# Chapter 2 The Maximum Satisfaction Method 

In this chapter, we apply the MSM to solve a problem of QoS on All-IP networks. Especially, we focus on obtaining the maximum value of the satisfaction function and give numerical examples to illustrate an easy implementation of MSM.

### 2.1 The Two Phases: MBM and BRAM

## The first phase

Given a total budget $B$, the objective of Maximum Bandwidth Model (MBM) is to assign bandwidth to the network as much as possible with considering the requirement of each class but under the total budget constraint. The Mathematical program of MBM is given as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Maximize } & M=\sum_{e \in o_{1}} x_{e} \\
\text { Subject to } & \sum_{e \in L} k_{e} x_{e} \leq B \\
& \sum_{e \in I_{j}} x_{e}=\sum_{e \in O_{j}} x_{e}, \forall e \in L  \tag{9}\\
j \in N \\
& x_{e} \leq U_{e}, e \in L
\end{array}
$$

where $M$ is the total amount of projective bandwidth, and $x_{e}$ is the bandwidth allocated to the link $e$. Without constraint (8), MBM is simply a maximum
flow problem. In this model, it only involves the relation between budget and bandwidth; there is no random variable. Since it is a deterministic linear programming problem, we can solve it by a popular package, such as MATLAB [5].

## The second phase

Next, we introduce BRAM by employing mean values of number of connections in the optimization model. Let $\lambda_{i}$ be a random variable which represents the number of connections for the $i^{\text {th }}$ class. Denote by $E\left[\lambda_{i}\right]$ the expected value of $\lambda_{i}, i=1,2, \Lambda, m$. BRAM is constructed after $M$ and $x_{i}(e)$ are obtained in (7)~(9). Given the weights $w_{i} \in(0,1)$ and the bandwidth requirements for each class, i.e., $r_{1}, r_{2}, \Lambda, r_{m}$, BRAM is modeled in the following:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Maximize } & F=w_{1} \times f_{1}\left(\theta_{1}\right)+w_{2} \times f_{2}\left(\theta_{2}\right)+\Lambda+w_{m} \times f_{m}\left(\theta_{m}\right) \\
\text { Subject to } & E\left[\lambda_{1}\right] \times \theta_{1}+E\left[\lambda_{2}\right] \times \theta_{2}+\Lambda+E\left[\lambda_{m}\right] \times \theta_{m}=M \\
& \sum_{i} x_{i}(e) \theta_{i e}=x_{e}, \forall e \in L .  \tag{12}\\
& E\left[\lambda_{i}\right] \times \theta_{i}=\sum_{e \in O_{1}} \theta_{i e}, \forall e \in L \\
& \theta_{1} \geq r_{1}, \quad \theta_{2} \geq r_{2}, \Lambda, \theta_{m} \geq r_{m},
\end{array}
$$

where $\theta_{i}$ is the bandwidth allocated to each $i$, and $\theta_{i e}$ is the bandwidth allocated to each $i$ on the link $e$. In the model, we will be able to decide how to allocate the bandwidth to each class in order to maximize the satisfaction function of the network. Substituting $M$ in (11) by that in (7), we obtain the maximum value of the satisfaction function after solving (10).

Afterwards, there are two questions: is the output $F$ in (10) increasing
when the input $M$ is increasing as we fix $\left(E\left[\lambda_{1}\right], E\left[\lambda_{2}\right], \Lambda, E\left[\lambda_{m}\right]\right)$ in BRAM? Secondly, whether or not the value of $F$ by applying $\left(E\left[\lambda_{1}\right], E\left[\lambda_{2}\right], \Lambda\right.$, $E\left[\lambda_{m}\right]$ ) is the same as the average value of $F$ by taking random samples of $\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \Lambda, \lambda_{m}\right)$ when we fix $M$ ? We shall answer the first question by the following theorem but verify the second question by numerical simulation in next sections.

## Theorem 2.1

Let $\quad 0 \leq \tau^{(1)} \leq \tau^{(2)} \leq \Lambda \leq \tau^{(n)} \quad$ and $\quad F\left(\tau^{(1)}\right)=F^{(1)}, F\left(\tau^{(2)}\right)=F^{(2)}, \Lambda, F\left(\tau^{(n)}\right)$ $=F^{(n)}$. Then the outputs $F^{(1)}, F^{(2)}, \Lambda, F^{(n)}$ are increasing when $\tau^{(1)}, \tau^{(2)}$, $\Lambda, \tau^{(n)}$ are increasing and $\left(E\left[\lambda_{1}\right], E\left[\lambda_{2}\right], \Lambda, E\left[\lambda_{m}\right]\right)$ are fixed in BRAM.

