## 3. Fuzzy Statistic Distribution

Before introducing new statistic distribution, we first define that how to find the expected value and variance for fuzzy sample data.

### 3.1 Expected Value and Variance for Fuzzy Sample Data

## Definition 3.1 Expected value for fuzzy sample data (data with multiple values)

Let $U$ be the universal set (a discussion domain),

$$
L=\left\{L_{1}, L_{2}, \ldots, L_{k}\right\}
$$

be a set of $k$-linguistic variables on $U$, and

$$
\left\{F x_{i}=\frac{m_{i 1}}{L_{1}}+\frac{m_{i 2}}{L_{2}}+\cdots+\frac{m_{i k}}{L_{k}}, i=1,2, \ldots, n\right\}
$$

be a sequence of random fuzzy sample on $U$,

$$
m_{i j}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} m_{i j}=1\right) \text { is the memberships with respect to } L_{j}
$$

(Nguyen and Wu 2006 [8]) and has the fuzzy Bernoulli distribution. Then, the expected value for fuzzy sample data is defined as

$$
E\left(F x_{i}\right)=\frac{E\left(m_{i 1}\right)}{L_{1}}+\frac{E\left(m_{i 2}\right)}{L_{2}}+\cdots \frac{E\left(m_{i k}\right)}{L_{k}} .
$$

## Definition 3.2 Variance for fuzzy sample data

As definition above, we have the variance for fuzzy sample data as following:

$$
\operatorname{var}\left(F x_{i}\right)=\frac{\operatorname{var}\left(m_{i 1}\right)}{L_{1}}+\frac{\operatorname{var}\left(m_{i 2}\right)}{L_{2}}+\cdots \frac{\operatorname{var}\left(m_{i k}\right)}{L_{k}}
$$

### 3.2 Fuzzy Bernoulli and Fuzzy Binomial Distribution

In this section, we want to introduce some new distribution functions. We have known that (e.g. [5]) a Bernoulli trial is an experiment which has only two possible
(incompatible) outcomes, which we shall label "success" and "failure". In general, let $X=1$ if the outcome of Bernoulli trial is a success and $X=0$ if it is a failure. Now, we say that a Fuzzy Bernoulli experiment is a random experiment, the outcome of which can be classified in but one of two mutually exclusive and exhaustive ways, say, success or failure (i.e. we let $X \in[0.5,1]$ if the outcome of Fuzzy Bernoulli trial is a success and $X \in[0,0.5]$ if it is a failure.) Hence, a sequence of Fuzzy Bernoulli trials occurs. In such a sequence we let $\pi$ denote the probability of success on each trial. In addition, we will frequently let $q=1-\pi$ denote the probability of failure.

Now, let $X$ be a continuous random variable associated with a Fuzzy Bernoulli trial by defining it as follows:

$$
X \text { (success) } \in[0.5,1] \text { and } X(\text { failure }) \in[0,0.5)
$$

That is, the two outcomes, success and failure, are denoted by mutually part of a partition set $[0,1]$.
The p.d.f. of $X$ can be written as

$$
f(x)=2 \begin{cases}\pi & \text { if } x \in[0.5,1]  \tag{3.1}\\ 1-\pi & \text { if } x \in[0,0.5)\end{cases}
$$

We say that $X$ has a Fuzzy Bernoulli distribution, and denoted by $X \sim F B(1, \pi)$. We fist derive some properties of the fuzzy Bernoulli distribution.

## Theorem 3.3

a. The Fuzzy Bernoulli density function given in (3.1) is a density function.
b. If $X \sim \operatorname{FB}(1, \pi)$, then the expected value of $X$ is

$$
\mu=E(X)=\frac{1+2 \pi}{4},
$$

and the variance of $X$ is

$$
\sigma^{2}=\operatorname{Var}(X)=\frac{1}{48}+\frac{1}{4} \pi(1-\pi)
$$

Finally, the moment-generating function of $X$ is

$$
M(t)=E\left(e^{t X}\right)= \begin{cases}2\left(\frac{e^{\frac{t}{2}}-1}{t}\right)\left[\pi e^{\frac{t}{2}}+(1-\pi)\right] & \text { if } t \neq 0 \\ 1 & \text { if } t=0\end{cases}
$$

Proof:
a. Note that $f(x) \geq 0$.

Also, $\int_{0}^{1} f(x) d x$

$$
=\int_{0}^{0.5} 2(1-\pi) d x+\int_{0.5}^{1} 2 \pi d x=\left.2(1-\pi) \cdot x\right|_{0} ^{0.5}+\left.2 \pi \cdot x\right|_{0.5} ^{1}=1 .
$$

So that $f$ is a density function.
b. $E(X)=\int_{0.5}^{1} x \cdot 2 \pi d x+\int_{0}^{0.5} x \cdot 2(1-\pi) d x=\frac{1+2 \pi}{4}$, $\operatorname{Var}(X)=E\left(X^{2}\right)-[E(X)]^{2}=\int_{0.5}^{1} x^{2} \cdot 2 \pi d x+\int_{0}^{0.5} x^{2} \cdot 2(1-\pi) d x-\left(\frac{1+2 \pi}{4}\right)^{2}$ $=\frac{1}{48}+\frac{1}{4} \pi(1-\pi)$, and the moment-generating function of $X$ is $M(t)=E\left(e^{t X}\right)=\int_{0.5}^{1} e^{t x} \cdot 2 \pi d x+\int_{0}^{0.5} e^{t x} \cdot 2(1-\pi) d x$ $=\left.2 \pi \cdot \frac{1}{t} e^{t x}\right|_{0.5} ^{1}+\left.2(1-\pi) \frac{1}{t} e^{t x}\right|_{0} ^{0.5}$
$=2 \pi \cdot \frac{1}{t}\left(e^{t}-e^{\frac{t}{2}}\right)+2(1-\pi) \cdot \frac{1}{t}\left(e^{\frac{t}{2}}-1\right)$
$=2 \pi \frac{e^{t}-e^{\frac{t}{2}}}{t}+2(1-\pi) \frac{e^{\frac{t}{2}}-1}{t}$
$=2 \cdot \frac{1}{t}\left[\pi e^{t}+(1-2 \pi) e^{\frac{t}{2}}-(1-\pi)\right]$
$=2 \cdot \frac{1}{t}\left(\pi e^{\frac{t}{2}}+(1-\pi)\right)\left(e^{\frac{t}{2}}-1\right)$
$=2\left(\frac{e^{\frac{t}{2}}-1}{t}\right)\left[\pi e^{\frac{t}{2}}+(1-\pi)\right]$ for $t \neq 0$.
The moment-generating function is not differentiable at zero, but the moments can be calculated by differentiating and then taking $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0}$. We present it as following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
M(0) & =\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} M(t)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} 2\left(\frac{e^{\frac{t}{2}}-1}{t}\right)\left[\pi e^{\frac{t}{2}}+(1-\pi)\right]=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} 2 \frac{\left(e^{\frac{t}{2}}-1\right)\left[\pi e^{\frac{t}{2}}+(1-\pi)\right]}{t} \\
& =\lim _{t \rightarrow 0}\left\{2\left(e^{\frac{t}{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{2}\right)\left[\pi e^{\frac{t}{2}}+(1-\pi)\right]+2\left(e^{\frac{t}{2}}-1\right)\left[\pi e^{\frac{t}{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{2}\right]\right\} \quad \text { (by L'Hospital's Rule) } \\
& =1
\end{aligned}
$$

