

參考文獻

學位論文

- 王維芳(2003),《半總統制新興民主國家的制度設計與政治穩定：蒙古及波蘭的比較分析》，國立政治大學中山人文社會科學研究所博士論文。
- 吳振嘉(2003),《選舉制度變革的影響因素—以日本、台灣為例》，國立政治大學政治研究所碩士論文。
- 李鳳玉(2001),《半總統制下的總統干預與政府穩定—威瑪德國、法國第五共和、後共波蘭與台灣》，國立台灣大學政治學研究所碩士論文。
- 沈靜倫(2001),《單一選區兩票制於台灣之適用性探討》，國立台北大學公共行政暨政策學系碩士論文。
- 劉世康(1997),《我國立法委員選舉制度改革之研究》，東海大學政治學研究所碩士論文。
- 謝相慶(1996),《選舉制度與選舉結果的不比例性之比較研究》，國立政治大學政治學研究所博士論文。

中文書目

- 王中天(2008),〈SNTV的政黨失誤類型之探討、測量與運用：以台灣立法院選舉為例(1992-2004)〉,《選舉研究》,第15卷,第1期,頁51-72。
- 王業立(1991),〈我國現行中央選舉制度的理論與實際〉,《政治科學論叢》,第2期,頁135-152。
- 王業立(1995),〈單記非讓渡投票制的政治影響：我國民意代表選舉制度的探討〉,《選舉研究》,第2卷,第1期,頁147-167。
- 王業立(1996),〈我國政黨提名政策之研究〉,《政治學報》,第27期,頁1-36。
- 王業立(1999),〈立委選舉制度改革之探討〉,《理論與政策》,第50期,頁143-159。
- 王業立(2008),《比較選舉制度》,第6版,台北：五南。
- 任德厚(1990),〈制度研究與當代政治學之發展〉,《政治科學論叢》,第1期,頁1-30。

- 吳玉山 (2000),《俄羅斯轉型 1992-1999：一個政治經濟學的分析》，台北：五南。
- 吳玉山 (2001),〈制度、結構與政治穩定〉，《政治學報》，第 32 期，頁 1-30。
- 吳明上(2003),〈日本眾議院議員選舉制度改革之探討：小選區比例代表並立制〉，《問題與研究》，第 42 卷，第 2 期，頁 79-93。
- 吳東野 (1996),〈「單一選區兩票制」選舉方法之探討—德國、日本、俄羅斯選舉之實例比較〉，《選舉研究》，第 3 卷，第 1 期，頁 69-102。
- 吳重禮 (2008),《政黨與選舉：理論與實踐》，台北：三民。
- 呂世昌 (2002),〈德國選舉制度之演變及其運作〉，《中山學報》，第 23 期，頁 167-192。
- 林瓊珠 (2008),〈議題、候選人評價、黨派意識—2006 年台北市長選舉投票行為研究〉，《台灣民主季刊》，第 5 卷，第 2 期，頁 59-87。
- 林繼文 (1997a),〈制度選擇如何可能：論日本之選舉制度改革〉，《台灣政治學刊》，第 2 期，頁 63-106。
- 林繼文 (1997b),〈政黨重組與選舉制度改革〉，《國家政策雙周刊》，第 162 期，「日本選舉制度改革的回顧與檢討」專輯，頁 2-4。
- 林繼文 (1999),〈單一選區兩票制與選舉制度改革〉，《新世紀智庫論壇》，第 6 期，頁 69-79。
- 林繼文(2001),〈選舉制度：國會改革的基礎工程〉，《當代》，第 171 期，頁 58-77。
- 林繼文 (2008),〈以輸為贏：小黨在日本單一選區兩票制下的參選策略〉，《選舉研究》，第 15 卷，第 2 期，頁 37-66。
- 施正鋒 (1999),《台灣政治建構》，台北：前衛出版社。
- 若林正文 (2004),《台灣：分裂國家與民主化》，台北：新自然主義。
- 徐永明、陳鴻章 (2002),〈老狗學把戲：立委選舉政黨提名的有效性〉，《東吳政治學報》，第 15 期，頁 87-121。
- 袁訟西等編 (1985),《中華民國選舉罷免制度》，台北：中央選舉委員會。
- 高永光 (1995),〈台灣選舉中之不比例性的分析—八十三年地方自治大選之個案研究〉，《中山人文社會科學期刊》，第 4 卷，第 1 期，頁 47-76。
- 張隆義 (1996),〈日本眾議院選舉與今後政局的走向〉，《問題與研究》，第 35 卷，

