Chapter 4

High Order Ordinary Differential Equation Equipped with A Kind of Three-Point Boundary Condtion

4.1 Introduction

In the last thirty years, a great deal of works has been done to study the positive solutions of two point boundary value problem for differential equations which are used to describe a number of physical, biological and chemical phenomena. For additional background and results, we refer the reader to the monograph by Agarwal and Wong [2, 3, 7, 8, 9], Agarwal, O'Regan and Wong [10] as well as the recent contributions by [12, 24, 29, 30, 50, 51, 59, 60, 52, 63].

Boundary value problems for higher order differential equations can arise, especially for fourth order equations. Recently, three point or multi-point boundary value problem of the differential equations were presented and studied by many authors, see [48, 49, 59, 60].

In this chapter, we attempt to establish some existence theorems of positive solutions for the following $n + 2^{\text{th}}$ order nonlinear boundary value problem:

$$(HBVP) \begin{cases} (HE) \ [\phi_p(u^{(n)}(t))]'' = f(t, u(t), u^{(1)}(t), \cdots, u^{(n+1)}(t)) \\ \\ \\ (BC_5) \end{cases} \begin{cases} u^{(i)}(0) = 0, \ i = 0, 1, 2, \dots n - 3, \\ u^{(n-2)}(0) = \xi u^{(n-2)}(1), \\ u^{(n-2)}(0) = \xi u^{(n-2)}(1), \\ u^{(n-1)}(1) = \eta u^{(n-1)}(0), \\ u^{(n)}(0) = \mu u^{(n)}(\delta), \\ u^{(n)}(1) = \nu u^{(n)}(\delta), \end{cases}$$

where $f: (0,1) \times \mathbb{R}^{n+2} \to [0,+\infty)$ is a continuous function; $\mu, \nu \ge 0, \xi \ne 1, \eta \ne 1, 0 < \delta < 1, n \ge 2$ and $\phi_p(z) = |z|^{p-2}z$ for p > 1.

In 2006, Ma and Ge [52] has studied this topic for boundary value problem:

$$(HBVP_5^*) \begin{cases} (HE_5^*) \ [\phi_p(u''(t))]'' = a(t)f(u(t)) \\ \\ (BC_5^*) \\ \\ (BC_5^*) \end{cases} \begin{cases} u(0) = \xi u(1), \\ u'(1) = \eta u'(0), \\ u''(0) = \mu u''(\delta), \\ u''(1) = \nu u''(\delta). \end{cases}$$

They applied a fixed-point theorem to establish the existence of at least three positive solutions of $(HBVP_5^*)$. Now, we consider the more general case (HBVP) and hope to obtain some extension of the excellent results of Ma and Ge [52].

4.2 Preliminaries

In order to abbreviate our discussion, we need the following observations and lemmas.

Throughout this chapter, we assume that

(C₅) q is a constant and satisfies $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$;

and observe that

$$(C_6) \quad (\phi_p)^{-1}(z) := \phi_q(z) = |z|^{q-2}z$$

Definition 4.2.1 Let X be a real Banach space and P be a cone of X. A map $\psi: P \to [0, +\infty)$ is called a nonnegative continuous concave functional map if ψ is nonnegative, continuous and satisfies for all $x, y \in P$ and $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$\psi(tx + (1-t)y) \ge t\psi(x) + (1-t)\psi(y)$$

Definition 4.2.2 Let X be a real Banach space and P be a cone of X. A map $\beta : P \to [0, +\infty)$ is called a nonnegative continuous convex functional map if β is nonnegative, continuous and satisfies for all $x, y \in P$ and $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$\beta(tx + (1-t)y) \le t\beta(x) + (1-t)\beta(y).$$

Let γ, β and θ be nonnegative continuous convex functionals on P, and let α and ψ be nonnegative continuous concave functionals on P. For given nonnegative numbers h, a, b, d and c, we define the following sets:

$$\begin{split} P(\gamma, c) &= \{x \in P \mid \gamma(x) < c\}, \\ P(\gamma, \alpha, a, c) &= \{x \in P \mid a \leq \alpha(x), \gamma(x) \leq c\}, \\ Q(\gamma, \beta, d, c) &= \{x \in P \mid \beta(x) \leq d, \gamma(x) \leq c\}, \\ P(\gamma, \theta, \alpha, a, b, c) &= \{x \in P \mid a \leq \alpha(x), \theta(x) \leq b, \gamma(x) \leq c\}, \\ Q(\gamma, \beta, \psi, h, d, c) &= \{x \in P \mid h \leq \psi(x), \beta(x) \leq d, \gamma(x) \leq c\}. \end{split}$$

In order to obtain multiple positive solutions of (HBVP), the following fixedpoint theorem due to Avery which is a generalization of Leggett-Williams fixedpoint theorem will be fundamental.