Its proof is trivial since $F$ is assumed to be concave, e.g., a sum of utilization functions. The optimum value of (10) is increasing as $M$ is increasing when the constraints construct a convex set. Therefore, the output $F$ increases when $M$ increases in BRAM. In section 2.4, we will check this property by numerical simulation.

MSM includes two parts, i.e., MBM and BRAM. We present it as follows:

Step 1. Given $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \Lambda, \lambda_{m}$ compute the expected value $E\left[\lambda_{1}\right], E\left[\lambda_{2}\right], \Lambda$, $E\left[\lambda_{m}\right]$.

Step 2. Input $\left(E\left[\lambda_{1}\right], E\left[\lambda_{2}\right], \Lambda, E\left[\lambda_{m}\right]\right)$ and solve MBM to decide the routing paths and to find $M$.

Step 3. Input $M$ and solve BRAM to find the value of satisfaction function.

Suppose constraint (11) is calculated at random. That is it replaces $E\left[\lambda_{i}\right]$ by $\lambda_{i}$ but keep others unchanged. In this case the objective function
value $F$ becomes a random variable, too. According to the distribution of $\lambda_{i}$, we will carry out a simulation test to verify that the expected value of $F$ is equivalent to the optimal value of (10) with the expected values of $\lambda_{i}$, statistically. That is $F\left(E\left[\lambda_{i}\right]\right)=E\left[F\left(\lambda_{i}\right)\right]$. The simulation procedure is provided in the following. Its flowchart is drawn in Figure 2.1.

## Simulation Procedure

Step 0. Generate 30 random samples $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \Lambda, a_{m}\right)$ of Poisson distributions with respect to each means $E\left[\lambda_{1}\right], E\left[\lambda_{2}\right], \Lambda, E\left[\lambda_{m}\right]$.

Set $k=0$.
Step 1. $k=k+1$. Replace $\left(E\left[\lambda_{1}\right], E\left[\lambda_{2}\right], \Lambda, E\left[\lambda_{m}\right]\right)$ in BRAM by $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \Lambda, a_{m}\right)^{k}$ which is the random sample at the $k^{\text {th }}$ run in simulation.

Step 2. Input $M,\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \Lambda, a_{m}\right)^{k}$, find F by solving BRAM and obtain the bandwidth allocated to each $i$.

Step 3. If $k \geq 30$, go to Step 4; otherwise go to Step 1.
Step 4. Stop and calculate the average of satisfaction function, the average of bandwidth allocated to each $i$.

Finally, we check whether the satisfaction function value obtained from MSM falls into the $95 \%$ confidence interval of the satisfaction obtained by simulation. We give numerical examples to illustrate our approach in the following sections.

## MSM



Figure 2.1 MSM

### 2.2 Example 1 of MBM Model



Figure 2.2 A Sample Network

A network topology $\mathrm{G}=\langle N, L\rangle$ as shown in Figure 2.2 [13], where $N=$ $\{$ Node 1, Node 2, $\ldots$, Node 7$\}$ and $L=\left\{l_{i}, i=1,2, \Lambda, 14\right\}$. Let Node 1 and Node 7 be the source and destination respectively. Each connection is delivered from source to destination. The purchasing cost of bandwidth for each link are: $k_{1}=\$ 5, k_{2}=\$ 6, \quad k_{3}=\$ 10, \quad k_{4}=\$ 5, k_{5}=\$ 4, \quad k_{6}=\$ 11$, $k_{7}=\$ 6, \quad k_{8}=\$ 8, \quad k_{9}=\$ 6, \quad k_{10}=\$ 7, \quad k_{11}=\$ 12, \quad k_{12}=\$ 6, k_{13}=\$ 5, \quad$ and $k_{14}=\$ 6$. The maximal capacity of each link are: $U_{1}=2,300 \mathrm{kbps}$ (i.e., kilobits $/ \mathrm{sec}$ ), $U_{2}=3,500 \mathrm{kbps}, \quad U_{3}=1,000 \mathrm{kbps}, \quad U_{4}=2,500 \mathrm{kbps}$, $U_{5}=2,100 \mathrm{kbps}, U_{6}=2200 \mathrm{kbps}, U_{7}=2000 \mathrm{kbps}, U_{8}=3000 \mathrm{kbps}$, $U_{9}=2100 \mathrm{kbps}, U_{10}=2700 \mathrm{kbps}, U_{11}=1500 \mathrm{kbps}, U_{12}=1800 \mathrm{kbps}$, $U_{13}=3000 \mathrm{kbps}$, and $U_{14}=3500 \mathrm{kbps}$. The plan is set under the total available budget $\mathrm{B}=\$ 100000$. There are three different classes of sessions as shown in Table 2.1.

