).. q(1) =G= 160 ; (LHS = 0, INFES = 160 ***)
requ(2).. q(2) =G= 80 ; (LHS = 0, INFES = 80 ***)
requ(3).. q(3) =G= 25 ; (LHS = 0, INFES = 25 ***)

---- sour =E= Source sum is 1 in Model II

sour(1).. x(1,1) + x(1,2) + x(1,3) =E= 1 ; (LHS = 0, INFES = 1 ***)
sour(2).. x(2,1) + x(2,2) + x(2,3) =E= 1 ; (LHS = 0, INFES = 1 ***)
sour(3).. x(3,1) + x(3,2) + x(3,3) =E= 1 ; (LHS = 0, INFES = 1 ***)

---- inout2 =E= In equal out in node 2 in Model II

inout2(1).. x(1,1) - x(1,4) - x(1,5) - x(1,6) =E= 0 ; (LHS = 0)
inout2(2).. x(2,1) - x(2,4) - x(2,5) - x(2,6) =E= 0 ; (LHS = 0)
inout2(3).. x(3,1) - x(3,4) - x(3,5) - x(3,6) =E= 0 ; (LHS = 0)

---- inout3 =E= In equal out in node 3 in Model II

inout3(1).. x(1,2) + x(1,4) - x(1,7) - x(1,8) =E= 0 ; (LHS = 0)
inout3(2).. x(2,2) + x(2,4) - x(2,7) - x(2,8) =E= 0 ; (LHS = 0)
inout3(3).. x(3,2) + x(3,4) - x(3,7) - x(3,8) =E= 0 ; (LHS = 0)

---- inout4 =E= In equal out in node 4 in Model II

inout4(1).. x(1,3) + x(1,5) + x(1,7) - x(1,9) - x(1,10) - x(1,11) =E= 0 ;
(LHS = 0)

```

```

inout4(2).. x(2,3) + x(2,5) + x(2,7) - x(2,9) - x(2,10) - x(2,11) =E= 0 ;
(LHS = 0)

inout4(3).. x(3,3) + x(3,5) + x(3,7) - x(3,9) - x(3,10) - x(3,11) =E= 0 ;
(LHS = 0)

---- inout5 =E= In equal out in node 5 in Model II

inout5(1).. x(1,6) + x(1,9) + x(1,12) - x(1,13) =E= 0 ; (LHS = 0)
inout5(2).. x(2,6) + x(2,9) + x(2,12) - x(2,13) =E= 0 ; (LHS = 0)
inout5(3).. x(3,6) + x(3,9) + x(3,12) - x(3,13) =E= 0 ; (LHS = 0)

---- inout6 =E= In equal out in node 6 in Model II

inout6(1).. x(1,8) + x(1,10) - x(1,12) - x(1,14) =E= 0 ; (LHS = 0)
inout6(2).. x(2,8) + x(2,10) - x(2,12) - x(2,14) =E= 0 ; (LHS = 0)
inout6(3).. x(3,8) + x(3,10) - x(3,12) - x(3,14) =E= 0 ; (LHS = 0)

---- dest =E= Destination sum is 1 in Model II

dest(1).. x(1,11) + x(1,13) + x(1,14) =E= 1 ; (LHS = 0, INFES = 1 ***)
dest(2).. x(2,11) + x(2,13) + x(2,14) =E= 1 ; (LHS = 0, INFES = 1 ***)
dest(3).. x(3,11) + x(3,13) + x(3,14) =E= 1 ; (LHS = 0, INFES = 1 ***)

---- weig =E= Sum of h*v(h) equals 1 in Model II

weig.. v(1) + 2*v(2) + 3*v(3) =E= 1 ; (LHS = 0, INFES = 1 ***)

---- weig1 =E= v(3)=hat_w(3) in Model II

weig1.. v(3) - hat_w(3) =E= 0 ; (LHS = 0)

---- weig2 =E= v(2)+v(3)=hat_w(2) in Model II

weig2.. v(2) + v(3) - hat_w(2) =E= 0 ; (LHS = 0)

---- weig3 =E= v(1)+v(2)+v(3)=hat_w(1) in Model II

weig3.. v(1) + v(2) + v(3) - hat_w(1) =E= 0 ; (LHS = 0)

```

General Algebraic Modeling System  
Column Listing      SOLVE Model\_II Using MINLP From line 254

---- Z Total utilization sum in Model II