Lemma 4.2.3 ([12], Theorem 2.4) Let X be a real Banach space and P be a cone of X. Suppose γ, β and θ are three nonnegative continuous convex functionals

on P and α, ψ are two nonnegative continuous concave functionals on P such that there are $c, L \in (0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$\alpha(x) \le \beta(x), \ ||x|| \le L\gamma(x)$$

for $x \in \overline{P(\gamma, c)}$. Suppose further that

$$T: \overline{P(\gamma, c)} \to \overline{P(\gamma, c)}$$

is completely continuous and there exist $h, d, a, b \ge 0$ with 0 < d < a such that each of the following is satisfied:

(i) {x ∈ P(γ, θ, α, a, b, c) | α(x) > a} ≠ Ø and α(Tx) > a for x ∈ P(γ, θ, α, a, b, c),
(ii) {x ∈ Q(γ, β, ψ, h, d, c) | β(x) < d} ≠ Ø and β(Tx) < d for x ∈ Q(γ, β, ψ, h, d, c),
(iii) α(Tx) > a for x ∈ P(γ, α, a, c) with θ(Tx) > b,
(iv) β(Tx) < d for x ∈ Q(γ, β, d, c) with ψ(Tx) < h.
Then, T has at least three fixed points x₁, x₂, x₃ ∈ P(γ, c) such that β(x₁) < d, a < α(x₂), d < β(x₃) with α(x₃) < a.

Lemma 4.2.4 ([52]) Suppose that H is continuous on [0, 1], then the unique solution of boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} -y'' = H(t) \ in \ (0,1) \\ y(0) = \phi_p(\mu)y(\delta), \ y(1) = \phi_p(\nu)y(\delta), \end{cases}$$
(4.2.1)

is

$$y(t) = \frac{1}{M} \int_0^1 g(t,s) H(s) ds,$$

where

$$M := 1 - \phi_p(\mu) - (\phi_p(\nu) - \phi_p(\mu))\delta \neq 0$$
(4.2.2)

and

1

$$g(t,s) = \begin{cases} s(1-t) + \phi_p(\nu)s(t-\delta), \ 0 \le s \le t < \delta < 1 \ or \ 0 \le s \le \delta \le t \le 1, \\ t(1-s) + \phi_p(\nu)t(s-\delta) + \phi_p(\mu)(1-\delta)(s-t), \ 0 \le t \le s \le \delta < 1, \\ s(1-t) + \phi_p(\nu)\delta(t-s) + \phi_p(\mu)(1-t)(\delta-s), \ 0 \le \delta \le s \le t \le 1, \\ (1-s)(t-\phi_p(\mu)t + \phi_p(\mu)\delta), \ 0 < \delta \le t \le s \le 1 \ or \ 0 \le t < \delta \le s \le 1. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 4.2.5 ([52]) Suppose that H is continuous on [0, 1], then the unique solution of boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} -y'' = H(t) \ in \ (0, 1), \\ y(0) = \xi y(1), \ y'(1) = \eta y'(0), \end{cases}$$
(4.2.3)

is

$$y(t) = \frac{1}{M_1} \int_0^1 h(t,s) H(s) ds,$$

where

$$M_1 = (1 - \xi)(1 - \eta) \neq 0$$

and

$$h(t,s) = \begin{cases} s + \eta(t-s) + \xi \eta(1-t), & 0 \le s \le t \le 1, \\ t + \xi(s-t) + \xi \eta(1-s), & 0 \le t \le s \le 1. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 4.2.6 ([52]) Suppose that $0 \le \xi$, $\eta < 1, 0 < t_1 < t_2 < 1$ and, $\delta \in (0, 1)$. Then, for all $s \in [0, 1]$,

$$\frac{h(t_1,s)}{h(t_2,s)} \ge \frac{t_1}{t_2} \tag{4.2.4}$$

and

$$\frac{h(1,s)}{h(\delta,s)} \le \frac{1}{\delta} \tag{4.2.5}$$

hold.

Lemma 4.2.7 ([52]) Suppose that ξ , $\eta > 1$, $0 < t_1 < t_2 < 1$ and $\delta \in (0, 1)$. Then, for all $s \in [0, 1]$,

$$\frac{h(t_2,s)}{h(t_1,s)} \ge \frac{1-t_2}{1-t_1} \tag{4.2.6}$$

and

$$\frac{h(0,s)}{h(\delta,s)} \le \frac{1}{1-\delta} \tag{4.2.7}$$

hold.