第 12 期，頁 59-68。

盛杏媛(2009)，〈台灣選民政黨偏好的持續與變遷：定群追蹤資料的應用〉，「TEDS 2008L 台灣選舉與民主化調查計畫」學術研討會（國立台灣大學社會科學院主辦），台北。

陳光輝(2009)，〈「台灣選舉與民主化調查」受訪者藍綠支持的維持與變動〉，「TEDS 2008L 台灣選舉與民主化調查計畫」學術研討會（國立台灣大學社會科學院主辦），台北。

陳儔美(1997)，〈從第四十一屆眾議院選舉看日本的新選舉制度〉，《問題與研究》，第 36 卷，第 4 期，頁 63-75。

陳儔美(2001)，〈日本第四十二屆眾議院選舉研析〉，《問題與研究》，第 40 卷，第 5 期，頁 23-37。

游清鑫(1996)，〈論兩票制的政治效果〉，《國家政策雙周刊》，第 154 期，「政經生態之重整」專輯，頁 5-7。

游清鑫(1997)，〈1996 年日本眾議院選舉結果之探討〉，《國家政策雙周刊》，第 162 期，「日本選舉制度改革的回顧與檢討」專輯，頁 8-10。

游清鑫(2000)，〈選舉機制與民主化〉，「兩岸立法制度」學術研討會（國立政治大學政治學系、中山人文社會科學研究所主辦），7 月 22 日，台北。

游清鑫(2003)，〈單一選區兩票制：成分與政治影響〉，收錄於社團法人台灣法學會主編，《台灣法學新課題（四）》，台北：元照，頁 1-30。

隋杜卿(2002)，〈立委選制改革對政治運作之影響——以「單一選區兩票制」為例〉，收錄於陳建民、周育仁主編，《國會改革與憲政發展》，台北：國家政策研究基金會，頁 143-189。

隋杜卿(2003)，〈「單一選區」選制改革對比例性的影響：第五屆立法委員投票結果的模擬〉，「憲政民主與國家發展」學術研討會（國立政治大學中山人文社會科學研究所主辦），6 月 24 日，台北。

黃秀端(2000)，〈選民的策略投票：單一選區與 SNTV 制度的比較〉，「台灣政治學會第七屆年會」（國立中山大學政治學研究所主辦），高雄。

黃德福(1993)，〈選舉制度與政黨政治——台灣地區解嚴後選舉競爭的觀察〉，《理論與政策》，第 7 卷，第 4 期，頁 3-21。

楊鈞池(2005)，〈一九九〇年代日本選舉制度改革及其影響之分析〉，《高大法學論叢》，頁 3-50。

- 潘誠財 (2007),〈日本眾議院新選舉制度對政黨體系形成之影響—1996~2005 眾議院選舉之分析〉,《復興崗學報》,頁 411-438。
- 蔡子強 (1997),〈第八章 選舉制度與投票行為〉,收錄於鄭宇碩、羅金義,《政治學新論：西方學理與中華經驗》,香港：中文大學,頁 171-196。
- 蔡佳泓等 (2008),〈選制變遷對政黨體系之影響評估：變異量結構模型之探討〉,「如何評估選制變遷：方法論的探討」學術研討會 (國立政治大學選舉研究中心主辦),台北。
- 蔡增家 (2004),《日本轉型：九〇年之後政治經濟體制的轉變》,台北：五南。
- 蔡學儀 (2003),《解析單一選區兩票制》,台北：五南。
- 鄭夙芬 (2008),〈選民對新選制的認知與評價：焦點團體研究法的運用〉,「如何評估選制變遷：方法論的探討」學術研討會 (國立政治大學選舉研究中心主辦),台北。
- 鄧志松等 (2008),〈選區劃分與席位扭曲：第六、七屆立委選舉為例〉,「台灣政治學會年會暨研討會」(國立暨南國際大學主辦),南投。
- 謝相慶 (1999),〈日本眾議院議員新選舉制度及其政治效應—以 1996 年選舉為例〉,《選舉研究》,第 6 卷,第 2 期,頁 45-87。
- 謝復生 (1992),《政黨比例代表制》,台北：理論與政策雜誌社。