```

Z
(.LO, .L, .UP = -INF, 0, +INF)
1   OBJ

---- t  Free variable t(k) in Model II

t(1)
(.LO, .L, .UP = -INF, 0, +INF)
-1   equal1(1)
1    small(1,1)
1    small(2,1)
1    small(3,1)

t(2)
(.LO, .L, .UP = -INF, 0, +INF)
-2   equal1(2)
1    small(1,2)
1    small(2,2)
1    small(3,2)

t(3)
(.LO, .L, .UP = -INF, 0, +INF)
-3   equal1(3)
1    small(1,3)
1    small(2,3)
1    small(3,3)

---- y  Free variable y(k) in Model II

y(1)
(.LO, .L, .UP = -INF, 0, +INF)
(0)   OBJ
1    equal1(1)

y(2)
(.LO, .L, .UP = -INF, 0, +INF)
(0)   OBJ
1    equal1(2)

y(3)
(.LO, .L, .UP = -INF, 0, +INF)
(0)   OBJ
1    equal1(3)

---- f  Free variable f(i) in Model II

f(1)
(.LO, .L, .UP = -INF, 0, +INF)
1    equal2(1)
-1   small(1,1)
-1   small(1,2)
-1   small(1,3)

f(2)
(.LO, .L, .UP = -INF, 0, +INF)
1    equal2(2)
-1   small(2,1)
-1   small(2,2)
-1   small(2,3)

```

```

f(3)
(.L0, .L, .UP = -INF, 0, +INF)
1      equal2(3)
-1      small(3,1)
-1      small(3,2)
-1      small(3,3)

---- q  Bandwidth of each class i in Model II

q(1)
(.L0, .L, .UP = 0, 0, +INF)
(-144269.5041) equal2(1)
(0)    budg
(0)    capa(1)
(0)    capa(2)
(0)    capa(3)
(0)    capa(4)
(0)    capa(5)
(0)    capa(6)
(0)    capa(7)
(0)    capa(8)
(0)    capa(9)
(0)    capa(10)
(0)    capa(11)
(0)    capa(12)
(0)    capa(13)
(0)    capa(14)
1      requ(1)

q(2)
(.L0, .L, .UP = 0, 0, +INF)
(-144269.5041) equal2(2)
(0)    budg
(0)    capa(1)
(0)    capa(2)
(0)    capa(3)
(0)    capa(4)
(0)    capa(5)
(0)    capa(6)
(0)    capa(7)
(0)    capa(8)
(0)    capa(9)
(0)    capa(10)
(0)    capa(11)
(0)    capa(12)
(0)    capa(13)
(0)    capa(14)
1      requ(2)

q(3)
(.L0, .L, .UP = 0, 0, +INF)
(-144269.5041) equal2(3)
(0)    budg
(0)    capa(1)
(0)    capa(2)
(0)    capa(3)
(0)    capa(4)
(0)    capa(5)
(0)    capa(6)
(0)    capa(7)
(0)    capa(8)
(0)    capa(9)

```

```

(O)    capa(10)
(O)    capa(11)
(O)    capa(12)
(O)    capa(13)
(O)    capa(14)
1    requ(3)

---- v  Weight w(i) of each class i, satisfies w(i)=sum(k=i to 3, v(k)) in Model
1 II

v(1)
(.L0, .L, .UP = 0, 0, +INF)
(O)    OBJ
1    weig
1    weig3

v(2)
(.L0, .L, .UP = 0, 0, +INF)
(O)    OBJ
2    weig
1    weig2
1    weig3

v(3)
(.L0, .L, .UP = 0, 0, +INF)
(O)    OBJ
3    weig
1    weig1
1    weig2
1    weig3

---- hat_w  Ordered weight of each class k in Model II

hat_w(1)
(.L0, .L, .UP = 0, 0, +INF)
-1    weig3

hat_w(2)
(.L0, .L, .UP = 0, 0, +INF)
-1    weig2

hat_w(3)
(.L0, .L, .UP = 0, 0, +INF)
-1    weig1

---- d  The difference between t(k) and f(i) in Model II

d(1,1)
(.L0, .L, .UP = 0, 0, +INF)
1    equal1(1)
-1    small(1,1)

d(1,2)
(.L0, .L, .UP = 0, 0, +INF)
1    equal1(2)
-1    small(1,2)

d(1,3)
(.L0, .L, .UP = 0, 0, +INF)
1    equal1(3)

```

```

-1      small(1,3)