In a sequence of Fuzzy Bernoulli trials, we are often interested in the total number of successes and not in the order of their occurrence. If we let the random variable $M$ equal the number of observed successes in $n$ Fuzzy Bernoulli trials, the possible values of $M$ are any nonnegative numbers. In order to easily denote the Fuzzy Binomial distribution, let $k$ successes occur, where $2 m-n<k \leq 2 m$ for $k \in N \cup\{0\}$ as $m<n$ and $k=n$ as $m=n$, then $n-k$ failures occur. On the above, we say that $m$ is the observed numbers of $M$ and $N$ is defined by natural numbers. (The same definitions are in the following.) The number of ways of
selecting $k$ positions for the $k$ successes in the $n$ trials is $\binom{n}{k}$. Note that, when we know the value of $m$, the values of $k$ is decided (see Figure 3.1).


Figure 3.1. The relation of $m$ and $k$.

The p.d.f. of $M$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(m)=\frac{2}{n} \sum_{k \in \Omega}\binom{n}{k} \pi^{k}(1-\pi)^{n-k} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega=\{k \in N \cup\{0\} \mid 2 m-n<k \leq 2 m$ for $m<n$ and $m=n$ for $k=n\}$.
Another way to present the p.d.f. is like that
or

$$
f(m)=\frac{2}{n}\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
\binom{n}{0} \pi^{0}(1-\pi)^{n-0}, & 0 \leq m<0.5 n, & k=0 \\
\binom{n}{1} \pi^{1}(1-\pi)^{n-1}, & 0.5 \leq m<0.5(n+1), & k=1 . \\
\binom{n}{n} \pi^{n}(1-\pi)^{n-n}, & 0.5 n \leq m \leq 0.5(n+n), & k=n
\end{array}\right.
$$

We say that $M$ has a Fuzzy Binomial distribution, and denoted by $M \sim F B(n, \pi)$. The constants $n$ and $\pi$ are called the parameters of the fuzzy binomial distribution; they correspond to the number $n$ of trials and the probability $\pi$ of success on each trial.

## Theorem 3.4

a. The Fuzzy Bernoulli density function given in (3.2) is a density function.
b. If $M \sim \operatorname{FB}(n, \pi)$, then the expected value of $M$ is

$$
\mu=E(M)=n \cdot \frac{1+2 \pi}{4},
$$

and the variance of $M$ is

$$
\sigma^{2}=\operatorname{Var}(M)=\frac{n^{2}}{48}+\frac{n}{4} \pi(1-\pi)
$$

Finally, the moment-generating function of $M$ is

$$
M(t)= \begin{cases}\frac{2}{n} \cdot \frac{e^{\frac{n}{2} t}-1}{t}\left[\pi e^{\frac{t}{2}}+(1-\pi)\right]^{n} & \text { if } t \neq 0 . \\ 1 & \text { if } t=0\end{cases}
$$

Proof:
a. Note that, $f(m) \geq 0$.

Also, by the binomial theorem and integral operation,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{n} f(m) d m=\int_{0}^{n} \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k \in \Omega}\binom{n}{k} \pi^{k}(1-\pi)^{n-k} d m \\
& =\frac{2}{n}\left\{\int_{0}^{0.5 n}\binom{n}{0} \pi^{0}(1-\pi)^{n-0} d m+\int_{0.5}^{0.5(n+1)}\binom{n}{1} \pi^{1}(1-\pi)^{n-1} d m+\cdots+\int_{0.5 n}^{0.5(n+n)}\binom{n}{n} \pi^{n}(1-\pi)^{n-n} d m\right\} \\
& =\frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \int_{0.5 k}^{0.5(n+k)}\binom{n}{k} \pi^{k}(1-\pi)^{n-k} d m=\left.\frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k} \pi^{k}(1-\pi)^{n-k} \cdot m\right|_{0.5 k} ^{0.5(n+k)} \\
& =\frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k} \pi^{k}(1-\pi)^{n-k} \cdot \frac{n}{2}=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k} \pi^{k}(1-\pi)^{n-k}=[\pi+(1-\pi)]^{n}=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

So that $f$ is a density function.
b. By the binomial theorem and integral operation again,

$$
\begin{aligned}
M(t) & =E\left(e^{t M}\right)=\frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \int_{0.5 k}^{0.5(n+k)} e^{t m} \cdot\binom{n}{k} \pi^{k}(1-\pi)^{n-k} d m \\
& =\left.\frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k} \pi^{k}(1-\pi)^{n-k} \cdot \frac{1}{t} e^{t m}\right|_{0.5 k} ^{0.5(n+k)} \\
& =\frac{2}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{t} \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k} \pi^{k}(1-\pi)^{n-k}\left[e^{\frac{t}{2}(n+k)}-e^{\frac{t}{2} k}\right] \\
& =\frac{2}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{t}\left(e^{\frac{n}{2} t}-1\right) \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}\left(e^{\frac{t}{2}} \pi\right)^{k}(1-\pi)^{n-k} \\
& =\frac{2}{n} \cdot \frac{e^{\frac{n}{2} t}-1}{t}\left[\pi e^{\frac{t}{2}}+(1-\pi)\right]^{n} \text { for } t \neq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

As $t=0$, the same proof as theorem 3.3 b .
Therefore, $\psi(t)=\log M(t)=\log \frac{2}{n}+\log \frac{e^{\frac{n}{2} t}-1}{t}+n \cdot \log \left(\pi e^{\frac{t}{2}}+1-\pi\right)$.
And $\psi(0)=\log M(0)=\log 1=0$.

$$
\psi^{\prime}(t)=\frac{t}{e^{\frac{n}{2} t}-1} \cdot \frac{e^{\frac{n}{2} t} \frac{n}{2} \cdot t-\left(e^{\frac{n}{2} t}-1\right) \cdot 1}{t^{2}}+n \cdot \frac{\pi e^{\frac{t}{2}} \frac{1}{2}}{\pi e^{\frac{t}{2}}+1-\pi} .
$$