譯著

- Dahl, Robert A. 原著；李柏光、林猛合譯 (1999),《論民主》,台北：聯經。
- Neuman, W. Lawrence 原著；王佳煌等合譯 (2002),《當代社會研究法：質化與量化途徑》,臺北市：學富文化。
- Nohlen, Dieter (1996),〈拉丁美洲的選舉制度與選舉改革〉, Arend Li jphart and Carlos H. Waisman (eds.),《新興民主國家的憲政選擇》,蔡熊山、陳駿德、陳景堯譯,1999,第三章,台北：韋伯文化。
- 沖野安春原著；曹瑞泰譯 (2000),《現代日本政治：制度與選舉過程》,台北：國立編譯館。

報紙資料

中時晚報，1995年1月2日，2版。

自立早報，1995年10月25日，2版。

青年日報，1995年10月25日，2版。

聯合晚報，1995年12月6日，1版。

中央日報，1995年12月7日，2版。

英文書目

Balinski, Michel L. and H. Peyton Young (1982), *Fair Representation*, New Haven: Yale University Press.

Blais, Andre and R. K. Carty (1991), "The Psychological Impact of Electoral Laws: Measuring Duverger's Elusive Factor," *British Journal of Political Science*, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 79-93.

Butler, David (1995), *British General Elections since 1945*, Cambridge, Mass.: Blackwell.

Carstairs, A. McLaren (1980), *A Short History of Electoral Systems in Western Europe*, London: George Allen & Unwin.

Cox, Gary W. (1991), "SNTV and d'Hondt are 'Equivalent'," *Electoral Studies*, Vol. 10, No. 2, pp. 118-132.

Cox, Gary W. (1996), "Is the Single Nontransferable Vote Superproportional? Evidence from Japan and Taiwan," *American Journal of Political Science*, Vol. 40, No. 3, pp. 740-755.

Cox, Gary W. and Emerson Niou (1994), "Seat Bonuses under the Single Non-Transferable Vote System: Evidence from Japan and Taiwan," *Comparative politics*, Vol. 26, No. 2, pp. 221-236.

Cox, Gary W. and Frances Rosenbluth (1993), "The Electoral Fortunes of Legislative Factions in Japan," *The American Political Science Review*, Vol. 87, No. 3, pp. 577-589

Cox, Gary W. and Leonard J. Schoppa (2002), "Interaction Effects in Mixed-Member Electoral Systems: Theory and Evidence from Germany, Japan, and Italy," *Comparative Political Studies*, Vol. 35, No. 9, pp. 1027-1053.