REMAINING 6 ENTRIES SKIPPED

---- x  Binary variables in Model II

x(1,1)
(.L0, .L, .UP = 0, 0, 1)
(0)    budg
(0)    capa(1)
0.03   dela(1)
1      sour(1)
1      inout2(1)

x(1,2)
(.L0, .L, .UP = 0, 0, 1)
(0)    budg
(0)    capa(2)
0.032  dela(1)
1      sour(1)
1      inout3(1)

x(1,3)
(.L0, .L, .UP = 0, 0, 1)
(0)    budg
(0)    capa(3)
0.035  dela(1)
1      sour(1)
1      inout4(1)

```

REMAINING 39 ENTRIES SKIPPED

General Algebraic Modeling System  
 Model Statistics SOLVE Model\_II Using MINLP From line 254

#### MODEL STATISTICS

BLOCKS OF EQUATIONS	19	SINGLE EQUATIONS	62
BLOCKS OF VARIABLES	9	SINGLE VARIABLES	70
NON ZERO ELEMENTS	325	NON LINEAR N-Z	138
DERIVATIVE POOL	52	CONSTANT POOL	40
CODE LENGTH	1011	DISCRETE VARIABLES	42

GENERATION TIME = 0.031 SECONDS 4.0 Mb WIN213-138 Feb 03, 2004

EXECUTION TIME = 0.031 SECONDS 4.0 Mb WIN213-138 Feb 03, 2004

General Algebraic Modeling System  
 Solution Report SOLVE Model\_II Using MINLP From line 254

#### S O L V E      S U M M A R Y

MODEL	Model_II	OBJECTIVE	Z
TYPE	MINLP	DIRECTION	MAXIMIZE
SOLVER	BARON	FROM LINE	254

\*\*\*\* SOLVER STATUS 3 RESOURCE INTERRUPT