Table 2.1 Three different classes of sessions

| class | Bandwidth requirement | Average number of connections |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 167 kbps | 10 |
| 2 | 83 kbps | 10 |
| 3 | 28 kbps | 10 |

In MBM, we consider the objective function as $x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}$.
Maximize $x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}$
Subject to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 5 x_{1}+6 x_{2}+10 x_{3}+5 x_{4}+4 x_{5}+11 x_{6}+6 x_{7}+8 x_{8}+6 x_{9}+7 x_{10}+12 x_{11} \\
& +6 x_{12}+5 x_{13}+6 x_{14} \leq 100000 \\
& x_{1}-x_{4}-x_{5}-x_{6}=0 \\
& x_{3}+x_{5}+x_{7}-x_{9}-x_{10}-x_{11}=0 \\
& x_{2}+x_{4}-x_{7}-x_{8}=0 \\
& x_{6}+x_{9}+x_{12}-x_{13}=0 \\
& x_{8}+x_{10}-x_{12}-x_{14}=0 \\
& x_{e} \leq U_{e}
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to maximize the objective function in MBM, we assume the budget $B$ shall be used to buy the total amount of bandwidth as much as possible.

We solve MBM by MATLAB 6.5 [5], and set:

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{cccccccccccccc}
5 & 6 & 10 & 5 & 4 & 11 & 6 & 8 & 6 & 7 & 12 & 6 & 5 & 6 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] ; b=\left(\begin{array}{c}
100000 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
2300 \\
3500 \\
1000 \\
2500 \\
2100 \\
2200 \\
2000 \\
3000 \\
2100 \\
2700 \\
1500 \\
1800 \\
3000 \\
3500
\end{array}\right)
$$

After applying FMINCON(inline('- $\left.x_{1}-x_{2}-x_{3}{ }^{\prime}\right),[1 ; 1 ; 1 ; 1 ; 1 ; 1 ; 1 ; 1 ; 1 ; 1$; $1 ; 1 ; 1 ; 1], A, b,[],[],[0 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0]$, []) we obtain the optimal solution in the following table:

Table 2.2 The optimal solution

| $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{4}$ | $x_{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 kbps | 3000 kbps | 0 kbps | 0 kbps | 2000 kbps |
| $x_{6}$ | $x_{7}$ | $x_{8}$ | $x_{9}$ | $x_{10}$ |
| 0 kbps | 0 kbps | 3000 kbps | 2000 kbps | 0 kbps |
| $x_{11}$ | $x_{12}$ | $x_{13}$ | $x_{14}$ | $M$ |
| 0 kbps | 0 kbps | 2000 kbps | 3000 kbps | 5000 kbps |

The cost of the resource allocation is $\$ 100000$. There are two optimal paths:

1. $l_{1} \rightarrow l_{5} \rightarrow l_{9} \rightarrow l_{13}$, which holds bandwidth of 2000 kbps .
2. $l_{2} \rightarrow l_{8} \rightarrow l_{14}$, which holds bandwidth of 3000 kbps .

### 2.3 Example 1 of BRAM Model with $w_{1}=w_{2}=w_{3}$

### 2.3.1 Calculate the satisfaction by the expected number of connections

Given Topology in Figure 2.2, suppose the expected value of $\lambda_{i}$ is 10 for the $i^{\text {th }}$ class and each class is regarded as important as each other. Thus, each objective function has equal weight $w_{1}=w_{2}=w_{3}=\frac{1}{3}$. Set $M=5000$ kbps as given in Section 2.2.