- Dunleavy, Patrick and Helen Margetts (1995), "Understanding the Dynamics of Electoral Reform," *International Political Science Review*, Vol. 16, No. 1, pp. 9-29.
- Duverger, Maurice (1955), *Political Parties: Their Organization and Activity in the Modern State*, Translated by Barbara and Robert North, New York: Wiley.
- Duverger, Maurice (1984), "Which Is the Best Electoral System?" in Arend Lijphart and B. Grofman, *Choosing an Electoral System: Issues and Alternatives*, New York: Praeger, pp. 31-39.
- Duverger, Maurice (1986), "Duverger's Law: Forty Years Later," in B. Grofman and A. Lijphart (eds.), *Electoral Law and Their Political Consequences*, New York: Agathon Press, pp. 69-84.
- Farrell, David M. (1997), *Comparing Electoral Systems*, New York: Prentice Hall.
- Farrell, David M. (2001), *Electoral Systems: A Comparative Introduction*, New York: Palgrave.
- Ferrara, Federico (2004), "Electoral Coordination and the Strategic Desertion of Strong Parties in Compensatory Mixed Systems with Negative Vote Transfers," *Electoral Studies*, Vol. 23, No. 3, pp. 391-413.
- Ferrara, Federico, Erik S. Herron, and Misa Nishikawa (2005), *Mixed Electoral Systems: Contamination and Its Consequences*, New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Gallagher, Michael (1991), "Proportionality, Disproportionality and Electoral Systems," *Electoral Studies*, Vol. 10, No. 1, pp. 33-51.
- Gallagher, Michael and Paul Mitchell (2005), "Introduction to Electoral Systems," in Michael Gallagher and Paul Mitchell, *The Politics of Electoral Systems*, New York: Oxford University Press, pp. 3-23.
- Golder, Matt (2005), "Democratic Electoral Systems around the World, 1946-2000," *Electoral Studies*, Vol. 24, No. 1, pp. 103-121.
- Grofman, Bernard (1999a), "SNTV, STV, and Single-Member-District Systems: Theoretical Comparisons and Contrasts," in B. Grofman (eds.), *Election in Japan, Korea, and Taiwan under the Single Non-Transferable Vote: The Comparative Study of an Embedded Institution*, Michigan: The University of Michigan Press, pp. 317-333.
- Grofman, Bernard (1999b), "SNTV: An Inventory of Theoretically Derived Propositions and a Brief Review of the Evidence from Japan, Korea, Taiwan, and Alabama," in B. Grofman (eds.), *Election in Japan, Korea, and Taiwan under the Single Non-Transferable Vote: The Comparative Study of an Embedded Institution*, Michigan: The University of Michigan Press, pp. 375-416.
- Hsieh, John Fuh-sheng (1996), "The SNTV System and It's Political Implications," in

- Hung-mao Tien (eds.), *Taiwan's Electoral Politics and Democratic Transition: Riding the Third Wave*, New York: M.E. Sharpe, pp. 193-212.
- Hsieh, John Fuh-sheng (1999), "Manipulating the Electoral System under the SNTV: The Case of the Republic of China," in B. Grofman (eds.), *Election in Japan, Korea, and Taiwan under the Single Non-Transferable Vote: The Comparative Study of an Embedded Institution*, Michigan: The University of Michigan Press, pp. 65-84.
- Hsieh, John Fuh-sheng (2002), "Continuity and Change in Taiwan's Electoral Politics," in John Fuh-sheng Hsieh and David Newman (eds.), *How Asia Votes*, New York: Chatham House Publishers, Seven Bridges Press, pp. 32-49.
- Hurwitz, Leon (1971), "An Index of Democratic Political Stability: A Methodological Note," *Comparative Political Studies*, Vol. 4, No. 1 (April), pp. 41-68.
- Johnston, R. J. and C. J. Pattie (2002), "Campaigning and Split-Ticket Voting in New Electoral Systems: the First MMP Election in New Zealand, Scotland and Wales," *Electoral Studies*, Vol. 21, No. 4, pp. 583-600.
- Kaase, Max (1984), "Personalized Proportional Representation: The "Model" of the West German Electoral System," in Arend Lijphart and B. Grofman, *Choosing an electoral system: issues and alternatives*, New York: Praeger, pp. 155-164.
- Katz, Richard (1980), *A Theory of Parties and Electoral Systems*, Baltimore, Maryland: Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Katz, Richard (2005), "Why Are There So Many (or So Few) Electoral Reforms?" Michael Gallagher and Paul Mitchell, *The Politics of Electoral Systems*. Oxford, U.K.: Oxford University Press, pp. 57-76.
- Kendall, M. G. and A. Stuart (1950), "The Law of the Cubic Proportion in Election Results," *The British Journal of Sociology*, Vol. 1, No. 3, pp. 183-196.
- Kostadinova, Tatiana (2002), "Do Mixed Electoral Systems Matter?: A Cross-National Analysis of Their Effects in Eastern Europe," *Electoral Studies*, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 23-34.
- Laakso, Markku (1979), "Should a Two-and-a-Half Law Replace the Cube Law in British Elections?" *British Journal of Political Science*, Vol. 9, No. 3, pp. 355-362.
- Laakso, Markku and Rein Taagepera (1979), "Effective Number of Parties: A Measure with Application to West Europe," *Comparative Political Studies*, Vol. 12, pp. 3-27.
- Lakeman, Enid and James D. Lambert (1955), *Voting in Democracies: A Study of the Majority and Proportional Electoral Systems*, London: Faber and Faber.
- Lijphart, Arend (1984a), "Trying to Have the Best of Both Worlds: Semi-Proportional

