

## References:

- Academia Sinica balanced corpus (version 3). (1998). Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan.
- Ahrens, K., Chang L.-L., Chen K.-J., & Huang C.-R. (1998). Meaning representation and meaning instantiation for Chinese nominals. *Computational Linguistics and Chinese Language Processing*, 3(1), 45-60.
- Andrews, S. (1989). Frequency and neighborhood effects on lexical access: Activation or search. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 15(5), 802-814.
- Andrews, S. (1992). Frequency and neighborhood effects on lexical access: lexical similarity or orthographic redundancy? *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 18(2), 234-254.
- Azuma, T., & Van Orden, G. C. (1997). Why SAFE Is Better Than FAST: The Relatedness of a Word's Meanings Affects Lexical Decision Times. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 36(4), 484-504.
- Beeman, M., & Chiarello, C. (1998). *Right hemisphere language comprehension: Perspectives from cognitive neuroscience*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Beretta, A., Fiorentino, R., & Poeppel, D. (2005). The effects of homonymy and polysemy on lexical access: an MEG study. *Cognitive Brain Research*, 24(1), 57-65.
- Borowsky, R., & Masson, M. E. J. (1996). Semantic ambiguity effects in word

identification *Journal of experimental psychology. Learning, memory, and cognition*, 22(1), 63-85.

Broca, P. (1865). Sur le siege de la faculte du langage articule. . *Buletins de la Societe d' Anthropologie* 6, 337-393.

Brown, C. H., & Witkowski, S. R. (1983). Polysemy, lexical change, and cultural importance. *Man*, 18, 72-89.

Burgess, C., & Simpson, G. B. (1988). Cerebral hemispheric mechanisms in the retrieval of ambiguous word meanings. *Brain Lang*, 33(1), 86-103.

Caramazza, A., & Grober, E. (1976). Polysemy and the structure of the subjective lexicon. *Semantics: Theory and application*, 181–206.

Coltheart, M., Davelaar, E., Jonasson, J. T., & Besner, D. (1977). Access to internal lexicon. In S. Dornic (Ed.), *Attention and performance VI* (pp. 535-555). NJ: Erlbaum: Hillsdale.

Cruse, A. D. (1986). *Lexical semantics*. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.

Damasio A. R., & Daniel, T. (1993). *Nouns and verbs are retrieved with differently distributed neural systems*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the National Academy of Science.

Damasio, A. R., & Damasio, H. (1992). Brain and Language. *Scientific American*, 267 88-95.

- Faust, M., & Chiarello, C. (1998). Sentence context and lexical ambiguity resolution by the two hemispheres. *Neuropsychologia*, 36(9), 827-835.
- Faust, M., & Lavidor, M. (2003). Semantically convergent and semantically divergent priming in the cerebral hemispheres: lexical decision and semantic judgment. *Cognitive Brain Research*, 17(3), 585-597.
- Federmeier, K. D., & Kutas, M. (1999). Right words and left words: electrophysiological evidence for hemispheric differences in meaning processing. *Cognitive Brain Research*, 8(3), 373-392.
- Fera, P., Joordens, S., Balota, D. A., Ferraro, F. R., & Benser, D. (1992). *Ambiguity in meaning and phonology: Effects on naming*. Paper presented at the Paper presented at the 33rd annual meeting of the Psychonomic Society.
- Forster, K. I. (1976). Accessing the mental lexicon. *New approaches to language mechanisms: a collection of psycholinguistic studie*, 257-287.
- Frazier, L., & Rayner, K. (1990). Taking on semantic commitments: processing mutiple meanings vs. multiple senses. *Journal of memory and language(Print)*, 29(2), 181-200.
- Gentner, D. (1982). Why nouns are learned before verbs: linguistic relativity versus natural partitioning. In S. A. E. Kuczaj (Ed.), *Language Development. Language, Thought and Culture* (Vol. 2, pp. 301-334). NJ: Erlbaum, Hillsdale.
- Gernsbacher, M. A. (1984). Resolving 20 years of inconsistent interactions between lexical familiarity and orthography, concreteness and polysemy. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 113, 256-281.

- Grainger, J., & Jacobs, A. M. (1996). Orthographic Processing in Visual Word Recognition: A Multiple Read-Out Model. *PSYCHOLOGICAL REVIEW-NEW YORK-*, *103*, 518-565.
- Grainger, J., O'Regan, J. K., Jacobs, A. M., & Segui, J. (1989). On the role of competing word units in visual word recognition: the neighborhood frequency effect. *Percept Psychophys*, *45*(3), 189-195.
- Hino, Y., & Lupker, S. J. (1996). Effects of polysemy in lexical decision and naming: an alternative to lexical access accounts *Journal of experimental psychology. Human perception and performance*, *22*(6), 1331-1356.
- Holcomb, P. J., Grainger, J., & O'Rourke, T. (2002). An electrophysiological study of the effects of orthographic neighborhood size on printed word perception. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, *14*(6), 938-950.
- Huang, C. M. (2004). *An electrophysiological study of the neighborhood size effect in Chinese two-character words*. National Yang-ming University, Taiwan., Taipei.
- Huang, H. W., Lee, C. Y., Tsai, J. L., Lee, C. L., Hung, D. L., & Tzeng, O. J. (2006). Orthographic neighborhood effects in reading Chinese two-character words. *Neuroreport*, *17*(10), 1061-1065.
- Huang, H.-W., Tsai, J.-L., Lee, C.-Y., Tzeng, O. J.-L., & Hung, D. L. (2006). *The N400 effect of morphemic size in Chinese word recognition*. Italy : Organization for Human Brain Mapping.
- Jastrzemski, J. E. (1981). Multiple Meaning, Number of Related Meanings, Frequency of Occurrence, and the Lexicon. *Cognitive Psychology New York, N. Y.*, *13*(2), 278-305.