So that $\mu=\psi^{\prime}(0)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\psi(t)-\psi(0)}{t-0}=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\psi(t)}{t}=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \psi^{\prime}(t) \quad$ (by L'Hospital's Rule)

$$
=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0}\left[\frac{t}{e^{\frac{n}{2} t}-1} \cdot \frac{e^{\frac{n}{2} t} \frac{n}{2} \cdot t-\left(e^{\frac{n}{2} t}-1\right)}{t^{2}}+n \cdot \frac{\pi e^{\frac{t}{2}} \frac{1}{2}}{\pi e^{\frac{t}{2}}+1-\pi}\right]=n \cdot \frac{1+2 \pi}{4} .
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi^{\prime \prime}(t)= & \frac{1 \cdot\left(e^{\frac{n}{2} t}-1\right)-t \cdot e^{\frac{n}{2} t} \frac{n}{2}}{\left(e^{\frac{n}{2} t}-1\right)^{2}} \cdot \frac{e^{\frac{n}{2} t} \frac{n}{2} \cdot t-\left(e^{\frac{n}{2} t}-1\right)}{t^{2}} \\
& +\frac{t}{e^{\frac{n}{2} t}-1} \cdot \frac{\left[e^{\frac{n}{2} t}\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^{2} \cdot t+e^{\frac{n}{2} t} \frac{n}{2} \cdot 1-e^{\frac{n}{2} t} \frac{n}{2}\right] \cdot t^{2}-\left[e^{\frac{n}{2} t} \frac{n}{2} \cdot t-\left(e^{\frac{n}{2} t}-1\right)\right] \cdot 2 t}{t^{4}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
+n \cdot \frac{\pi e^{\frac{t}{2}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}\left(\pi e^{\frac{t}{2}}+1-\pi\right)-\left(\pi e^{\frac{t}{2}} \frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(\pi e^{\frac{t}{2}}+1-\pi\right)^{2}} .
$$

Hence $\sigma^{2}=\psi^{\prime \prime}(0)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\psi^{\prime}(t)-\psi^{\prime}(0)}{t-0}=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\psi^{\prime}(t)-\mu}{t}=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \psi^{\prime \prime}(t)$
(by L'Hospital's Rule)

$$
=\frac{n^{2}}{48}+\frac{n}{4} \pi(1-\pi) .
$$

In next section, we will derive the fuzzy multinomial distribution which is expanded of fuzzy binomial distribution.

### 3.3 Fuzzy Multinomial Distribution

First, we want to introduce Fuzzy trinomial distribution, and then extension it to the multinomial distribution.

## Fuzzy trinomial distribution

The Fuzzy binomial distribution counts the fuzzy number of "successes" in $n$ independent replications of an experiment with two possible outcomes.

Let $M=\left(M_{1}, M_{2}\right)$ be a bivariate random vector whose range is $S_{n}=\left\{\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right): m_{1} \geq 0, m_{2} \geq 0 \& m_{1}+m_{2} \leq n\right\}$ (That is, $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ are nonnegative real values such that $m_{1}+m_{2} \leq n$ ). Also, we let $K_{n}=\left\{\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right): 2 m_{i}-n<k_{i} \leq 2 m_{i}, k_{i} \in N \cup\{0\}\right.$ for $\left.i=1,2 \& k_{1}+k_{2} \leq n\right\}$ under the condition $S_{n}$, then we have a relation between $K_{n}$ and $S_{n}$. When $m_{1}, m_{2}$ decided, $k_{1}, k_{2}$ are decided. Hence, $M$ has a Fuzzy trinomial distribution with parameters $n$ and $\pi=\left(\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}\right)$, written $M=\left(M_{1}, M_{2}\right) \sim F T\left(n,\left(\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}\right)\right)$, if $M$ has joint density function

$$
f\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)=2 \begin{cases}\left(\frac{2}{n}\right)^{2} \sum_{k_{1}} \sum_{k_{2}} \frac{n!}{k_{1}!k_{2}!\left(n-k_{1}-k_{2}\right)!} \pi_{1}^{k_{1}} \pi_{2}^{k_{2}}\left(1-\pi_{1}-\pi_{2}\right)^{n-k_{1}-k_{2}} & \text { if }\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right) \in K_{n}  \tag{3.3}\\ \left(\frac{2}{n}\right)^{2} \sum_{k_{1}} \sum_{k_{2}} \frac{n!}{k_{1}!k_{2}!\left(n-k_{1}-k_{2}\right)!} \pi_{1}^{k_{1}} \pi_{2}^{k_{2}}\left(1-\pi_{1}-\pi_{2}\right)^{n-k_{1}-k_{2}} & \text { if }\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right) \in K-K_{n}\end{cases}
$$

where $K=\left\{\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right): k_{1} \geq 0, k_{2} \geq 0\right.$, and $\left.k_{1}+k_{2} \leq n\right\} \quad \& \quad\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in S_{n}$.
On the above, $n$ is a positive integer, $\pi_{1}$ and $\pi_{2}$ are nonnegative numbers such that $\pi_{1}+\pi_{2} \leq 1$.
In order to prove that $f$ is a p.d.f. under $S_{n}$, we must extend the set $S_{n}$ to $\tilde{S}_{n} \cup A$, where

$$
\tilde{S}_{n}=\left\{\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right): 0.5 k_{i} \leq m_{i}<0.5\left(n+k_{i}\right), k_{i} \in N \cup\{0\} \text { for } i=1,2 \text { and } k_{1}+k_{2} \leq n\right\} \text { \& }
$$

$$
A=S-\tilde{S}_{n}
$$

with $A$ is measure zero. Note that, $S$ is the set denoted by

$$
S=\left\{\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right): 0 \leq m_{1} \leq n \& 0 \leq m_{2} \leq n\right\} .
$$

## Theorem 3.5

a. The Fuzzy trinomial density function given in (3.3) is a density function.
b. If $\left(M_{1}, M_{2}\right) \sim F T\left(n,\left(\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}\right)\right)$, then $E M_{i}=n \cdot \frac{1+2 \pi_{i}}{4}$,
$\operatorname{Var}\left(M_{i}\right)=\frac{n^{2}}{48}+\frac{n}{4} \pi_{i}\left(1-\pi_{i}\right), \operatorname{cov}\left(M_{1}, M_{2}\right)=-\frac{n}{4} \pi_{1} \pi_{2}$, and the joint moment-generation function is

$$
M\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)= \begin{cases}\left(\frac{2}{n}\right)^{2} \cdot\left(\frac{e^{\frac{n}{2} t_{1}}}{t_{1}}\right)\left(\frac{e^{\frac{n}{t_{2}}}-1}{t_{2}}\right)\left[\pi_{1} e^{\frac{t_{1}}{2}}+\pi_{2} e^{\frac{t_{2}}{2}}+\left(1-\pi_{1}-\pi_{2}\right)\right]^{n} & \text { if }\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=(0,0) \\ 1 & \text { if }\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \neq(0,0)\end{cases}
$$

Proof:
a. Clearly $f\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \geq 0$.