- and Mixed Systems,” in Arend Lijphart and B. Grofman, *Choosing an Electoral System: Issues and Alternatives*, New York: Praeger, pp. 207-213.
- Lijphart, Arend (1984b), *Democracy: Patterns of Majoritarian and Consensus of Government in Twenty-One Countries*, New Haven, CT: Yale University.
- Lijphart, Arend (1994), *Electoral Systems and Party Systems: A Study of Twenty-seven Democracies, 1945-1990*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Lijphart, Arend (1997), “The Difficult Science of Electoral Systems: A Commentary on the Critique by Alberto Penades,” *Electoral Studies*, Vol. 16, No. 17, pp. 73-77.
- Lijphart, Arend (1999), “SNTV and STV Compared: Their Political Consequences in Japan, Ireland, and Malta,” in B. Grofman (eds.), *Election in Japan, Korea, and Taiwan under the Single Non-Transferable Vote: The Comparative Study of an Embedded Institution*, Michigan: The University of Michigan Press, pp. 289-299.
- Lijphart, Arend and Robert W. Gibberd (1977), “Thresholds and Payoffs in List Systems of Proportional Representation,” *European Journal of Political Research*, Vol. 5, No. 3, pp. 219-244.
- Lijphart, Arend, Rafael Lopez Pintor, and Yasunori (1986), “The Limited Vote and the Single Nontransferable Vote: Lessons from the Japanese and Spanish Examples,” in B. Grofman and A. Lijphart (eds.), *Electoral Law and Their Political Consequences*, New York: Agathon Press, pp. 154-169.
- Lin, Tse-min, Feng-yu Lee, and Chin-en Wu (2009), “The Spatial Organization of Elections and the Cube Law: Taiwan’s 2008 Legislative Elections,” in Taiwan’s Election and Democratization Study International Conference, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan.
- Liu, I-Chou (1999), “Campaigning in an SNTV System: The Case of the Kuomintang in Taiwan,” in B. Grofman (eds.), *Election in Japan, Korea, and Taiwan under the Single Non-Transferable Vote: The Comparative Study of an Embedded Institution*, Michigan: The University of Michigan Press, pp. 181-208.
- Loosemore, John and Victor J. Hanby (1971), “The Theoretical Limits of Maximum Distortion: Some Analytic Expressions for Electoral Systems,” *British Journal of Political Science*, Vol. 1, No. 4, pp. 467-477.
- Mackie, Thomas and Richard Rose (1991), *The International Almanac Electoral History*, Washington D.C.: Congressional Quarterly.
- Massicotte, Louis and Andre Blais (1999), “Mixed Electoral System: A Conceptual and Empirical Survey,” *Electoral Studies*, Vol. 18, pp. 341-366.
- Moser, Robert G. and Ethan Scheiner (2004), “Mixed Electoral Systems and Electoral System Effect: Controlled Comparison and Cross-National Analysis,” *Electoral Studies*, Vol. 23, pp. 575-599.