4.3 Three Positive solutions

Here, we consider the classical Banach space $X = C^n([0, 1])$ which is equipped with the usual norm. The cones P_1 and $P_2 \subset X$ are defined as follows:

 $P_1 = \{ u \in X \mid u^{(n-2)}(t) \text{ is nonnegative concave and nondecreasing on } [0,1] \}$ and

 $P_2 = \{ u \in X \mid u^{(n-2)}(t) \text{ is nonnegative concave and nonincreasing on } [0,1] \}.$

Next, let $t_1, t_2, t_3 \in (0, 1)$ with $t_1 < t_2$ be fixed. Moreover, we shall define the nonnegative continuous concave functionals α, ψ and nonnegative convex functionals β, θ, γ on P_1 by

$$\gamma(x) = \max_{t \in [0,t_3]} x^{(n-2)}(t) = x^{(n-2)}(t_3), x \in P_1,$$

$$\psi(x) = \min_{t \in [\delta,1]} x^{(n-2)}(t) = x^{(n-2)}(\delta), x \in P_1,$$

$$\beta(x) = \max_{t \in [t_1,t_2]} x^{(n-2)}(t) = x^{(n-2)}(1), x \in P_1,$$

$$\alpha(x) = \min_{t \in [t_1,t_2]} x^{(n-2)}(t) = x^{(n-2)}(t_1), x \in P_1,$$

$$\theta(x) = \max_{t \in [t_1,t_2]} x^{(n-2)}(t) = x^{(n-2)}(t_2), x \in P_1.$$

Theorem 4.3.1 Suppose that M > 0 and the following assumptions hold:

 $\begin{array}{ll} (A_4) & f: (0,1) \times \mathbb{R}^{n+2} \to [0,\infty) \text{ is continuous,} \\ (A_5) & \xi, \eta \in [0,1) \text{ and } a, b, c \in (0,\infty) \text{ satisfying } 0 < a < b < \frac{t_2}{t_1}b \leq c \\ and \end{array}$

 (A_6) there are three positive constants k_1, k_2, k_3 satisfy the following conditions:

$$(1^{\circ}) \quad f(t, y_1, \cdots, y_{n+2}) > k_1 \phi_p(\frac{b}{B}),$$

$$for (t, y_1, \cdots, y_{n+2}) \in [t_1, t_2] \times \prod_{k=0}^{n-2} [bt_1^{n-2-k}, \frac{t_2^{n-1-k}}{t_1}b] \times \mathbb{R}^3,$$

$$(2^{\circ}) \quad f(t, y_1, \cdots, y_{n+2}) < k_2 \phi_p(\frac{a}{C}),$$

$$for (t, y_1, \cdots, y_{n+2}) \in [0, 1] \times [0, a]^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^3,$$

$$(3^{\circ}) \quad f(t, y_1, \cdots, y_{n+2}) \leq k_3 \phi_p(\frac{c}{A}),$$

$$for (t, y_1, \cdots, y_{n+2}) \in [0, 1] \times [0, \frac{1}{t_3}c]^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^3,$$

where A, B and C are defined as follows:

$$A = \frac{1}{M_1 \phi_q(M)} \int_0^1 h(t_3, s) \phi_q[\int_0^1 k_3 \cdot g(s, r) dr] ds,$$

$$B = \frac{1}{M_1 \phi_q(M)} \int_0^1 h(t_1, s) \phi_q[\int_{t_1}^{t_2} k_1 \cdot g(s, r) dr] ds,$$

$$C = \frac{1}{M_1 \phi_q(M)} \int_0^1 h(1, s) \phi_q[\int_0^1 k_2 \cdot g(s, r) dr] ds.$$

Then, the boundary value problem (HBVP) has at least three positive solutions.

Proof. By Lemma 4.2.4, we obtain

$$u^{(n)}(t) = -\frac{1}{\phi_q(M)}\phi_q\left(\int_0^1 g(t,s)f(s,u(s),\cdots,u^{(n+1)}(s))ds\right)$$

Moreover, it follows from Lemma 4.2.5, we see that

$$u^{(n-2)}(t) = \frac{1}{M_1 \phi_q(M)} \int_0^1 h(t,s) \phi_q\left(\int_0^1 g(s,\tau) f(\tau, u(\tau), \cdots, u^{(n+1)}(\tau)) d\tau\right) ds.$$

Inspired by the above-mentioned result, we can define a completely continuous operator $T: P_1 \to X$ via

$$(Tu)^{(n-2)}(t) = \frac{1}{M_1\phi_q(M)} \int_0^1 h(t,s)\phi_q\left(\int_0^1 g(s,r)f(r,u(r),\cdots,u^{(n+1)}(r))dr\right) ds.$$

It is clear that u is a positive solution of (HBVP) if and only if u is a fixed point of T on cone P_1 . Since M and $M_1 > 0$,

$$(Tu)^{(n-2)} \ge 0$$
 for $u \in P_1$.