By the trinomial theorem and integral operation,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \iint_{S_{n}} f\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) d m_{1} d m_{2}=\int_{0}^{n} \int_{0}^{n-m_{2}} f\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) d m_{1} d m_{2} \\
& =\int_{0}^{n} \int_{0}^{n-m_{2}} 2\left(\frac{2}{n}\right)^{2} \sum_{\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right) \in K} \frac{n!}{k_{1}!k_{2}!\left(n-k_{1}-k_{2}\right)!} \pi^{k_{1}} \pi_{2}^{k_{2}}\left(1-\pi_{1}-\pi_{2}\right)^{n-k_{1}-k_{2}} d m_{1} d m_{2} \\
& =2\left(\frac{2}{n}\right)^{2} \sum_{\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right) \in K} \sum_{\tilde{S}_{n} \cup A} \frac{1}{2} \iint_{S_{1}} \frac{n!}{k_{1}!k_{2}!\left(n-k_{1}-k_{2}\right)!} \pi_{1}^{k_{1}} \pi_{2}^{k_{2}}\left(1-\pi_{1}-\pi_{2}\right)^{n-k_{1}-k_{2}} d m_{1} d m_{2} \\
& =\left(\frac{2}{n}\right)^{2} \sum_{\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right) \in K}\left[\iint_{S_{n}} \frac{n!}{k_{1}!k_{2}!\left(n-k_{1}-k_{2}\right)!} \pi_{1}^{k_{1}} \pi_{2}^{k_{2}}\left(1-\pi_{1}-\pi_{2}\right)^{n-k_{1}-k_{2}} d m_{1} d m_{2}+\right. \\
& \left.\quad \iint_{A} 0 d m_{1} d m_{2}\right] \\
& =\left(\frac{2}{n}\right)^{2} \sum_{\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right) \in K} \sum_{0.5 k_{2}}^{0.5\left(n+k_{2}\right)} \int_{0.5 k_{1}}^{0.5\left(n+k_{1}\right)} \frac{n!}{k_{1}!k_{2}!\left(n-k_{1}-k_{2}\right)!} \pi_{1}^{k_{1}} \pi_{2}^{k_{2}}\left(1-\pi_{1}-\pi_{2}\right)^{n-k_{1}-k_{2}} d m_{1} d m_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left(\frac{2}{n}\right)^{2} \sum_{\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right) \in K} \frac{n!}{k_{1}!k_{2}!\left(n-k_{1}-k_{2}\right)!} \pi_{1}^{k_{1}} \pi_{2}^{k_{2}}\left(1-\pi_{1}-\pi_{2}\right)^{n-k_{1}-k_{2}} \cdot\left[\left.m_{1}\right|_{0.5 k_{1}} ^{0.5\left(n+k_{1}\right)}\right] \cdot\left[\left.m_{2}\right|_{0.5 k_{2}} ^{0.5\left(n+k_{2}\right)}\right] \\
& =\left(\frac{2}{n}\right)^{2} \sum_{\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right) \in K} \frac{n!}{k_{1}!k_{!}!\left(n-k_{1}-k_{2}\right)!} \pi_{1}^{k_{1}} \pi_{2}^{k_{2}}\left(1-\pi_{1}-\pi_{2}\right)^{n-k_{1}-k_{2}} \cdot\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right) \in K} \sum_{k_{1}!k_{2}!\left(n-k_{1}-k_{2}\right)!} \pi_{1}^{k_{1}} \pi_{2}^{k_{2}}\left(1-\pi_{1}-\pi_{2}\right)^{n-k_{1}-k_{2}} \\
& =\left[\pi_{1}+\pi_{2}+\left(1-\pi_{1}-\pi_{2}\right)\right]^{n}=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

So that $f$ is a density function.
b. By the trinomial theorem and integral operation again, we have, for the joint moment-generation function,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=E\left(e^{t_{1} m_{1}+t_{2} m_{2}}\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{2}{n}\right)^{2} \sum_{\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right) \in K} \int_{0.5 k_{2}}^{0.5\left(n+k_{2}\right)} \int_{0.5 k_{1}}^{0.5\left(n+k_{1}\right)} e^{t_{1} m_{1}} \cdot e^{t_{2} m_{2}} \frac{n!}{k_{1}!k_{2}!\left(n-k_{1}-k_{2}\right)!} \pi_{1}^{k_{1}} \pi_{2}^{k_{2}}\left(1-\pi_{1}-\pi_{2}\right)^{n-k_{1}-k_{2}} d m_{1} d m_{2} \\
& =\left(\frac{2}{n}\right)^{2} \sum_{\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right) \in K} \frac{n!}{k_{1}!k_{2}!\left(n-k_{1}-k_{2}\right)!} \pi_{1}^{k_{1}} \pi_{2}^{k_{2}}\left(1-\pi_{1}-\pi_{2}\right)^{n-k_{1}-k_{2}} \cdot\left[\left.\frac{e^{t_{1} m_{1}}}{t_{1}}\right|_{0.5 k_{1}} ^{0.5\left(n+k_{1}\right)}\right] \cdot\left[\left.\frac{e^{t_{2} m_{2}}}{t_{2}}\right|_{0.5 k_{2}} ^{0.5\left(n+k_{2}\right)}\right] \\
& =\left(\frac{2}{n}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{e^{\frac{n}{2} t_{1}}-1}{t_{1}}\right)\left(\frac{e^{\frac{n}{2} t_{2}}-1}{t_{2}}\right) \sum_{\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right) \in K} \frac{n!}{k_{1}!k_{2}!\left(n-k_{1}-k_{2}\right)!} \pi_{1}^{k_{1}} \pi_{2}^{k_{2}}\left(1-\pi_{1}-\pi_{2}\right)^{n-k_{1}-k_{2}} \\
& =\left(\frac{2}{n}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{e^{\frac{n}{2} t_{1}}-1}{t_{1}}\right)\left(\frac{e^{\frac{n}{2} t_{2}}-1}{t_{2}}\right)\left[\pi_{1} e^{\frac{t_{1}}{2}}+\pi_{2} e^{\frac{t_{2}}{2}}+\left(1-\pi_{1}-\pi_{2}\right)\right]^{n} \text { for }\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \neq(0,0) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The moment-generating function is not differentiable at $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=(0,0)$, but the moments can be calculated by differentiating and then taking $\lim _{\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \rightarrow(0,0)}$.

