

# Chapter 4

## On a Conjecture of C. C. Yang

### 4.1 Introduction

We say that two polynomials  $f$  and  $g$  share the value  $a \in \mathbb{C}_\infty$  provided that if  $f(z) = a$  if, and only if  $g(z) = a$ . We will state whether a shared value is by CM(counting multiplicities) or by IM(ignoring multiplicities).

For the sharing value problem of polynomials, we have the following simple well-known results [35].

**Theorem 4.1.1** *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be non-constant polynomials and  $a$  be a finite complex number. If  $f$  and  $g$  share  $a$  CM, then there exists a non-zero constant  $K$  such that  $f - a \equiv K(g - a)$ .*

**Corollary 4.1.2** *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be non-constant polynomials and  $a$  be a finite complex number. If  $f$  and  $g$  share  $a$  CM, and if there exists a point  $z_0$  such that  $f(z_0) = g(z_0) \neq a$ , then  $f \equiv g$ .*

For the case of sharing values IM, Adams-Straus[1] proved the following result.

**Theorem 4.1.3** *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be non-constant polynomials and  $a, b$  be distinct finite complex numbers. If  $f$  and  $g$  share  $a$  and  $b$  IM, then  $f \equiv g$ .*

Note that the number 2 in Theorem 4.1.3 is sharp. For example, the following polynomials

$$f(z) = (z - 1)(z - 2)^2, \quad g(z) = (z - 1)^2(z - 2)$$

share 0 IM, but  $f \not\equiv g$ .

In [22], C. C. Yang suggested the following problem: Let  $p(z)$  and  $q(z)$  be two non-constant polynomials of the same degree satisfying

$$p(z)(p(z) - 1) = 0 \Leftrightarrow q(z)(q(z) - 1) = 0.$$

Prove (or disprove) that either  $p(z) \equiv q(z)$  or  $p(z) + q(z) \equiv 1$ . In [35], C. C. Yang exhibited the following example to show that the problem may not be true.

Let  $p(z)$  and  $q(z)$  be polynomials defined by

$$p(z) = \frac{1}{2}z(z^2 - 3), \quad q(z) = \frac{1}{3}(2z^2 - 5).$$

Then  $(p(z) + 1)(p(z) - 1) = 0 \Leftrightarrow (q(z) + 1)(q(z) - 1) = 0$ . But  $p(z) \not\equiv q(z)$  and  $p(z) + q(z) \not\equiv -1 + 1 = 0$ .

In the above example, the degree of  $p(z)$  and  $q(z)$  are distinct, therefore, C. C. Yang [22, 36] raised the following conjecture: Let  $p(z)$  and  $q(z)$  be two non-constant polynomials of the same degree. If there are distinct finite complex numbers  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  satisfying

$$(p(z) - \alpha)(p(z) - \beta) = 0 \Leftrightarrow (q(z) - \alpha)(q(z) - \beta) = 0,$$

then  $p(z) \equiv q(z)$  or  $p(z) + q(z) \equiv \alpha + \beta$ . We will give an elementary proof of this conjecture in section 4.3

## 4.2 Some Lemmas

We remark that, in [13] and [23], there are some equivalent descriptions and special cases about Yang's conjecture. In [23], Moh gave a proof of the Yang's conjecture by using algebraic method. In order to prove Yang's conjecture, we need some basic properties of polynomials.

**Lemma 4.2.1** *Let  $p(z)$  be a polynomial of degree  $n$  and let  $\alpha$  be a nonzero complex number. If  $p(z)$  has  $k$  distinct zeros and  $p(z) - \alpha$  has  $r$  distinct zeros, then  $k + r \geq n + 1$ .*

**Proof.** Write  $p(z) = a(z - u_1)^{l_1} \cdots (z - u_k)^{l_k}$  and  $p(z) - \alpha = a(z - v_1)^{m_1} \cdots (z - v_r)^{m_r}$ , where  $u_1, \dots, u_k, v_1, \dots, v_r$  are distinct complex numbers and  $a \neq 0$ . Then  $u_i$  and  $v_j$  are distinct zeros of  $p'(z)$  of order  $l_i - 1$  and  $m_j - 1$ , respectively. Since  $p'(z)$  is a polynomial of degree  $n - 1$ , we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^k (l_i - 1) + \sum_{j=1}^r (m_j - 1) \leq n - 1.$$

Since  $l_1 + \cdots + l_k = m_1 + \cdots + m_r = n$ , we get  $k + r \geq n + 1$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.2.2** *Let  $p(z)$  be a polynomial of degree  $n$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be distinct complex numbers. If  $p(z) - \alpha$  has  $k$  distinct zeros and  $p(z) - \beta$  has  $r$  distinct zeros, then  $k + r \geq n + 1$ .*

**Proof.** By Lemma 4.2.1 and replace  $p(z)$  by  $p(z) - \alpha$ , we are done.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.3** *Let  $n \geq 2$  and  $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n, c_1, c_2$  be complex numbers. If*

$$\prod_{i=1}^n (z - a_i) = \prod_{i=1}^n (z - b_i) + c_1 \tag{4.2.1}$$

and

$$\prod_{i=1}^s (z - a_i) \prod_{i=s+1}^n (z - b_i) = \prod_{i=1}^s (z - b_i) \prod_{i=s+1}^n (z - a_i) + c_2 \tag{4.2.2}$$

for some  $1 \leq s \leq n - 1$ , then  $c_1 = c_2 = 0$ .

