

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES.....	III
LIST OF TABLES	IV
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1. RESEARCH MOTIVATION.....	1
1.2. RESEARCH ISSUE.....	2
1.3. RESEARCH OBJECTIVE	3
1.4. RESEARCH FLOW	4
1.5. RESEARCH ORGANIZATION.....	5
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	7
2.1. DISTRIBUTED INFORMATION INTEGRATION	7
2.1.1. TSIMMIS	7
2.1.2. Information Manifold	9
2.1.3. DISCO	10
2.1.4. Garlic & Clio	12
2.1.5. YAT.....	14
2.2. XML-BASED INFORMATION INTEGRATION	16
2.2.1. MIX	16
2.2.2. Agora	17
2.3. ANALYSIS AND COMPARISON.....	19
2.4. ONTOLOGY.....	26
2.4.1. Definition of Ontology	26
2.4.2. Ontology Representation Languages	27
2.4.3. Factors of Using Ontologies in Information Integration System	27
CHAPTER 3 RESEARCH METHOD	29
3.1. RESEARCH METHOD.....	29
3.2. RESEARCH STRUCTURE.....	29
3.3. INFORMATION INTEGRATION METHOD IN RESEARCH STRUCTURE.....	34
3.3.1. The Creation of Global Schema.....	35
3.3.2. The Creation of Ontology	52
3.3.3. Mapping Global Schema to Local Data Sources	56
3.4. QUERY RESOLUTION IN RESEARCH STRUCTURE.....	59
CHAPTER 4 RESEARCH PROTOTYPE.....	65

4.1.	PROTOTYPE SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE	65
4.2.	PROTOTYPE SYSTEM PLATFORM	66
4.3.	PROTOTYPE SYSTEM DESIGN	67
4.4.	PROTOTYPE SYSTEM PRESENTATION	71
CHAPTER 5 RESEARCH DISCUSSIONS AND LIMITATIONS.....		78
5.1.	RESEARCH IMPLICATIONS.....	78
5.2.	RESEARCH LIMITATIONS.....	82
CHAPTER 6 CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS.....		84
6.1.	SUMMARY	84
6.2.	FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS	85
REFERENCES		87
A SIMPLE EXAMPLE IMPLEMENTATION.....		91

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 1-1: RESEARCH FLOW.....	5
FIGURE 2-1: TSIMMIS ARCHITECTURE	8
FIGURE 2-2: ARCHITECTURE OF THE INFORMATION MANIFOLD	10
FIGURE 2-3: DISCO ARCHITECTURE.....	11
FIGURE 2-4: GARLIC ARCHITECTURE	13
FIGURE 2-5: CLIO’S LOGICAL ARCHITECTURE.....	14
FIGURE 2-6: YAT TRANSLATION SCENARIO.....	15
FIGURE 2-7: MIX ARCHITECTURE.....	17
FIGURE 2-8: GENERAL ARCHITECTURE OF THE AGORA DATA INTEGRATION SYSTEM.....	18
FIGURE 3-1: RESEARCH STRUCTURE	31
FIGURE 3-2: COMPONENTS IN RESEARCH STRUCTURE	32
FIGURE 3-3: THE GLOBAL INTEGRATION PROCESS	36
FIGURE 3-4: TRANSFORM RELATIONAL DATA MODEL INTO XML DATA MODEL	39
FIGURE 3-5: REWRITE RELATIONAL SCHEMA INTO W3C XML SCHEMA ACCORDING TO THE GENERIC CONSTRUCTS CORRESPONDENCE.....	40
FIGURE 3-6: AN EXAMPLE OF TRANSFORMING OBJECT DATA MODEL TO XML DATA MODEL	42
FIGURE 3-7: AN EXAMPLE OF TRANSFORMING OBJECT DATABASE SCHEMA TO XML SCHEMA.....	45
FIGURE 3-8: AN INTEGRATED SCHEMA IN W3C XML SCHEMA FOR THE EXAMPLE.....	51
FIGURE 3-9 A FRAGMENT OF THE EXAMPLE OF THE MAPPING BETWEEN GLOBAL SCHEMA AND SOURCE SCHEMA.....	59
FIGURE 3-10: QUERY PROCESSING IN RESEARCH STRUCTURE	60
FIGURE 4-1: THE PROTOTYPE SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE.....	66
FIGURE 4-2: DEMONSTRATION OF THE CREATION OF THE ONTOLOGY BY MEANS OF PROTÉGÉ 2.0.....	68
FIGURE 4-3: PROTOTYPE SYSTEM FUNCTIONS	69
FIGURE 4-4: QUERY INTERFACE OF THE PROTOTYPE SYSTEM	71
FIGURE 4-5: USERS FORMULATE THE XQUERY EXPRESSION OF THEIR OWN QUERIES ACCORDING TO THE GLOBAL SCHEMA.....	72
FIGURE 4-6: THE REFORMULATED QUERY.....	73
FIGURE 4-7: THE QUERY PLAN GENERATED BY THE PROTOTYPE SYSTEM.....	74
FIGURE 4-8: THE DECOMPOSED SUB-QUERIES AND THE TRANSLATED QUERY GENERATED BY WRAPPERS	75
FIGURE 4-9: THE DECOMPOSED SUB-QUERIES AND THE TRANSLATED QUERY GENERATED BY WRAPPERS (CONTINUE).....	75
FIGURE 4-10: QUERY-PROCESSING COMPLETE.....	76
FIGURE 4-11: THE QUERY RESULT IN XML DOCUMENT	77

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 2-1: COMPARISON OF INFORMATION INTEGRATION METHODS.....	23
TABLE 3-1: CORRESPONDENCES BETWEEN RELATIONAL SCHEMA CONSTRUCTS AND W3C XML SCHEMA CONSTRUCTS	38
TABLE 3-2: CORRESPONDENCES BETWEEN OBJECT DATABASE SCHEMA CONSTRUCTS AND W3C XML SCHEMA CONSTRUCTS	40
TABLE 3-3: CAUSES FOR STRUCTURAL HETEROGENEITY	46
TABLE 3-4: CAUSES FOR SEMANTIC HETEROGENEITY	53
TABLE 3-5: COMPARISON BETWEEN GAV AND LAV.....	57
TABLE 3-6: THE CORRESPONDENCES BETWEEN XQUERY EXPRESSION AND SQL EXPRESSION	62
TABLE 3-7: THE CORRESPONDENCES BETWEEN XQUERY EXPRESSION AND OQL EXPRESSION	63