Then $M(0)=M(0,0)=\lim _{\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \rightarrow(0,0)} M\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\lim _{\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \rightarrow(0,0)}\left(\frac{2}{n}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{e^{\frac{n}{2} t_{1}}}{t_{1}}\right)\left(\frac{e^{\frac{n}{2} t_{2}}-1}{t_{2}}\right)\left[\pi_{1} e^{\frac{t_{1}}{2}}+\pi_{2} e^{\frac{t_{2}}{2}}+\left(1-\pi_{1}-\pi_{2}\right)\right]^{n} \\
& \left(\text { Let } t_{1}=r \cos \theta, t_{2}=r \sin \theta . \text { If }\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \rightarrow(0,0) \text {, then } r \rightarrow 0^{+} .\right) \\
& =\lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left(\frac{2}{n}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{e^{\frac{n}{2} r \cos \theta}-1}{r \cos \theta}\right)\left(\frac{e^{\frac{n}{2} \sin \theta}-1}{r \sin \theta}\right)\left[\pi_{1} e^{\frac{r \cos \theta}{2}}+\pi_{2} e^{\frac{r \sin \theta}{2}}+\left(1-\pi_{1}-\pi_{2}\right)\right]^{n} \\
& =1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, let $\psi(t)=\log M(t)$, where $t=\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ is a vector.
Therefore,
$\psi(t)=2 \cdot \log \left(\frac{2}{n}\right)+\log \left(\frac{e^{\frac{n}{2} t_{1}}-1}{t_{1}}\right)+\log \left(\frac{e^{\frac{n}{2} t_{2}}-1}{t_{2}}\right)+n \cdot \log \left(\pi_{1} e^{\frac{t_{1}}{2}}+\pi_{2} e^{\frac{t_{2}}{2}}+1-\pi_{1}-\pi_{2}\right)$, and $\psi(0)=\log M(0)=\log 1=0$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{i}(t)=\frac{\partial \psi(t)}{\partial t_{i}}=\frac{t_{i}}{e^{\frac{n}{2} t_{i}}}-1 \quad \cdot \frac{e^{\frac{n}{2} t_{i}} \frac{n}{2} \cdot t_{i}-\left(e^{\frac{n}{2} t_{i}}-1\right) \cdot 1}{t_{i}^{2}}+n \cdot \frac{\pi_{i} e^{\frac{t_{i}}{2}} \frac{1}{2}}{\pi_{1} e^{\frac{t_{1}}{2}}+\pi_{2} e^{\frac{t_{2}}{2}}+1-\pi_{1}-\pi_{2}} . \\
& \mu=E M_{i}=\psi_{i}(0)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \psi_{i}(t)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0}\left[\frac{t_{i}}{e^{\frac{n}{2}}-1} \cdot \frac{e^{\frac{n}{2} t_{i}} \frac{n}{2} \cdot t_{i}-\left(e^{\frac{n_{t}}{t_{i}}}-1\right)}{t_{i}^{2}}+n \cdot \frac{\pi_{i} e^{\frac{t_{i}}{2}} \frac{1}{2}}{\pi_{1} e^{\frac{t_{1}}{2}}+\pi_{2} e^{\frac{t_{2}}{2}}+1-\pi_{1}-\pi_{2}}\right] \\
& =n \cdot \frac{1+2 \pi_{i}}{4}, \\
& \psi_{i i}(t)=\frac{\partial^{2} \psi(t)}{\partial t_{i}{ }^{2}}=\frac{1 \cdot\left(e^{\frac{n}{2} t_{i}}-1\right)-t_{i} \cdot e^{\frac{n}{2} t_{i}} \frac{n}{2}}{\left(e^{\frac{n}{2} t_{i}}-1\right)^{2}} \cdot \frac{e^{\frac{n}{2} t_{i}} \frac{n}{2} \cdot t_{i}-\left(e^{\frac{n}{t_{i}}}-1\right)}{t_{i}{ }^{2}} \\
& +\frac{t_{i}}{e^{\frac{n}{t_{i}}}-1} \cdot \frac{\left[e^{\frac{n}{2} t_{i}}\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^{2} \cdot t_{i}+e^{\frac{n}{2} t_{i}} \frac{n}{2} \cdot 1-e^{\frac{n}{2} t_{i}} \frac{n}{2}\right] \cdot t_{i}^{2}-\left[e^{\frac{n}{2} t_{i}} \frac{n}{2} \cdot t_{i}-\left(e^{\frac{n}{t_{i}}}-1\right)\right] \cdot 2 t_{i}}{t_{i}^{4}} \\
& +n \cdot \frac{\pi_{i} e^{\frac{t_{i}}{2}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{1} e^{\frac{t_{1}}{2}}+\pi_{2} e^{\frac{t_{2}}{2}}+1-\pi_{1}-\pi_{2}\right)-\left(\pi_{i} e^{\frac{t_{i}}{2}} \frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(\pi_{1} e^{\frac{t_{1}}{2}}+\pi_{2} e^{\frac{t_{2}}{2}}+1-\pi_{1}-\pi_{2}\right)^{2}} . \\
& \sigma^{2}=\operatorname{var}\left(M_{i}\right)=\psi_{i i}(0)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \psi_{i i}(t)=\frac{n^{2}}{48}+\frac{n}{4} \pi_{i}\left(1-\pi_{i}\right) \text {, } \\
& \psi_{i j}(t)=\frac{\partial^{2} \psi(t)}{\partial t_{j} \partial t_{i}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t_{j}}\left(\psi_{i}(t)\right) \\
& =\frac{\partial}{\partial t_{j}}\left[\frac{t_{i}}{e^{\frac{n}{2} t_{i}}-1} \cdot \frac{e^{\frac{n}{2} t_{i}} \frac{n}{2} \cdot t_{i}-\left(e^{\frac{n}{2} t_{i}}-1\right) \cdot 1}{t_{i}{ }^{2}}+n \cdot \frac{\pi_{i} e^{\frac{t_{i}}{2}} \frac{1}{2}}{\pi_{1} e^{\frac{t_{1}}{2}}+\pi_{2} e^{\frac{t_{2}}{2}}+1-\pi_{1}-\pi_{2}}\right] \\
& =n \cdot\left[\frac{-\pi_{i} e^{\frac{t_{i}}{2}} \frac{1}{2} \cdot \pi_{j} e^{\frac{t_{j}}{2}} \frac{1}{2}}{\left(\pi_{1} e^{\frac{t_{1}}{2}}+\pi_{2} e^{\frac{t_{2}}{2}}+1-\pi_{1}-\pi_{2}\right)^{2}}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\operatorname{cov}\left(M_{1}, M_{2}\right)=\psi_{12}(0)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \psi_{12}(t)=-\frac{n}{4} \pi_{1} \pi_{2}$.

## Theorem 3.6

Let $\left(M_{1}, M_{2}\right) \sim F T\left(n,\left(\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}\right)\right)$ be a fuzzy trinomial distribution with means $\pi_{1}$ and $\pi_{2}$. Then $M_{1} \sim F B\left(n, \pi_{1}\right)$ and $M_{1} \sim F B\left(n, \pi_{1}\right)$.
Proof: The marginal moment-generating function of $M_{1}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{1}(t) & =M(t, 0) \\
& =\lim _{\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \rightarrow(t, 0)}\left(\frac{2}{n}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{e^{\frac{n}{t_{1}}}-1}{t_{1}}\right)\left(\frac{e^{\frac{n}{2} t_{2}}-1}{t_{2}}\right)\left[\pi_{1} e^{\frac{t_{1}}{2}}+\pi_{2} e^{\frac{t_{2}}{2}}+\left(1-\pi_{1}-\pi_{2}\right)\right]^{n} \\
& =\left(\frac{2}{n}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{e^{\frac{n}{2} t}-1}{t}\right)\left[\pi_{1} e^{\frac{t}{2}}+\pi_{2} e^{0}+\left(1-\pi_{1}-\pi_{2}\right)\right]^{n} \cdot \lim _{t_{2} \rightarrow 0}\left(\frac{e^{\frac{n}{2} t_{2}}-1}{t_{2}}\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{2}{n}\right)\left(\frac{e^{\frac{n}{2} t}-1}{t}\right)\left[\pi_{1} e^{\frac{t}{2}}+\left(1-\pi_{1}\right)\right]^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is the moment-generating function for $\operatorname{FB}\left(n, \pi_{1}\right)$, so that $M_{1} \sim F B\left(n, \pi_{1}\right)$. The proof for $M_{2}$ is similar.
We now consider a notation for the fuzzy trinomial distribution which will lead to the notation we shall use in the next text for the fuzzy multinomial distribution. Let $\left(M_{1}, M_{2}\right) \sim F T\left(n,\left(\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}\right)\right)$, and let $M_{3}=n-M_{1}-M_{2}$ and $\pi_{3}=1-\pi_{1}-\pi_{2}$. Then $M=\left(M_{1}, M_{2}, M_{3}\right)$ has joint density function