**Proof.** By equalities (4.2.1) and (4.2.2), we have

$$\prod_{i=1}^s (z - a_i) \left[ \prod_{i=s+1}^n (z - a_i) - \prod_{i=s+1}^n (z - b_i) \right] = \prod_{i=1}^s (z - b_i) \left[ \prod_{i=s+1}^n (z - b_i) - \prod_{i=s+1}^n (z - a_i) \right] + c_1 - c_2.$$

Hence,

$$\left[ \prod_{i=1}^s (z - a_i) + \prod_{i=1}^s (z - b_i) \right] \left[ \prod_{i=s+1}^n (z - a_i) - \prod_{i=s+1}^n (z - b_i) \right] = c_1 - c_2,$$

which implies that

$$\prod_{i=s+1}^n (z - a_i) = \prod_{i=s+1}^n (z - b_i)$$

and  $c_1 = c_2 = 0$ . □

By Lemma 4.2.3, we have the following consequence.

**Corollary 4.2.4** Let  $n \geq 2$  and  $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n, c_1, c_2$  be complex numbers.

If  $c_1 \neq c_2$  then the two equalities

$$\prod_{i=1}^n (z - a_i) = \prod_{i=1}^n (z - b_i) + c_1$$

and

$$\prod_{i=1}^s (z - a_i) \prod_{i=s+1}^n (z - b_i) = \prod_{i=1}^s (z - b_i) \prod_{i=s+1}^n (z - a_i) + c_2$$

can not hold simultaneously.

Note that, in Lemma 4.2.3 and Corollary 4.2.4,  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  and  $b_1, \dots, b_n$  may not be distinct.

### 4.3 Main Result and Proof

Now, we can prove the C. C. Yang's conjecture.

**Theorem 4.3.1** *Let  $p(z)$  and  $q(z)$  be non-constant polynomials of the same degree. If there exists distinct finite complex numbers  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  satisfying*

$$(p(z) - \alpha)(p(z) - \beta) = 0 \Leftrightarrow (q(z) - \alpha)(q(z) - \beta) = 0,$$

*then either  $p(z) \equiv q(z)$  or  $p(z) + q(z) \equiv \alpha + \beta$ .*

**Proof.** Assume that  $\deg(p) = \deg(q) = n$ . Write  $p(z) - \alpha = a \prod_{i=1}^k (z - u_i)^{l_i}$  and  $p(z) - \beta = a \prod_{i=1}^r (z - v_i)^{m_i}$ , where  $a \neq 0$ ,  $u_1, \dots, u_k$  are the distinct roots of  $p(z) - \alpha$  with multiplicities  $l_1, \dots, l_k$  and  $v_1, \dots, v_r$  are the distinct roots of  $p(z) - \beta$  with multiplicities  $m_1, \dots, m_r$ , respectively. We separate the proof into three cases:

**Case1.**  $q(z) - \alpha = b \prod_{i=1}^k (z - u_i)^{l'_i}$ , where  $l'_i \geq 1$  may differ from  $l_i$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^k l'_i = n$ .

In this case, we get  $q(z) - \beta = b \prod_{i=1}^r (z - v_i)^{m'_i}$ . Hence,  $p(z)$  and  $q(z)$  share  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  IM. By Theorem 4.1.3,  $p(z) \equiv q(z)$ .

**Case2.**  $q(z) - \alpha = b \prod_{i=1}^r (z - v_i)^{m'_i}$ , where  $m'_i \geq 1$  may differ from  $m_i$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^r m'_i = n$ .

In this case, we get  $q(z) - \beta = b \prod_{i=1}^k (z - u_i)^{l'_i}$ . Hence,  $p(z) + q(z) = \alpha + \beta$  for  $z = u_1, \dots, u_k, v_1, \dots, v_r$ . By Corollary 4.2.2,  $k + r \geq n + 1$ . Since  $p(z) + q(z)$  is a polynomial of degree less than or equal to  $n$  and  $p(z) + q(z) = \alpha + \beta$  has at least  $n + 1$  distinct roots, it must be the case that  $p(z) + q(z) \equiv \alpha + \beta$ .

**Case3.**  $q(z) - \alpha = b \prod_{i=1}^h (z - u_i)^{l'_i} \prod_{i=t+1}^r (z - v_i)^{m'_i}$  for some  $1 \leq h \leq k - 1$  and  $1 \leq t \leq r - 1$ , where  $\sum_{i=1}^h l'_i + \sum_{i=t+1}^r m'_i = n$ .

In this case, we get  $q(z) - \beta = b \prod_{i=1}^t (z - v_i)^{m'_i} \prod_{i=h+1}^k (z - u_i)^{l'_i}$ . By assumption,

$$p(z) = a \prod_{i=1}^k (z - u_i)^{l_i} + \alpha$$

and

$$p(z) = a \prod_{i=1}^r (z - v_i)^{m_i} + \beta,$$

which imply that

$$\prod_{i=1}^k (z - u_i)^{l_i} = \prod_{i=1}^r (z - v_i)^{m_i} + \frac{\beta - \alpha}{a}.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\prod_{i=1}^h (z - u_i)^{l'_i} \prod_{i=t+1}^r (z - v_i)^{m'_i} = \prod_{i=1}^t (z - v_i)^{m'_i} \prod_{i=h+1}^k (z - u_i)^{l'_i} + \frac{\beta - \alpha}{b}.$$

By Lemma 4.2.3, we get  $\frac{\beta - \alpha}{a} = \frac{\beta - \alpha}{b} = 0$ , i.e.,  $\alpha = \beta$  which is impossible.  $\square$