```

**** MODEL STATUS      8 INTEGER SOLUTION
**** OBJECTIVE VALUE          0.6617

RESOURCE USAGE, LIMIT      1000.000      1000.000
ITERATION COUNT, LIMIT          0      10000
EVALUATION ERRORS          0          0

```

Baron 6.0 Jan 19, 2004 WIN.BA.NA 21.3 006.000.000.VIS P3PC

Branch And Reduce Optimization Navigator  
Nikolaos Sahinidis and Mohit Tawarmalani  
The Optimization Firm, LLC.

Total time elapsed : 000:16:40,	in seconds: 1000.00
on parsing : 000:00:00, in seconds: 0.03	
on preprocessing: 000:00:00, in seconds: 0.38	
on navigating : 000:00:14, in seconds: 13.84	
on relaxed : 000:00:41, in seconds: 41.38	
on local : 000:11:56, in seconds: 715.97	
on tightening : 000:00:12, in seconds: 11.88	
on marginals : 000:00:00, in seconds: 0.00	
on probing : 000:03:37, in seconds: 216.53	

Total no. of BaR iterations: 144561  
Best solution found at node: 1765  
Max. no. of nodes in memory: 4357

Solution = 0.661749956769 found at node 1765  
Best possible = 169.418332839  
Absolute gap = 168.756582882231 optca = 0.1  
Relative gap = 0.99609 optcr = 0.1

LOWER LEVEL UPPER MARGINAL  
---- EQU OBJ . . . 1.000

OBJ Maximizing total utilijation sum in Model II

---- EQU equal1 y(k)=k\*t(k)-sum(i=1 to 3, d(i,k)) in Model II

LOWER LEVEL UPPER MARGINAL  
1 . . . .  
2 . . . .  
3 . . . . 0.333

---- EQU equal2 f(i)=(log((q(i)+0.00001)/r(i)))/ (log(a(i)/r(i))) in Model II

LOWER LEVEL UPPER MARGINAL  
1 . . . 0.333  
2 . . . 0.333  
3 . . . 0.333

---- EQU small t(k)-d(i,k)<=f(i) in Model II

LOWER LEVEL UPPER MARGINAL  
1.1 -INF . . .  
1.2 -INF . . .  
1.3 -INF . . . 0.333

2.1	-INF	-0.301	.	.
2.2	-INF	-0.301	.	.
2.3	-INF	.	.	0.333
3.1	-INF	-1.869	.	.
3.2	-INF	-1.869	.	.
3.3	-INF	.	.	0.333

LOWER LEVEL UPPER MARGINAL

---- EQU budg -INF 1.0000E+6 1.0000E+6 2.4536E-6

budg Sum of q(i)\*x(i,e) is smaller then budget in Model II

---- EQU capa Smaller then capacity in Model II

LOWER LEVEL UPPER MARGINAL

1	-INF	.	2.3000E+5	.
2	-INF	50000.000	3.5000E+5	.
3	-INF	.	1.0000E+5	.
4	-INF	.	2.5000E+5	.
5	-INF	.	2.1000E+5	.
6	-INF	.	2.2000E+5	.
7	-INF	.	2.0000E+5	.
8	-INF	50000.000	3.0000E+5	.
9	-INF	.	2.1000E+5	.
10	-INF	.	2.7000E+5	.
11	-INF	.	1.5000E+5	.
12	-INF	.	1.8000E+5	.
13	-INF	.	3.0000E+5	.
14	-INF	50000.000	3.5000E+5	.

---- EQU dela Smaller then delay in Model II

LOWER LEVEL UPPER MARGINAL

1	-INF	0.082	0.890	.
2	-INF	0.082	1.020	.
3	-INF	0.082	2.340	.

---- EQU requ q(i) bigger then bandwidth requirement b(i) in Model II

LOWER LEVEL UPPER MARGINAL

1	160.000	160.000	+INF	-0.006
2	80.000	98.000	+INF	4.829E-10
3	25.000	98.000	+INF	.

---- EQU sour Source sum is 1 in Model II

LOWER LEVEL UPPER MARGINAL

1	1.000	1.000	1.000	-0.373
2	1.000	1.000	1.000	-0.120
3	1.000	1.000	1.000	-0.120

---- EQU inout2 In equal out in node 2 in Model II

LOWER LEVEL UPPER MARGINAL

1	.	.	.	.
2	.	.	.	.
3	.	.	.	.

---- EQU inout3 In equal out in node 3 in Model II

	LOWER	LEVEL	UPPER	MARGINAL
1	.	.	.	-0.075
2	.	.	.	-0.024
3	.	.	.	-0.024

---- EQU inout4 In equal out in node 4 in Model II

	LOWER	LEVEL	UPPER	MARGINAL
1	.	.	.	-0.298
2	.	.	.	-0.096
3	.	.	.	-0.096

---- EQU inout5 In equal out in node 5 in Model II

	LOWER	LEVEL	UPPER	MARGINAL
1	.	.	.	-0.746
2	.	.	.	-0.240
3	.	.	.	-0.240

---- EQU inout6 In equal out in node 6 in Model II

	LOWER	LEVEL	UPPER	MARGINAL
1	.	.	.	-0.671
2	.	.	.	-0.216
3	.	.	.	-0.216

---- EQU dest Destination sum is 1 in Model II

	LOWER	LEVEL	UPPER	MARGINAL
1	1.000	1.000	1.000	-1.119
2	1.000	1.000	1.000	-0.361
3	1.000	1.000	1.000	-0.361

	LOWER	LEVEL	UPPER	MARGINAL
----	EQU weig	1.000	1.000	1.000 0.662
----	EQU weig1	.	.	.
----	EQU weig2	.	.	.
----	EQU weig3	.	.	.

weig Sum of h\*v(h) equals 1 in Model II

weig1 v(3)=hat\_w(3) in Model II

weig2 v(2)+v(3)=hat\_w(2) in Model II

weig3 v(1)+v(2)+v(3)=hat\_w(1) in Model II

	LOWER	LEVEL	UPPER	MARGINAL
----	VAR Z	-INF	0.662	+INF .

Z Total utilization sum in Model II

---- VAR t Free variable t(k) in Model II

	LOWER	LEVEL	UPPER	MARGINAL
--	-------	-------	-------	----------

```

1     -INF    -0.062    +INF    .
2     -INF    -0.062    +INF    .
3     -INF     1.807    +INF    .