$$
f^{*}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}\right)=2 \begin{cases}\left(\frac{2}{n}\right)^{3} \sum \sum \sum \frac{n!}{k_{1}!k_{2}!k_{3}!} \pi_{1}^{k_{1}} \pi_{2}^{k_{2}} \pi_{3}^{k_{3}} & \text { if }\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}\right) \in K_{n}^{*} \\ \left(\frac{2}{n}\right)^{3} \sum \sum \sum \frac{n!}{k_{1}!k_{2}!k_{3}!} \pi_{1}^{k_{1}} \pi_{2}^{k_{2}} \pi_{3}^{k_{3}} & \text { if }\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}\right) \in K-K_{n}^{*}\end{cases}
$$

where $K=\left\{\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}\right): k_{1} \geq 0, k_{2} \geq 0, k_{3} \geq 0\right.$ and $\left.k_{1}+k_{2}+k_{3}=n\right\} \quad \&$

$$
\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}\right) \in S_{n}{ }^{*},
$$

$S_{n}{ }^{*}$ is denoted by $S_{n}{ }^{*}=\left\{\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}\right): m_{1} \geq 0, m_{2} \geq 0, m_{3} \geq 0 \& m_{1}+m_{2}+m_{3}=n\right\}$.
Also, we let

$$
K_{n}^{*}=\left\{\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}\right): 2 m_{i}-n<k_{i} \leq 2 m_{i}, k_{i} \in N \cup\{0\} \text { fori }=1,2,3, \& k_{1}+k_{2}+k_{3}=n\right\}
$$

under the condition $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}\right) \in S_{n}^{*}$, then we have a relation between $K_{n}{ }^{*}$ and $S_{n}{ }^{*}$. When $m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}$ decided, $k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}$ are decided. Under the trinomial
theorem, it is straightforward to show that $\left(M_{1}, M_{2}, M_{3}\right)$ has joint moment-generating function

$$
M\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}\right)= \begin{cases}\left(\frac{2}{n}\right)^{3}\left(\frac{e^{\frac{n}{2} t_{1}}-1}{t_{1}}\right)\left(\frac{e^{\frac{n}{2_{2}}}-1}{t_{2}}\right)\left(\frac{e^{\frac{n}{2} t_{3}}-1}{t_{3}}\right)\left[\pi_{1} e^{\frac{t_{1}}{2}}+\pi_{2} e^{\frac{t_{2}}{2}}+\pi_{3} e^{\frac{t_{3}}{2}}\right]^{n} & \text { if }\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}\right)=(0,0,0) \\ 1 & \text { if }\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}\right) \neq(0,0,0)\end{cases}
$$

We note that the joint density function and joint moment-generating function of $\left(M_{1}, M_{2}, M_{3}\right)$ are somewhat nicer than they are for $\left(M_{1}, M_{2}\right)$. Notice also that the density functions of ( $M_{1}, M_{2}$ ) and ( $M_{1}, M_{2}, M_{3}$ ) are ways of representing the same model, in which we have $n$ independent replications of an experiment with three possible outcomes.

When $\left(M_{1}, M_{2}\right) \sim F T\left(n,\left(\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}\right)\right)$, the joint distribution of $M_{1}, M_{2}$, and $M_{3}=n-M_{1}-M_{2}$ is a special case of the fuzzy multinomial distribution discussed in the following. In this case, we often say that $M=\left(M_{1}, M_{2}, M_{3}\right)$ has a three-dimensional fuzzy multinomial distribution and write $\left(M_{1}, M_{2}, M_{3}\right) \sim F M_{3}\left(n, \pi_{1}, \pi_{2}, \pi_{3}\right)$, where $\pi_{3}=1-\pi_{1}-\pi_{2}$.

## Fuzzy multinomial distribution

We have already considered situations that involve two and three random variables. Now, we want to extend it to $k$ random variables.

Let $M=\left(M_{1}, \cdots, M_{k}\right)$ be a $k$-dimensional random vector with range $S_{n}=\left\{\left(m_{1}, \cdots, m_{k}\right): m_{1} \geq 0, \cdots, m_{k} \geq 0 \& m_{1}+\cdots+m_{k}=n\right\}$. (That is, the $M_{i}$ are nonnegative fuzzy-valued random variables whose sum is $n$.) We say that $M=\left(M_{1}, \cdots, M_{k}\right)$ has $k$-dimensional fuzzy multinomial distribution with parameters $n$ and $\pi=\left(\pi_{1}, \cdots, \pi_{k}\right)$ and write $\left(M_{1}, \ldots, M_{k}\right) \sim F M_{k}(n, \pi)$ if $M$ has joint density function

$$
f\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right)=\zeta \begin{cases}\left(\frac{2}{n}\right)^{k} \sum \cdots \sum \frac{n!}{k_{1}!\cdots k_{k}!} \pi_{1}^{k_{1}} \pi_{2}^{k_{2}} \cdots \pi_{k}^{k_{k}} & \text { if }\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{k}\right) \in K_{n}  \tag{3.4}\\ \left(\frac{2}{n}\right)^{k} \sum \cdots \sum \frac{n!}{k_{1}!\cdots k_{k}!} \pi_{1}^{k_{1}} \pi_{2}^{k_{2}} \cdots \pi_{k}^{k_{k}} & \text { if }\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{k}\right) \in K-K_{n}\end{cases}
$$

where $K=\left\{\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{k}\right): k_{i} \geq 0\right.$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, k$ and $\left.\sum_{i=1}^{k} k_{i}=n\right\}, M \in S_{n}$, and

$$
\zeta=\operatorname{dim}(k-1) .
$$

On the above, $n$ is a positive integer and the $\pi_{i}$ are constants such that

$$
\pi_{1}+\pi_{2}+\cdots+\pi_{k}=1
$$

Moreover,

$$
K_{n}=\left\{\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{k}\right): 2 m_{i}-n<k_{i} \leq 2 m_{i}, k_{i} \in N \cup\{0\} \text { fori }=1,2, \ldots, k \& \sum_{i=1}^{k} k_{i}=n\right\} .
$$

Note that $M_{1}+M_{2}+\cdots+M_{k}=n$, and hence, $M_{k}=n-M_{1}-M_{2}-\cdots-M_{k-1}$ and $\pi_{k}=1-\pi_{1}-\pi_{2}-\cdots-\pi_{k-1}$. Note also that

$$
\left(M_{1}, M_{2}\right) \sim F M_{2}\left(n,\left(\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}\right)\right) \Leftrightarrow M_{1} \sim F B\left(n, \pi_{1}\right), \quad M_{2}=n-M_{1}
$$

and $\left(M_{1}, M_{2}, M_{3}\right) \sim F M_{3}\left(n, \pi_{1}, \pi_{2}, \pi_{3}\right) \Leftrightarrow\left(M_{1}, M_{2}\right) \sim F T\left(n,\left(\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}\right)\right)$,

$$
M_{3}=n-M_{1}-M_{2} .
$$

The following theorem summarizes some important facts about the fuzzy multinomial distribution.