In the BRAM, given the requirements for each class $i$, we consider the objective function $w_{1} f_{1}\left(\theta_{1}\right)+w_{2} f_{2}\left(\theta_{2}\right)+w_{3} f_{3}\left(\theta_{3}\right)$, where $f_{1}\left(\theta_{1}\right)=\ln \left(\theta_{1} / 167\right)$, $f_{2}\left(\theta_{2}\right)=\ln \left(\theta_{2} / 83\right), \quad f_{3}\left(\theta_{3}\right)=\ln \left(\theta_{3} / 28\right)$, and we set the constraint: $10 \times \theta_{1}+10$
$\times \theta_{2}+10 \times \theta_{3}=M$. By computing FMINCON (inline ('-1/3* $\ln \left(\theta_{1} / 167\right)-1 / 3$ ${ }^{*} \ln \left(\theta_{2} / 83\right)-1 / 3 * \ln \left(\theta_{3} / 28\right)$ ), [1; 1; 1], [10 1010$]$, [5000], [], [], [167; 83; 28], []), we obtain the optimal solution as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta_{1}=185.0181 \mathrm{kbps} \\
& \theta_{2}=130.0312 \mathrm{kbps} \\
& \theta_{3}=184.9507 \mathrm{kbps}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we select a path $l_{1}, l_{2}, l_{5}, l_{8}, l_{9}, l_{13}, l_{14}$, and obtain the optimal value that is $\frac{1}{3} \ln \left(\theta_{1} / 167\right)+\frac{1}{3} \ln \left(\theta_{2} / 83\right)+\frac{1}{3} \ln \left(\theta_{3} / 28\right)=0.8113$. The routing path and bandwidth allocation to each class are:

Class 1. $\quad l_{1} \rightarrow l_{5} \rightarrow l_{9} \rightarrow l_{13}$, which holds bandwidth of 1850.181 kbps.
Class 2. $\quad l_{1} \rightarrow l_{5} \rightarrow l_{9} \rightarrow l_{13}$, which holds bandwidth of 149.819 kbps . $l_{2} \rightarrow l_{8} \rightarrow l_{14}$, which holds bandwidth of 1150.493 kbps .

Class 3. $\quad l_{2} \rightarrow l_{8} \rightarrow l_{14}$, which holds Bandwidth of 1849.507 kbps .

Since the path $l_{1} \rightarrow l_{5} \rightarrow l_{9} \rightarrow l_{13}$ is projected with 2000 kbps in total from MBM, it may be shared by Class 1 and part of Class 2. Likewise, $l_{2} \rightarrow l_{8} \rightarrow l_{14}$ is assigned for Class 2 and Class 3.

Next, the test problem is set for different values of $M$. Thus, we input $M$ as $2500,3000,3500,4000,4500$ and 5000 kbps , respectively. We obtain the results in the following table:

Table 2.3 The relation between $M$ and the value of the satisfaction function

| $M=$ | 2500 kbps | 3000 kbps | 3500 kbps |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| The value of the satisfaction function | -0.0653 | 0.2918 | 0.5018 |
| $M=$ | 4000 kbps | 4500 kbps | 5000 kbps |
| The value of the satisfaction function | 0.6451 | 0.7714 | 0.8113 |

From Table 2.3, it verifies the monotonic property that the output of BRAM is increasing when the input of $M$ is increasing.

### 2.3.2 Simulation of example 1 with the same weights

In BRAM, the objective function is
$\frac{1}{3} \ln \left(\theta^{1} / 167\right)+\frac{1}{3} \ln \left(\theta^{2} / 83\right)+\frac{1}{3} \ln \left(\theta^{3} / 28\right)$. Generate 30 random samples $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right)$ of Poisson distribution. The expected value of $a_{i}$ is 10 , for all $i$.

After simulation, we have that the average of the bandwidth allocated to class 1 is 1917.842342 kbps , the average of the bandwidth allocated to class 2 is 1354.681251 kbps and the average of the bandwidth allocated to class 3 is 1727.476333 kbps . The average of the objective function is 0.78 , and a sample variance is 0.042399 . Assume satisfaction follows a normal distribution. Therefore, the $95 \%$ confidence interval of the satisfaction is [ $0.7063,0.853684$ ]. In Section 2.3.1, the satisfaction is 0.8113 which falls in the interval $[0.7063,0.853684]$.

### 2.4 Example 1 of BRAM model with different weights

### 2.4.1 Calculate the satisfaction by the expected number of connections

Given Topology in Figure 2.2, suppose the expected value of $\lambda_{i}$ is 10 for all i. Each objective function has different weights. Assume $w_{1}=0.6$, $w_{2}=0.2, \quad w_{3}=0.2$, and $M=5000 \mathrm{kbps}$.