Furthermore,

$$\begin{split} ((Tu)^{(n-2)}(t))' = & \frac{1-\xi}{M_1\phi_q(M)} \{ \eta \int_0^t \phi_q \left(\int_0^1 g(s,r) f(r,u(r),u^{(1)}(r),\cdots,u^{(n+1)}(r)) dr \right) ds \\ &+ \int_t^1 \phi_q \left(\int_0^1 g(s,r) f(r,u(r),u^{(1)}(r),\cdots,u^{(n+1)}(r)) dr \right) ds \} \\ \ge & 0 \end{split}$$

and

$$((Tu)^{(n-2)}(t))'' = -\frac{1}{\phi_q(M)}\phi_q\left(\int_0^1 g(t,r)f(r,u(r),u^{(1)}(r),\cdots,u^{(n+1)}(r))dr\right) \le 0,$$

which imply $TP_1 \subset P_1$. Follows from $u \in P_1$, we see that

$$\gamma(u) = u^{(n-2)}(t_3) = u^{(n-2)}(t_3 \times 1 + (1-t_3) \times 0)$$

$$\geq t_3 u^{(n-2)}(1) + (1-t_3) u^{(n-2)}(0) \geq t_3 \times \max_{t \in [0,1]} |u^{(n-2)}(t)|.$$

Therefore, for $u \in \overline{P_1(\gamma, c)}$, we have

$$0 \le u^{(n-2)}(t) \le \max_{t \in [0,1]} |u^{(n-2)}(t)| \le \frac{1}{t_3}\gamma(u) \le \frac{1}{t_3}c$$

on [0, 1] which implies

$$0 \le u^{(k)}(t) \le \frac{1}{t_3}c$$
 on $[0, 1], \ k = 0, \cdots, n-2.$

This and $(A_6) - (3^\circ)$ imply

$$\begin{split} \gamma((Tu)) &= \max_{t \in [0,t_3]} (Tu)^{(n-2)}(t) = (Tu)^{(n-2)}(t_3) \\ &= \frac{1}{M_1 \phi_q(M)} \int_0^1 h(t_3, s) \phi_q \left(\int_0^1 g(s, r) f(r, u(r), u^{(1)}(r), \cdots, u^{(n+1)}(r)) dr \right) ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{M_1 \phi_q(M)} \int_0^1 h(t_3, s) \phi_q \left(\int_0^1 k_3 \cdot g(s, r) \cdot \phi_p(\frac{c}{A}) dr \right) ds \\ &= \frac{c}{A} \left\{ \frac{1}{M_1 \phi_q(M)} \int_0^1 h(t_3, s) \phi_q \left(\int_0^1 k_3 \cdot g(s, r) dr \right) ds \right\} = c. \end{split}$$

Therefore, we obtain that $T: \overline{P_1(\gamma, c)} \to \overline{P_1(\gamma, c)}$. Next, we separate the rest proof into the following steps:

Step 1 For some $\varepsilon_1 \in (0, \frac{t_2}{t_1}b - b)$, let

$$u_1^{(n-2)}(t) := b + \varepsilon_1,$$

then,

$$u_1 \in P_1(\gamma, \theta, \alpha, b, \frac{t_2}{t_1}b, c) = \{ x \in P_1 \mid b \le \alpha(x), \ \theta(x) \le \frac{t_2}{t_1}b, \ \gamma(x) \le c \}.$$

This means that $u_1 \in \{u \in P_1(\gamma, \theta, \alpha, b, \frac{t_2}{t_1}b, c) \mid \alpha(u) > b\} \neq \emptyset$ is well-defined. Moreover, we have

$$u^{(n-2)}(t) \ge u^{(n-2)}(t_1) = \alpha(u) \ge b$$

and

$$u^{(n-2)}(t) \le u^{(n-2)}(t_2) = \theta(u) \le \frac{t_2}{t_1}b$$

on $[t_1, t_2]$, which implies, for $u \in P_1(\gamma, \theta, \alpha, b, \frac{t_2}{t_1}b, c)$,

$$bt_1^{n-2-k} \le u^{(k)}(t) \le \frac{t_2^{n-1-k}}{t_1}b$$
 on $[t_1, t_2], \ k = 0, \dots, n-2$.

This and $(A_6) - (1^\circ)$ imply

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha((Tu)) &= \min_{t \in [t_1, t_2]} (Tu)^{(n-2)}(t) = (Tu)^{(n-2)}(t_1) \\ &= \frac{1}{M_1 \phi_q(M)} \int_0^1 h(t_1, s) \phi_q \left(\int_0^1 g(s, r) f(r, u(r), u^{(1)}(r), \cdots, u^{(n+1)}(r)) dr \right) ds \\ &> \frac{1}{M_1 \phi_q(M)} \int_0^1 h(t_1, s) \phi_q \left(\int_{t_1}^{t_2} k_1 \cdot g(s, r) \cdot \phi_p(\frac{b}{B}) dr \right) ds \\ &= \frac{b}{B} \left\{ \frac{1}{M_1 \phi_q(M)} \int_0^1 h(t_1, s) \phi_q \left(\int_{t_1}^{t_2} k_1 \cdot g(s, r) dr \right) ds \right\} = b. \end{aligned}$$