- Nishikawa, Misa and Erik S. Herron (2004), "Mixed Electoral Rules' Impact on Party Systems," *Electoral Studies*, Vol. 23, No. 4, pp. 753-768.
- Norris, Pippa (1997), "Choosing Electoral Systems: Proportional, Majoritarian and Mixed System," *International Political Science Review*, Vol. 18, No. 3, pp.297-312.
- Norris, Pippa (2004), *Electoral engineering: voting rules and political behavior*, New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Rae, Douglas (1967), *The political consequences of electoral laws*, New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Rae, Douglas, et al. (1971), "Thresholds of Representation and Thresholds of Exclusion," *Comparative Political Studies*, Vol.3, pp. 479-488.
- Reed, Steven R. (2005), "Japan: Haltingly Towards a Two-Party System," in Michael Gallagher and Paul Mitchell, *The Politics of Electoral Systems*, New York: Oxford University Press, pp. 277-293.
- Reed, Steven R. and Michael F. Thies (2001), "The Consequences of Electoral Reform in Japan," in Matthew S. Shugart and Martin P. Wattenberg, *Mixed-Member Electoral Systems: The Best of Both Worlds?* Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 380-403.
- Reeve, Andrew and Alan Ware (1992), *Electoral Systems: A Comparative and Theoretical Introduction*, New York: Routledge.
- Riedwyl, Hans and Jurg Steiner (1995), "What Is Proportionality Anyhow?" *Comparative Politics*, Vol.27, No.3, pp. 357-369.
- Riker, William H. (1982), "The Two-Party System and Duverger's Law: An Essay on the History of Political Science," *The American Political Science Association*, Vol. 76, No. 4, pp. 753-766.
- Riker, William H. (1986), "Duverger's Law Revisited," in B. Grofman and A. Lijphart (eds.), *Electoral Law and Their Political Consequences*, New York: Agathon Press, pp. 19-42.
- Rose, Richard (1984), "Electoral System: A Question of Degree or of Principle?" in Arend Lijphart and B. Grofman, *Choosing an electoral system: issues and alternatives*, New York: Praeger Publishers, pp. 73-81.
- Schaap, Ross D. (2005), "The House of Representatives' election in Japan, November 2003," *Electoral Studies*, Vol. 24, No. 1, pp. 123-160.
- Shugart, Matthew S. (2005), "Comparative Electoral Systems Research: The Maturation of Field and New Challenges Ahead," in Michael Gallagher and Paul Mitchell, *The Politics of Electoral Systems*, New York: Oxford University Press, pp. 25-55.

- Shugart, Matthew S. and Wattenberg (2001), *Mixed-Member Electoral Systems: The Best of Both Worlds?* Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Taagepera, Rein (1984), "The Effect of District Magnitude and Properties of Two-Seat Districts," in Arend Lijphart and B. Grofman, *Choosing an electoral system: issues and alternatives*, New York: Praeger Publishers, pp. 91-101.
- Taagepera, Rein (1998), "Effective Magnitude and Effective Threshold," *Electoral Studies*, Vol. 17, No. 4, pp. 393-404.
- Taagepera, Rein and Matthew S. Shugart (1989), *Seats and Votes: The Effects and Determinants of Electoral Systems*. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Thies, Michael (2002), "Changing How the Japanese Vote: The Promise and Pitfalls of the 1994 Electoral Reform," in John Fuh-sheng Hsieh and David Newman (eds.), *How Asia Votes*, New York: Chatham House Publishers, Seven Bridges Press, pp. 92-117.
- Tufte, Edward R. (1973), "The Relationship between Seats and Votes in Two-Party Systems," *The American Political Science Review*, Vol. 67, No. 2, pp. 540-554.
- Winckler, Edwin A. (1999), "Electoral Equilibria on Taiwan," in B. Grofman (eds.), *Election in Japan, Korea, and Taiwan under the Single Non-Transferable Vote: The Comparative Study of an Embedded Institution*, Michigan: The University of Michigan Press, pp. 266-286.
- Yu, Ching-Hsin (2009), "Changes in Party System under the Mixed-Member Majoritarian System in Taiwan," in International Conference on Elections in Taiwan, Japan, and Korea under the Mixed-Member Electoral Systems, Election Study Center at National Chengchi University, Taipei, Taiwan.