----- VAR y  Free variable y(k) in Model II

      LOWER     LEVEL     UPPER     MARGINAL

1     -INF    -0.062    +INF    .
2     -INF    -0.124    +INF    .
3     -INF     1.985    +INF    .

----- VAR f  Free variable f(i) in Model II

      LOWER     LEVEL     UPPER     MARGINAL

1     -INF    -0.062    +INF    .
2     -INF     0.240    +INF    .
3     -INF     1.807    +INF    .

----- VAR q  Bandwidth of each class i in Model II

      LOWER     LEVEL     UPPER     MARGINAL

1     .      160.000    +INF    .
2     .      98.000    +INF    .
3     .      98.000    +INF    .

----- VAR v  Weight w(i) of each class i, satisfies w(i)=sum(k=i to 3, v(k)) in
               Model II

      LOWER     LEVEL     UPPER     MARGINAL

1     .      .      +INF    -0.724
2     .      .      +INF    -1.447
3     .      0.333    +INF    .

----- VAR hat_w  Ordered weight of each class k in Model II

      LOWER     LEVEL     UPPER     MARGINAL

1     .      0.333    +INF    .
2     .      0.333    +INF    .
3     .      0.333    +INF    .

----- VAR d  The difference between t(k) and f(i) in Model II

      LOWER     LEVEL     UPPER     MARGINAL

1.1    .      .      +INF    .
1.2    .      .      +INF    .
1.3    .      1.869    +INF    .
2.1    .      .      +INF    .
2.2    .      .      +INF    .
2.3    .      1.568    +INF    .
3.1    .      .      +INF    .
3.2    .      .      +INF    .
3.3    .      .      +INF    .

----- VAR x  Binary variables in Model II

      LOWER     LEVEL     UPPER     MARGINAL

```

1.1	.	.	1.000	EPS
1.2	.	1.000	1.000	EPS
1.3	.	.	1.000	-0.075
1.4	.	.	1.000	-0.298
1.5	.	.	1.000	EPS
1.6	.	.	1.000	-0.075
1.7	.	.	1.000	-0.224
1.8	.	1.000	1.000	EPS
1.9	.	.	1.000	EPS
1.10	.	.	1.000	-0.149
1.11	.	.	1.000	-0.075
1.12	.	.	1.000	-0.373
1.13	.	.	1.000	EPS
1.14	.	1.000	1.000	EPS
2.1	.	.	1.000	EPS
2.2	.	1.000	1.000	EPS
2.3	.	.	1.000	-0.024
2.4	.	.	1.000	-0.096
2.5	.	.	1.000	EPS
2.6	.	.	1.000	-0.024
2.7	.	.	1.000	-0.072
2.8	.	1.000	1.000	EPS
2.9	.	.	1.000	EPS
2.10	.	.	1.000	-0.048
2.11	.	.	1.000	-0.024
2.12	.	.	1.000	-0.120
2.13	.	.	1.000	EPS
2.14	.	1.000	1.000	EPS
3.1	.	.	1.000	EPS
3.2	.	1.000	1.000	EPS
3.3	.	.	1.000	-0.024
3.4	.	.	1.000	-0.096
3.5	.	.	1.000	EPS
3.6	.	.	1.000	-0.024
3.7	.	.	1.000	-0.072
3.8	.	1.000	1.000	EPS
3.9	.	.	1.000	EPS
3.10	.	.	1.000	-0.048
3.11	.	.	1.000	-0.024
3.12	.	.	1.000	-0.120
3.13	.	.	1.000	EPS
3.14	.	1.000	1.000	EPS

```
**** REPORT SUMMARY :      0    NONOPT
                           0    INFEASIBLE
                           0    UNBOUNDED
                           0    ERRORS
```

General Algebraic Modeling System  
Execution

---- 258 VARIABLE q.L Bandwidth of each class i in Model II

1 1.600000E+2, 2 97.99999518, 3 98.00000482

EXECUTION TIME = 0.000 SECONDS 2.9 Mb WIN213-138 Feb 03, 2004

USER: Chin-Chen Fan H040721:1606AP-WIN  
National ChengChi University, Department of Mathematical ScDC4809  
License for teaching and research at degree granting institutions

\*\*\*\* FILE SUMMARY