Step 2 For some $\varepsilon_2 \in (0, a - \delta a)$, let

$$u_2^{(n-2)}(t) := a - \varepsilon_2,$$

then,

$$u_2 \in Q_1(\gamma, \beta, \psi, \delta a, a, c) = \{ x \in P_1 \mid \delta a \le \psi(x), \beta(x) \le a, \gamma(x) \le c \}.$$

This means that $u_2 \in \{u \in Q_1(\gamma, \beta, \psi, \delta a, a, c) \mid \beta(u) < a\} \neq \emptyset$ is well-defined. Moreover, we have $0 \leq u^{(n-2)}(t) \leq u^{(n-2)}(1) = \beta(u) \leq a$ on [0, 1], which implies, for $u \in Q_1(\gamma, \beta, \psi, \delta a, a, c)$,

$$0 \le u^{(k)}(t) \le a \text{ on } [0,1], \ k = 0, \cdots, n-2.$$

This and $(A_6) - (2^\circ)$ imply

$$\begin{split} \beta((Tu)) &= \max_{t \in [\delta,1]} (Tu)^{(n-2)}(t) = (Tu)^{(n-2)}(1) \\ &= \frac{1}{M_1 \phi_q(M)} \int_0^1 h(1,s) \phi_q \left(\int_0^1 g(s,r) f(r,u(r),u^{(1)}(r),\cdots,u^{(n+1)}(r)) dr \right) ds \\ &< \frac{1}{M_1 \phi_q(M)} \int_0^1 h(1,s) \phi_q \left(\int_0^1 k_2 \cdot g(s,r) \cdot \phi_p(\frac{a}{C}) dr \right) ds \\ &= \frac{a}{C} \left\{ \frac{1}{M_1 \phi_q(M)} \int_0^1 h(1,s) \phi_q \left(\int_0^1 k_2 \cdot g(s,r) dr \right) ds \right\} = a. \end{split}$$

Step 3 For $u \in P_1(\gamma, \alpha, b, c) = \{x \in P_1 \mid b \le \alpha(x), \gamma(x) \le c\}$ with $\theta(Tu) > \frac{t_2}{t_1}b$, it follows from Lemma 4.2.6 that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha((Tu)) &= \min_{t \in [t_1, t_2]} (Tu)^{(n-2)}(t) = (Tu)^{(n-2)}(t_1) \\ &= \frac{1}{M_1 \phi_q(M)} \int_0^1 h(t_1, s) \phi_q \left(\int_0^1 g(s, r) f(r, u(r), \cdots, u^{(n+1)}(r)) dr \right) ds \\ &= \frac{1}{M_1 \phi_q(M)} \int_0^1 \frac{h(t_1, s)}{h(t_2, s)} h(t_2, s) \phi_q \left(\int_0^1 g(s, r) f(r, u(r), \cdots, u^{(n+1)}(r)) dr \right) ds \\ &\geq \frac{t_1}{t_2} \left\{ \frac{1}{M_1 \phi_q(M)} \int_0^1 h(t_2, s) \phi_q \left(\int_0^1 g(s, r) f(r, u(r), \cdots, u^{(n+1)}(r)) dr \right) ds \right\} \\ &= \frac{t_1}{t_2} \{ (Tu)^{(n-2)}(t_2) \} = \frac{t_1}{t_2} \max_{t \in [t_1, t_2]} (Tu)^{(n-2)}(t) \\ &= \frac{t_1}{t_2} \theta(Tu) > \frac{t_1}{t_2} \frac{t_2}{t_1} b = b. \end{aligned}$$

Step 4 For $u \in Q_1(\gamma, \beta, a, c) = \{x \in P_1 \mid \beta(x) \le a, \gamma(x) \le c\}$ with $\psi(Tu) < \delta a$, it follows from Lemma 4.2.6 that

$$\begin{split} \beta((Tu)) &= \max_{t \in [\delta, 1]} (Tu)^{(n-2)}(t) = (Tu)^{(n-2)}(1) \\ &= \frac{1}{M_1 \phi_q(M)} \int_0^1 h(1, s) \phi_q \left(\int_0^1 g(s, r) f(r, u(r), \cdots, u^{(n+1)}(r)) dr \right) ds \\ &= \frac{1}{M_1 \phi_q(M)} \int_0^1 \frac{h(1, s)}{h(\delta, s)} h(\delta, s) \phi_q \left(\int_0^1 g(s, r) f(r, u(r), \cdots, u^{(n+1)}(r)) dr \right) ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\delta} \left\{ \frac{1}{M_1 \phi_q(M)} \int_0^1 h(\delta, s) \phi_q \left(\int_0^1 g(s, r) f(r, u(r), \cdots, u^{(n+1)}(r)) dr \right) ds \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\delta} \{ (Tu)^{(n-2)}(\delta) \} = \frac{1}{\delta} \min_{t \in [\delta, 1]} (Tu)^{(n-2)}(t) \\ &= \frac{1}{\delta} \psi(Tu) < \frac{1}{\delta} \delta a = a. \end{split}$$

Therefore, the hypotheses of Lemma 4.2.3 are fulfilled. Thus, there exist three positive solutions u_1, u_2, u_3 for (HBVP).