## Theorem 3.7

a. The fuzzy multinomial density function in (3.4) is a joint density for all positive integer $n$ and $\pi_{1}, \cdots, \pi_{k}$ such that $\pi_{i} \geq 0$ and $\pi_{1}+\pi_{2}+\cdots+\pi_{k}=1$.
b. Let $M \sim F M_{k}(n, \pi)$, where $M=\left(M_{1}, M_{2}, \ldots, M_{k}\right), \pi=\left(\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}, \ldots, \pi_{k}\right)$, $\sum_{i=1}^{k} M_{i}=n$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \pi_{i}=1$.

Then $E M_{i}=n \cdot \frac{1+2 \pi_{i}}{4}, \operatorname{Var}\left(M_{i}\right)=\frac{n^{2}}{48}+\frac{n}{4} \pi_{i}\left(1-\pi_{i}\right), \operatorname{cov}\left(M_{i}, M_{j}\right)=-\frac{n}{4} \pi_{i} \pi_{j}$, and the joint moment-generation function is

$$
M(t)= \begin{cases}\left(\frac{2}{n}\right)^{k}\left(\frac{e^{\frac{n}{2} t_{1}}}{t_{1}}\right) \cdots\left(\frac{e^{\frac{n}{2} t_{k}}}{t_{k}}\right)\left[\pi_{1} e^{\frac{t_{1}}{2}}+\pi_{2} e^{\frac{t_{2}}{2}}+\cdots+\pi_{k} e^{\frac{t_{k}}{2}}\right]^{n} & \text { if } t=0, \\ 1 & \text { if } t \neq 0\end{cases}
$$

where $t=\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}\right)$.
c. If $M \sim F M_{k}(n, \pi)$, then $M_{i} \sim F B\left(n, \pi_{i}\right)$ and $\left(M_{i}, M_{j}\right) \sim F T\left(n, \pi_{i}, \pi_{j}\right)$.

Proof: The same proof as theorem 3.5 \& 3.6.
The next theorem gives a normal approximation which is often useful.

## Theorem 3.8

Let $X_{i} \sim F B(1, \pi)$ and $\bar{X}_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i}}{n}=\frac{M}{n}$, where $M \sim F B(n, \pi)$ and $M=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}$.
Suppose that $\mu=E\left(X_{i}\right)$ is finite and $\sigma^{2}=\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{i}\right)<\infty$.
Then

$$
\frac{M-n \cdot \frac{1+2 \pi}{4}}{\left[\frac{n^{2}}{48}+\frac{n}{4} \pi(1-\pi)\right]^{1 / 2}} \xrightarrow{d} N(0,1) \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Proof: Since $X_{i} \sim F B(1, \pi)$, we have that

$$
\mu=E\left(X_{i}\right)=\frac{1+2 \pi}{4} \text { and } \sigma^{2}=\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{48}+\frac{1}{4} \pi(1-\pi) .
$$

Moreover, $\bar{X}_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i}}{n}=\frac{M}{n}$, where $M \sim F B(n, \pi)$ and

$$
\mu=E(M)=n \cdot \frac{1+2 \pi}{4}, \sigma^{2}=\operatorname{Var}(M)=\frac{n^{2}}{48}+\frac{n}{4} \pi(1-\pi)
$$

Hence $\mu=E\left(\bar{X}_{n}\right)=E\left(\frac{M}{n}\right)=\frac{1}{n} E(M)=\frac{1}{n} \cdot\left(n \cdot \frac{1+2 \pi}{4}\right)=\frac{1+2 \pi}{4}$ and $\sigma^{2}=\operatorname{Var}\left(\bar{X}_{n}\right)=\operatorname{Var}\left(\frac{M}{n}\right)=\frac{1}{n^{2}} \operatorname{Var}(M)=\frac{1}{n^{2}}\left[\frac{n^{2}}{48}+\frac{n}{4} \pi(1-\pi)\right]=\frac{1}{48}+\frac{1}{4 n} \pi(1-\pi)$.
By the central limit theory, we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { } \begin{aligned}
& \frac{\bar{X}_{n}-\mu}{\left[\frac{1}{48}+\frac{1}{4 n} \pi(1-\pi)\right]^{1 / 2}} \xrightarrow{d} N(0,1) \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty . \\
\text { Hence, } & \frac{M-n \cdot \frac{1+2 \pi}{4}}{\left[\frac{n^{2}}{48}+\frac{n}{4} \pi(1-\pi)\right]^{1 / 2}} \xrightarrow{d} N(0,1) \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty .
\end{aligned} . . .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have introduced some new distributions in used of fuzzy theorem. Now, we can use these distributions to derive a very useful test statistic, called fuzzy chi-square test statistic for goodness-of-fit.

### 3.4 Fuzzy Chi-square Test Statistic for Goodness-of-Fit

In this section, we consider applications of very important chi-square statistic. We begin our study with the same way by considering the basic chi-square statistic, which has only an approximate chi-square distribution. There are many ways to show the $\chi^{2}$ test for goodness-of-fit, and we will get the same result in any ways. So that, we just only show that in one way.

## The $l$-sample fuzzy multinomial model

Let $M_{i}$ be the independent $l$-dimensional random vectors, $M_{i} \sim F M_{k}\left(n_{i}, \pi_{i}\right)$, where the $n_{i}$ are known integers and the $\pi_{i}$ are unknown parameter vectors. We
call this model the $l$-sample fuzzy multinomial model. Our main goal for this model is to test the equality of the $\pi_{i}$. We let $M_{i j}$ be the $j$ th component of $M_{i}, \pi_{i j}$ be the $j$ th component of $\pi_{i}$ and $L_{j}$ denote the language variable for $j=1,2, \ldots, k$. We can see easily in Table 3.1.