We solve BRAM models by MATLAB 6.5. After applying FMINCON(inline('-1/3* $\ln \left(\theta_{1} / 167\right)-1 / 3 * \ln \left(\theta_{2} / 83\right)-1 / 3 * \ln \left(\theta_{3} / 28\right)$ '), $[1 ; 1$; 1],[10 10 10],[5000],[],[],[167; 83; 28],[]) we obtain the optimal solution as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta_{1}=221.8607 \mathrm{kbps} \\
& \theta_{2}=121.2385 \mathrm{kbps} \\
& \theta_{3}=156.9008 \mathrm{kbps}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we select a path $l_{1}, l_{2}, l_{5}, l_{8}, l_{9}, l_{13}, l_{14}$, and the obtain optimal value is $0.6 * f_{1}\left(\theta_{1}\right)+0.2 * f_{2}\left(\theta_{2}\right)+0.2 * f_{3}\left(\theta_{3}\right)=0.639$.

The routing path and bandwidth allocation to each class are:

Class 1. $l_{2} \rightarrow l_{8} \rightarrow l_{14}$, which holds bandwidth of 2218.607 kbps .
Class 2. $\quad l_{1} \rightarrow l_{5} \rightarrow l_{9} \rightarrow l_{13}$, which holds bandwidth of 430.992 kbps .

$$
l_{2} \rightarrow l_{8} \rightarrow l_{14}, \text { which holds bandwidth of } 781.393 \mathrm{kbps} .
$$

Class 3. $l_{1} \rightarrow l_{5} \rightarrow l_{9} \rightarrow l_{13}$, which holds bandwidth of 1569.008 kbps .

### 2.4.2 Simulation of example 1 with different weights

After simulation, we have that the average of the bandwidth allocated to class 1 is 2747.9 kbps , the average of the bandwidth allocated to class 2 is 1064.5 kbps , and the average of the bandwidth allocated to class 3 is 1187.6 kbps. The average of the objective function is 0.65 , and its variance is 0.039 . Thus, the $95 \%$ confidence interval of the satisfaction is [0.5793, 0.7207], assuming the distribution is normal. In Section 2.4.1, the satisfaction is 0.639 which falls in the interval $[0.5793,0.7207]$.

The routing path and bandwidth allocation to each class are:

Class 1. $l_{2} \rightarrow l_{8} \rightarrow l_{14}$, which holds bandwidth of 2747.9 kbps .

Class 2. $\quad l_{1} \rightarrow l_{5} \rightarrow l_{9} \rightarrow l_{13}$, which holds bandwidth of 812.4 kbps .
$l_{2} \rightarrow l_{8} \rightarrow l_{14}$, which holds bandwidth of 252.1 kbps .

Class 3. $\quad l_{1} \rightarrow l_{5} \rightarrow l_{9} \rightarrow l_{13}$, which holds bandwidth of 1187.6 kbps .

### 2.5 Example 2 with MBM Model

Let a network topology $\mathrm{G}=\langle N, L\rangle$ as given in Figure 2.2, each connection flows from source to destination. Suppose the cost implicitly includes delay. The purchasing cost of bandwidth for each link and the maximal capacity of each link are the same as that at Section 2.2. Bandwidth requirements and the average number of connections are given in Table 2.4.

Table 2.4 The bandwidth requirement and Average number of connections

| Class | Bandwidth requirement | Average number of connections |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 167 kbps | 10 |
| 2 | 83 kbps | 30 |
| 3 | 28 kbps | 110 |

Under the total available budget $B=\$ 140000$, we solve MBM by MATLAB 6.5 , and set:

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{cccccccccccccc}
5 & 6 & 10 & 5 & 4 & 11 & 6 & 8 & 6 & 7 & 12 & 6 & 5 & 6 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] ; b=\left(\begin{array}{c}
140000 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
2300 \\
3500 \\
1000 \\
2500 \\
2100 \\
2200 \\
2000 \\
3000 \\
2100 \\
2700 \\
1500 \\
1800 \\
3000 \\
3500
\end{array}\right)
$$

After applying FMINCON(inline('- $\left.x_{1}-x_{2}-x_{3}{ }^{\prime}\right),[1 ; 1 ; 1 ; 1 ; 1 ; 1 ; 1 ; 1 ; 1 ; 1$; $1 ; 1 ; 1 ; 1], A, b,[],[],[0 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0],[])$, we obtain the optimal solution as follows:

Table 2.5 The optimal solution

| $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{4}$ | $x_{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2300 kbps | 3491.7 kbps | 1000 kbps | 0 kbps | 1400 kbps |
| $x_{6}$ | $x_{7}$ | $x_{8}$ | $x_{9}$ | $x_{10}$ |
| 900 kbps | 491.7 kbps | 3000 kbps | 2100 kbps | 0 kbps |
| $x_{11}$ | $x_{12}$ | $x_{13}$ | $x_{14}$ | $M$ |
| 791.7 kbps | 0 kbps | 3000 kbps | 3000 kbps | 6791.7 kbps |

### 2.5.1 Three classes have different weights

Suppose each class has different weights which are $w_{1}=0.6, w_{2}=0.2$, and $w_{3}=0.2$. After solving BRAM, we obtain the optimal solution as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta_{1}=187.82 \mathrm{kbps} \\
& \theta_{2}=40.847 \mathrm{kbps} \\
& \theta_{3}=33.53 \mathrm{kbps}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we select a path $l_{1}, l_{2}, l_{5}, l_{8}, l_{9}, l_{13}, l_{14}$, and obtain the
optimal satisfaction value is 0.0285 . In total, the bandwidth allocated to class 1 is 1878.2 kbps , the bandwidth allocated to class 2 is 408.47 kbps , and the bandwidth allocated to class 3 is 335.3 kbps .

### 2.5.2 Simulation of example 2

Generate 30 random samples $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}$ with Poisson distribution. The expected value of $\lambda_{1}$ is $10, \lambda_{2}$ is 30 , and $\lambda_{3}$ is 110 .

After simulation, we have that the average of the bandwidth allocated to class 1 is 1878.3 kbps , the average of the bandwidth allocated to class 2 is 1225.2 kbps , and the average of the bandwidth allocated to class 3 is 3688.2 kbps. The average value of the objective function is 0.0277 , and the sample variance is 0.0019 , so that the $95 \%$ confidence interval of the satisfaction is $[0.01974,0.03566]$ ]

In Section 2.6.1, the satisfaction is 0.0285 which falls in the interval [0.01974, 0.0296].

### 2.6 The Application on another All-IP Network



Figure 2.3 A Sample Network

A network topology $\mathrm{G}=\langle N, L\rangle$ is depicted in [6], where $N=\{$ SEA, SF, $\ldots$, MIA $\}$ and $L=\left\{l_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, 14\right\}$. Let SEA and MIA be the source and the destination respectively. Each connection is delivered from source to destination. Given the purchasing cost of bandwidth for each link are: $k_{1}=\$ 5, \quad k_{2}=\$ 6, \quad k_{3}=\$ 10, \quad k_{4}=\$ 5, \quad k_{5}=\$ 4, \quad k_{6}=\$ 11, \quad k_{7}=\$ 6, \quad k_{8}=\$ 8$, $k_{9}=\$ 6, k_{10}=\$ 7, k_{11}=\$ 12, k_{12}=\$ 6, k_{13}=\$ 5$, and $k_{14}=\$ 6$. Given the maximal capacity of each link are: $U_{1}=2,300 \mathrm{kbps}$ (i.e. kilobits/sec), $U_{2}=3,500 \mathrm{kbps}, \quad U_{3}=1,000 \mathrm{kbps}, U_{4}=2,500 \mathrm{kbps}, U_{5}=2,100 \mathrm{kbps}$, $U_{6}=2200 \mathrm{kbps}, U_{7}=2000 \mathrm{kbps}, U_{8}=3000 \mathrm{kbps}, U_{9}=2100 \mathrm{kbps}$, $U_{10}=2700 \mathrm{kbps}, U_{11}=1500 \mathrm{kbps}, U_{12}=1800 \mathrm{kbps}, U_{13}=3000 \mathrm{kbps}$, and $U_{14}=3500 \mathrm{kbps}$. The total budget available is set to $B=\$ 100000$. There are given three classes as shown in Table 2.6.