Let $t_1, t_2, t_3 \in (0, 1)$ with $t_1 < t_2$ fixed. Moreover, we shall define the nonnegative continuous concave functionals α, ψ and nonnegative convex functionals β, θ, γ on P_2 by

$$\gamma(x) = \max_{t \in [t_3, 1]} x^{(n-2)}(t) = x^{(n-2)}(t_3), \ x \in P_2,$$

$$\psi(x) = \min_{t \in [0, \delta]} x^{(n-2)}(t) = x^{(n-2)}(\delta), \ x \in P_2,$$

$$\beta(x) = \max_{t \in [0, \delta]} x^{(n-2)}(t) = x^{(n-2)}(0), \ x \in P_2,$$

$$\alpha(x) = \min_{t \in [t_1, t_2]} x^{(n-2)}(t) = x^{(n-2)}(t_2), \ x \in P_2,$$

$$\theta(x) = \max_{t \in [t_1, t_2]} x^{(n-2)}(t) = x^{(n-2)}(t_1), \ x \in P_2.$$

Theorem 4.3.2 Suppose that M > 0 and the following assumptions hold:

$$(A_7)$$
 $f: (0,1) \times \mathbb{R}^{n+2} \to [0,\infty)$ is continuous,

(A₈) $\xi, \eta \in (1, \infty)$ and $a, b, c \in (0, \infty)$ satisfying $0 < a < b < \frac{1-t_1}{1-t_2}b \le c$, and

 (A_9) there are three positive constants k_1, k_2, k_3 satisfy $(2^\circ), (3^\circ)$, and

$$(4^{\circ}) \quad f(t, y_1, \cdots, y_{n+2}) > k_1 \phi_p(\frac{b}{B}), (t, y_1, \cdots, y_{n+2}) \in [t_1, t_2] \times \prod_{k=0}^{n-2} [bt_1^{n-2-k}, \frac{1-t_1}{1-t_2} t_2^{n-2-k}b] \times \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Then, the boundary value problem (HBVP) has at least three positive solutions.

Proof. By Lemma 4.2.4, we obtain

$$u^{(n)}(t) = -\frac{1}{\phi_q(M)}\phi_q\left(\int_0^1 g(t,s)f(s,u(s),\cdots,u^{(n+1)}(s))ds\right).$$

Moreover, it follows from Lemma 4.2.5, we see that

$$u^{(n-2)}(t) = \frac{1}{M_1 \phi_q(M)} \int_0^1 h(t,s) \phi_q\left(\int_0^1 g(s,\tau) f(\tau, u(\tau), \cdots, u^{(n+1)}(\tau)) d\tau\right) ds.$$

Inspired by the above-mentioned result, we can define a completely continuous operator $T: P_2 \to X$ via

$$(Tu)^{(n-2)}(t) = \frac{1}{M_1\phi_q(M)} \int_0^1 h(t,s)\phi_q\left(\int_0^1 g(s,r)f(r,u(r),\cdots,u^{(n+1)}(r))dr\right) ds.$$

It is clear that u is a positive solution of (HBVP) if and only if u is a fixed point of T on cone P_2 . Since M and $M_1 > 0$,

$$(Tu)^{(n-2)} \ge 0$$
 for $u \in P_2$.

Furthermore,

$$\begin{split} ((Tu)^{(n-2)}(t))' = & \frac{1-\xi}{M_1\phi_q(M)} \{ \eta \int_0^t \phi_q \left(\int_0^1 g(s,r) f(r,u(r),u^{(1)}(r),\cdots,u^{(n+1)}(r)) dr \right) ds \\ & + \int_t^1 \phi_q \left(\int_0^1 g(s,r) f(r,u(r),u^{(1)}(r),\cdots,u^{(n+1)}(r)) dr \right) ds \} \\ \leq & 0, \end{split}$$

and

$$((Tu)^{(n-2)}(t))'' = -\frac{1}{\phi_q(M)}\phi_q\left(\int_0^1 g(t,r)f(r,u(r),u^{(1)}(r),\cdots,u^{(n+1)}(r))dr\right) \le 0,$$

which imply $TP_2 \subset P_2$. Follows from $u \in P_2$, we see that

$$\gamma(u) = u^{(n-2)}(t_3) = u^{(n-2)}(t_3 \times 1 + (1-t_3) \times 0)$$

$$\geq t_3 u^{(n-2)}(1) + (1-t_3) u^{(n-2)}(0) \geq t_3 \times \max_{t \in [0,1]} |u^{(n-2)}(t)|.$$