- Klein, D. E., & Murphy, G. L. (2001). The Representation of Polysemous Words. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 45(2), 259-282.
- Klein, D. E., & Murphy, G. L. (2002). Paper has been my ruin: conceptual relations of polysemous senses. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 47(4), 548-570.
- Klepousniotou, E. (2002). The Processing of Lexical Ambiguity: Homonymy and Polysemy in the Mental Lexicon. *Brain and Language*, 81(1-3), 205-223.
- Kutas, M., & Hillyard, S. A. (1980). Reading senseless sentences: brain potentials reflect semantic incongruity. *Science*, 207(4427), 203.
- Lavidor, M., Hayes, A., Shillcock, R., & Ellis, A. W. (2004). Evaluating a split processing model of visual word recognition: effects of orthographic neighborhood size. *Brain Lang*, 88(3), 312-320.
- Lehrer, A. (1990). Polysemy, conventionality, and the structure of the lexicon. *Cognitive Linguistics*, 1, 207-246.
- Li, P., Jin, Z., & Tan, L. H. (2004). Neural representations of nouns and verbs in Chinese: an fMRI study. *Neuroimage*, 21(4), 1533-1541.
- Lin, C. C. (1999). *Multiple senses of Mandarin Chinese nominals: Implications for lexical access*. National Chengchi University, Taipei.
- Lindell, A. K. (2006). In your right mind: Right hemisphere contributions to language processing and production. *Neuropsychology Review*, 16, 131-148.

- Lyons, J. (1977). *Semantics*. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.
- McClelland, J. L., & Rumelhart, D. E. (1981). An Interactive Activation Model of Context Effects in Letter Perception: Part 1. An Account of Basic Findings. *Psychological Review*, 88(5), 375-407.
- Millis, M. L., & Button, S. B. (1989). The effect of polysemy on lexical decision time: now you see it, now you don't. *Memory & cognition*, 17(2), 141-147.
- Morton, J. (1969). Interaction of information in word recognition. *Psychological Review*, 76(2), 165-178.
- Nunberg, G. (1979). The Non-Uniqueness of Semantic Solutions: Polysemy. *Linguistics and Philosophy. An International Journal Austin, Tex.*, 3(2), 143-184.
- Pulvermuller, F. (1992). Constituents of a neurological theory of language. *Concepts in Neuroscience*, 3, 157-200.
- Pulvermuller, F. (1996). Hebb's concept of cell assemblies an the psychophysiology of word processing. *Psychophysiology*, 33(4), 317-333.
- Pulvermuller, F., Lutzenberger, W., & Preissl, H. (1999). Nouns and Verbs in the Intact Brain: Evidence from Event-related Potentials and High-frequency Cortical Responses. *Cerebral Cortex*, 9(5), 497-506.
- Pustejovsky, J. (1995). *The Generative Lexicon*: MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Pylkkänen, L., Llinás, R., & Murphy, G. L. (2006). The representation of Polysemy:

MEG Evidence. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 18(1), 97-109.

Rodd, J., Gaskell, G., & Marslen-Wilson, W. (2002). Making Sense of Semantic Ambiguity: Semantic Competition in Lexical Access. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 46(2), 245-266.

Rubenstein, H., Garfield, L., & Millikan, J. A. (1970). Homographic entries in the internal lexicon. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 9(5), 487-494.

Rugg, M. D., & Coles, M. G. (1996). *The ERP and cognitive psychology: conceptual issues. In Electrophysiology of Mind: Event-Related Brain Potentials and Cognition*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Rumelhart, D. E., & McClelland, J. L. (1982). An interactive activation model of context effects in letter perception: Part 2. The contextual enhancement effect and some tests and extensions of the model. *Psychol Rev*, 89(1), 60-94.

Sears, C. R., Hino, Y., & Lupker, S. J. (1995). Neighborhood size and neighborhood frequency effects in word recognition. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 21(4), 876-900.

Tsai, J.-L., Lee, C.-Y., Lin, Y.-C., Tzeng, Ovid J. L., & Hung, Daisy, L. (2006). Neighborhood size effects of chinese words in lexical decision and reading. *Language and Linguistics*, 7(3), 659-675.

Tsai, P. S., Yu, B. H.-Y., Lee, C.-Y., Tzeng, O. J. L., Hung, D., L., & Wu, D. H. (in press). An event-related potential study of the concreteness effect between Chinese nouns and verbs. *Brain Research*.

Tyler, L. K., Russell, R., Fadili, J., & Moss, H. E. (2001). The neural representation of nouns and verbs: PET studies. *Brain*, *124*(8), 1619.

Cheng, Y.-Y. (2006). *Combinability and semantic transparency effects of semantic radical in reading Chinese characters*. National Central University, Chung-li.

Vitevitch, M. S., & Luce, P. A. (1998). When Words Compete: Levels of Processing in Perception of Spoken Words. *Psychological Science*, *9*(4), 325-329.

Zipf, G. K. (1945). The meaning-frequency relationship of words. *Journal of General Psychology*, *33*, 251-256.

李佳穎. (1995). *漢語組合詞和成語詞在心理辭典中的表徵方式*. 國立中正大學, 台灣:嘉義.

黃緒文. (2003). *鄰項個數多寡對中文雙字詞辭彙判斷的影響*. 國立陽明大學, 台灣:台北.