Table 2.6 The bandwidth requirement and Average number of connections

| class | Bandwidth requirement | Average number of connections |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 167 kbps | 5 |
| 2 | 83 kbps | 5 |
| 3 | 28 kbps | 10 |

Then in the network topology, the MBM is written in the following:
Maximize $x_{1}+x_{4}$
Subject to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 5 x_{1}+6 x_{2}+10 x_{3}+5 x_{4}+4 x_{5}+11 x_{6}+6 x_{7}+8 x_{8}+6 x_{9}+7 x_{10}+12 x_{11}+6 x_{12}+5 x_{13} \\
& +6 x_{14}=100000 \\
& x_{1}-x_{2}=0 \\
& x_{1}-x_{3}=0 \\
& x_{4}-x_{5}-x_{6}=0 \\
& x_{6}-x_{7}=0 \\
& x_{7}-x_{8}-x_{9}=0 \\
& x_{8}-x_{10}=0 \\
& x_{8}-x_{11}=0 \\
& x_{9}+x_{11}-x_{12}=0 \\
& x_{12}-x_{13}=0 \\
& x_{3}-x_{5}-x_{14}=0 \\
& x_{e} \leq U_{e}
\end{aligned}
$$

We set the data for FMINCON as follows:

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{cccccccccccccc}
5 & 6 & 10 & 5 & 4 & 11 & 6 & 8 & 6 & 7 & 12 & 6 & 5 & 6 \\
1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
100000 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
2300 \\
3500 \\
1000 \\
2500 \\
2100 \\
2200 \\
2000 \\
3000 \\
2100 \\
2700 \\
1500 \\
1800 \\
3000 \\
3500
\end{array}\right)
$$

After applying FMINCON(inline('5* $x_{1}+6^{*} x_{2}+10^{*} x_{3}+5^{*} x_{4}+4^{*} x_{5}+11^{*} x_{6}$ $+6 * x_{7}+8^{*} x_{8}+6^{*} x_{9}+7^{*} x_{10}+12 * x_{11}+6^{*} x_{12}+5^{*} x_{13}+6^{*} x_{14}$ '), A, b, [], [], [0;0;0;
$0 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0],[])$ we obtain the optimal solution as follows:

Table 2.7 The optimal solution

| $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{4}$ | $x_{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1000 kbps | 1000 kbps | 1000 kbps | 2500 kbps | 2100 kbps |
| $x_{6}$ | $x_{7}$ | $x_{8}$ | $x_{9}$ | $x_{10}$ |
| 400 kbps | 400 kbps | 0 kbps | 400 kbps | 0 kbps |
| $x_{11}$ | $x_{12}$ | $x_{13}$ | $x_{14}$ | $M$ |
| 0 kbps | 400 kbps | 400 kbps | 3100 kbps | 3500 kbps |

The cost for total bandwidth allocation is 74100 dollars.

### 2.6.1 Calculate the satisfaction with the expected number of connections

First, we solve BRAM. After applying FMINCON (inline ( $'-0.6 * \ln \left(\theta_{1}\right.$ /167)-0.2* $\ln \left(\theta_{2} / 83\right)-0.2 * \ln \left(\theta_{3} / 28\right)$ '), [1; 1; 1], [5 5 10], [3500], [], [], [167; 83; 28], [] ) we obtain the optimal solution as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta_{1}=384.8410 \mathrm{kbps} \\
& \theta_{2}=177.5656 \mathrm{kbps} \\
& \theta_{3}=68.7967 \mathrm{kbps}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we select a path $l_{1}, l_{2}, l_{5}, l_{8}, l_{9}, l_{13}, l_{14}$, and obtain the optimal satisfaction value is 0.83283 . In total, the bandwidth allocated to class 1 is 1924.205 kbps , the bandwidth allocated to class 2 is 887.828 kbps , and the bandwidth allocated to class 3 is 687.967 kbps .

### 2.6.2 Simulation

Generate 30 random samples $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}$ with Poisson distribution. The expected value of $\lambda_{1}$ is $5, \lambda_{2}$ is 5 , and $\lambda_{3}$ is 10 .

After simulation, we have that the average of the bandwidth allocated to class 1 is 1933.3 kbps , the average of the bandwidth allocated to class 2 is 882.8 kbps , and the average of the bandwidth allocated to class 3 is 683.9 kbps . The average of the satisfaction is 0.8333 , and the sample variance is 0.0361 , so that the $95 \%$ confidence interval of the satisfaction is [0.7653, 0.9013 ].

In Section 2.7.1, the satisfaction is 0.83283 which falls in the interval [0.7653, 0.9013 ].