Therefore, we have

$$0 \le u^{(n-2)}(t) \le \max_{t \in [0,1]} |u^{(n-2)}(t)| \le \frac{1}{t_3}\gamma(u) \le \frac{1}{t_3}c$$

on [0, 1], which implies, for $u \in \overline{P_2(\gamma, c)}$,

$$0 \le u^{(k)}(t) \le \frac{1}{t_3}c$$
 on $[0, 1], \ k = 0, \cdots, n-2.$

This and $(A_9) - (3^\circ)$ imply

$$\begin{split} \gamma((Tu)) &= \max_{t \in [t_3, 1]} (Tu)^{(n-2)}(t) = (Tu)^{(n-2)}(t_3) \\ &= \frac{1}{M_1 \phi_q(M)} \int_0^1 h(t_3, s) \phi_q \left(\int_0^1 g(s, r) f(r, u(r), u^{(1)}(r), \cdots, u^{(n+1)}(r)) dr \right) ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{M_1 \phi_q(M)} \int_0^1 h(t_3, s) \phi_q \left(\int_0^1 k_3 g(s, r) \phi_p(\frac{c}{A}) dr \right) ds \\ &= \frac{c}{A} \left\{ \frac{1}{M_1 \phi_q(M)} \int_0^1 h(t_3, s) \phi_q \left(\int_0^1 k_3 g(s, r) dr \right) ds \right\} = c. \end{split}$$

Therefore, we obtain that $T: \overline{P_2(\gamma, c)} \to \overline{P_2(\gamma, c)}$. Next, we separate the rest proof into the following steps:

Step 1 For some $\varepsilon_1 \in (0, \frac{1-t_1}{1-t_2}b - b)$, let

$$u_1^{(n-2)}(t) := b + \varepsilon_1,$$

then,

$$u_1 \in P_2(\gamma, \theta, \alpha, b, \frac{1 - t_1}{1 - t_2}b, c) = \{x \in P_2 \mid b \le \alpha(x), \theta(x) \le \frac{1 - t_1}{1 - t_2}b, \gamma(x) \le c\}.$$

This means that $u_1 \in \{u \in P_2(\gamma, \theta, \alpha, b, \frac{1-t_1}{1-t_2}b, c) \mid \alpha(u) > b\} \neq \emptyset$ is well-defined. Moreover, we have

$$u^{(n-2)}(t) \ge u^{(n-2)}(t_2) = \alpha(u) \ge b$$

and

$$u^{(n-2)}(t) \le u^{(n-2)}(t_1) = \theta(u) \le \frac{1-t_1}{1-t_2}b$$

on $[t_1, t_2]$, which implies, for $u \in P_2(\gamma, \theta, \alpha, b, \frac{1-t_1}{1-t_2}b, c)$,

$$b_1^{n-2-k} \le u^{(k)}(t) \le \frac{1-t_1}{1-t_2} t_2^{n-2-k} b$$
 on $[t_1, t_2], \ k = 0, \cdots, n-2.$

This and $(A_9) - (4^\circ)$ imply

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha((Tu)) &= \min_{t \in [t_1, t_2]} (Tu)^{(n-2)}(t) = (Tu)^{(n-2)}(t_2) \\ &= \frac{1}{M_1 \phi_q(M)} \int_0^1 h(t_2, s) \phi_q \left(\int_0^1 g(s, r) f(r, u(r), u^{(1)}(r), \cdots, u^{(n+1)}(r)) dr \right) ds \\ &> \frac{1}{M_1 \phi_q(M)} \int_0^1 h(t_2, s) \phi_q \left(\int_{t_1}^{t_2} k_1 \cdot g(s, r) \cdot \phi_p(\frac{b}{B}) dr \right) ds \\ &= \frac{b}{B} \left\{ \frac{1}{M_1 \phi_q(M)} \int_0^1 h(t_2, s) \phi_q \left(\int_{t_1}^{t_2} k_1 \cdot g(s, r) dr \right) ds \right\} = b. \end{aligned}$$

Step 2 For some $\varepsilon_2 \in (0, a - (1 - \delta)a)$, let

$$u_2^{(n-2)}(t) := a - \varepsilon_2,$$

then

$$u_2 \in P_2(\gamma, \beta, \psi, (1 - \delta)a, a, c) = \{ x \in P_2 \mid (1 - \delta)a \le \psi(x), \beta(x) \le a, \gamma(x) \le c \}.$$

This means that $u_2 \in \{u \in Q_2(\gamma, \beta, \psi, (1-\delta)a, a, c) \mid \beta(u) < a\} \neq \emptyset$ is well-defined. Moreover, we have

$$0 \le u^{(n-2)}(t) \le u^{(n-2)}(0) = \beta(u) \le a$$

on [0, 1], which implies, for $u \in Q_2(\gamma, \beta, \psi, (1 - \delta)a, a, c)$,

$$0 \le u^{(k)}(t) \le a \text{ on } [0,1], \ k = 0, \cdots, n-2.$$

This and $(A_9) - (2^\circ)$ imply

$$\begin{split} \beta((Tu)) &= \max_{t \in [0,\delta]} (Tu)^{(n-2)}(t) = (Tu)^{(n-2)}(0) \\ &= \frac{1}{M_1 \phi_q(M)} \int_0^1 h(0,s) \phi_q \left(\int_0^1 g(s,r) f(r,u(r),\cdots,u^{(n+1)}(r)) dr \right) ds \\ &< \frac{1}{M_1 \phi_q(M)} \int_0^1 h(0,s) \phi_q \left(\int_0^1 k_2 \cdot g(s,r) \cdot \phi_p(\frac{a}{C}) dr \right) ds \\ &= \frac{a}{C} \left\{ \frac{1}{M_1 \phi_q(M)} \int_0^1 h(0,s) \phi_q \left(\int_0^1 k_2 \cdot g(s,r) dr \right) ds \right\} = a. \end{split}$$

Step 3 For $u \in P_2(\gamma, \alpha, b, c) = \{x \in P_2 \mid b \le \alpha(x), \gamma(x) \le c\}$ with $\theta(Tu) > \frac{1-t_1}{1-t_2}b$, it follows from Lemma 4.2.7 that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(Tu) &= \min_{t \in [t_1, t_2]} (Tu)^{(n-2)}(t) = (Tu)^{(n-2)}(t_2) \\ &= \frac{1}{M_1 \phi_q(M)} \int_0^1 h(t_2, s) \phi_q \left(\int_0^1 g(s, r) f(r, u(r), \cdots, u^{(n+1)}(r)) dr \right) ds \\ &= \frac{1}{M_1 \phi_q(M)} \int_0^1 \frac{h(t_2, s)}{h(t_1, s)} h(t_1, s) \phi_q \left(\int_0^1 g(s, r) f(r, u(r), \cdots, u^{(n+1)}(r)) dr \right) ds \\ &\geq \frac{1 - t_2}{1 - t_1} \left\{ \frac{1}{M_1 \phi_q(M)} \int_0^1 h(t_2, s) \phi_q \left(\int_0^1 g(s, r) f(r, u(r), \cdots, u^{(n+1)}(r)) dr \right) ds \right\} \\ &= \frac{1 - t_2}{1 - t_1} \{ (Tu)^{(n-2)}(t_1) \} = \frac{1 - t_2}{1 - t_1} \max_{t \in [t_1, t_2]} (Tu)^{(n-2)}(t) \\ &= \frac{1 - t_2}{1 - t_1} \theta(Tu) > \frac{1 - t_2}{1 - t_1} \times \frac{1 - t_1}{1 - t_2} b = b. \end{aligned}$$

Step 4 For $Q_2(\gamma, \beta, a, c) = \{x \in P_2 \mid \beta(x) \le a, \gamma(x) \le c\}$ with $\psi(Tu) < (1 - \delta)a$,

it follows from Lemma 4.2.7 that

$$\begin{split} \beta(Tu) &= \max_{t \in [0,\delta]} (Tu)^{(n-2)}(t) = (Tu)^{(n-2)}(0) \\ &= \frac{1}{M_1 \phi_q(M)} \int_0^1 h(0,s) \phi_q \left(\int_0^1 g(s,r) f(r,u(r),\cdots,u^{(n+1)}(r)) dr \right) ds \\ &= \frac{1}{M_1 \phi_q(M)} \int_0^1 \frac{h(0,s)}{h(\delta,s)} h(\delta,s) \phi_q \left(\int_0^1 g(s,r) f(r,u(r),\cdots,u^{(n+1)}(r)) dr \right) ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(1-\delta)} \left\{ \frac{1}{M_1 \phi_q(M)} \int_0^1 h(\delta,s) \phi_q \left(\int_0^1 g(s,r) f(r,u(r),\cdots,u^{(n+1)}(r)) dr \right) ds \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{(1-\delta)} \{ (Tu)^{(n-2)}(\delta) \} = \frac{1}{(1-\delta)} \min_{t \in [0,\delta]} (Tu)^{(n-2)}(t) \\ &= \frac{1}{(1-\delta)} \psi(Tu) < \frac{1}{(1-\delta)} (1-\delta) a = a. \end{split}$$

Therefore, the hypotheses of Lemma 4.2.3 are fulfilled and there exist three positive solutions u_1, u_2, u_3 for (HBVP).