Table 3.1. The table of membership $M_{i j}$ in $L_{i j}$

|  | $L_{1}$ | $L_{2}$ | $\cdots$ | $L_{k}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $M_{1}$ | $M_{11}$ | $M_{12}$ | $\cdots$ | $M_{1 k}$ | $M_{1 .}=n_{1}$ |
| $M_{2}$ | $M_{21}$ | $M_{22}$ | $\cdots$ | $M_{2 k}$ | $M_{2 \cdot}=n_{2}$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $M_{l}$ | $M_{l 1}$ | $M_{l 2}$ | $\cdots$ | $M_{l k}$ | $M_{l \cdot}=n_{l}$ |
| Total | $M_{\cdot 1}$ | $M_{\cdot 2}$ | $\cdots$ | $M_{\cdot k}$ | $N=\sum_{i=1}^{l} n_{i}$ |

## Theorem 3.9

$A_{i j}$ is an unbiased estimation of $\pi_{i j}$ for this model, where $A_{i j}=\frac{2 M_{i j}}{n_{i}}-\frac{1}{2}$.
Proof: Since $M_{i} \sim F M_{k}\left(n_{i}, \pi_{i}\right)$, which is implied that $M_{i j} \sim F B\left(n_{i}, \pi_{i j}\right)$.
So that we have $E\left(M_{i j}\right)=n_{i} \cdot \frac{1+2 \pi_{i j}}{4}$ and $\operatorname{Var}\left(M_{i j}\right)=\frac{n_{i}^{2}}{48}+\frac{n_{i}}{4} \pi_{i j}\left(1-\pi_{i j}\right)$.
We can get that $E\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{4 M_{i j}}{n_{i}}-1\right)\right]=E\left(\frac{2 M_{i j}}{n_{i}}-\frac{1}{2}\right)=E\left(A_{i j}\right)=\pi_{i j}$.
Hence $A_{i j}$ is an unbiased estimation of $\pi_{i j}$.
Now, we want to test $H_{0}: \pi_{1}=\pi_{2}=\ldots=\pi_{l}$ against $H_{1}: H_{0}$ is not true.
Under the null hypothesis $H_{0}$ that the $\pi_{i}$ are all equal, and let
$\pi_{1}=\pi_{2}=\ldots=\pi_{l}=\pi_{0}$ where $\pi_{0}=\left(\pi_{01}, \pi_{02}, \ldots, \pi_{0 k}\right)^{\prime}$. Therefore, a sensible estimator for the expected frequency for the $j$ th cell in the $i$ th sample is

$$
\hat{E_{i j}}=n_{i} \cdot \frac{1+2 \hat{\pi_{0 j}}}{4}=n_{i} \cdot \frac{1}{4}\left[1+2\left(\frac{2 M_{\cdot j}}{N}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right]=n_{i} \cdot \frac{M_{\cdot j}}{N},
$$

where $M_{\cdot j}=\sum_{i} M_{i j}$ and $N=\sum_{i} n_{i}$.

Let
$\hat{U}_{k}=\sum_{i=1}^{l}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{\left(M_{i j}-\hat{E_{i j}}\right)^{2}}{\hat{B}_{i j}}+\frac{4\left[\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\left(M_{i j}-\hat{E_{i j}}\right)\left(1-\frac{n_{i}^{2}}{48 \hat{B_{i j}}}\right)\right]^{2}}{n_{i}-4 \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \hat{B_{i j}}\left(1-\frac{n_{i}^{2}}{48 \hat{B_{i j}}}\right)^{2}}\right\}$, where $\hat{B_{i j}}=\frac{1}{2} \hat{E}_{i j}-\frac{n_{i}}{8}+\frac{n_{i}^{2}}{48}$.
We call that $\hat{U}_{k}$ is a fuzzy $\chi^{2}$ and has $(l-1)(k-1)$ degrees of freedom.
Since the distribution of $\hat{U}_{k}$ is approximately $\chi^{2}(l-1)(k-1)$, we shall reject $H_{0}$ if $\hat{U}_{k} \geq \chi_{\alpha}{ }^{2}(l-1)(k-1)$, where $\alpha$ is the desired significance level of the test.

In order to prove that $\hat{U}_{k} \xrightarrow{d} \chi^{2}(l-1)(k-1)$, we must have a theorem and a lemma.

Theorem 3.10 (Arnold, 1990 [1])
Let $X=\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{k}\right)$ have a multivariate normal distribution, $X \sim N_{k}(\mu, \Sigma)$, and $\Sigma>0$ is the variance of $X$, then $(X-\mu)^{\prime} \Sigma^{-1}(X-\mu) \sim \chi^{2}(k)$.
Proof: See Arnold, 1990 [1], p.211-212.

Lemma3.11 (Arnold, 1990 [1])
Let $A$ be a $q \times q$ invertible symmetric matrix, let $b$ and $c$ be $q$-dimensional vectors, and let $d \neq 0$ be a number. Then

$$
c^{\prime}\left(A-d^{-1} b b^{\prime}\right)^{-1} c=c^{\prime} A^{-1} c+\frac{\left(c^{\prime} A^{-1} b\right)^{2}}{d-b^{\prime} A^{-1} b} .
$$

Proof: Claim that $\left(A-d^{-1} b b^{\prime}\right)^{-1}=A^{-1}+\left(d-b^{\prime} A^{-1} b\right)^{-1} A^{-1} b b^{\prime} A^{-1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Since } & {\left[A^{-1}+\left(d-b^{\prime} A^{-1} b\right)^{-1} A^{-1} b b^{\prime} A^{-1}\right]\left(A-d^{-1} b b^{\prime}\right) } \\
& =A^{-1} A+\left(d-b^{\prime} A^{-1} b\right)^{-1} A^{-1} b b^{\prime} A^{-1} A-A^{-1} d^{-1} b b^{\prime}-\left(d-b^{\prime} A^{-1} b\right)^{-1} A^{-1} b b^{\prime} A^{-1} d^{-1} b b^{\prime} \\
& =I+\left[\left(d-b^{\prime} A^{-1} b\right)^{-1}-d^{-1}-d^{-1}\left(d-b^{\prime} A^{-1} b\right)^{-1}\right] A^{-1} b b^{\prime} \\
& =I
\end{aligned}
$$

We get that $\left(A-d^{-1} b b^{\prime}\right)^{-1}=A^{-1}+\left(d-b^{\prime} A^{-1} b\right)^{-1} A^{-1} b b^{\prime} A^{-1}$
Hence $c^{\prime}\left(A-d^{-1} b b^{\prime}\right)^{-1} c=c^{\prime} A^{-1} c+c^{\prime}\left(d-b^{\prime} A^{-1} b\right)^{-1} A^{-1} b b^{\prime} A^{-1} c$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =c^{\prime} A^{-1} c+\frac{c^{\prime} A^{-1} b b^{\prime} A^{-1} c}{d-b^{\prime} A^{-1} b} \\
& =c^{\prime} A^{-1} c+\frac{\left(c^{\prime} A^{-1} b\right)^{2}}{d-b^{\prime} A^{-1} b}
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem3.12 $\hat{U}_{k} \xrightarrow{d} \chi^{2}(l-1)(k-1)$.

Proof: Want to show $\hat{U}_{k} \xrightarrow{d} \chi^{2}(l-1)(k-1)$, we just only to show that

$$
U_{k_{n}} \xrightarrow{d} \chi^{2}(k-1) .
$$

Now, let $M_{n}=\left(M_{n 1}, M_{n 2}, \ldots, M_{n k}\right)^{\prime}$ and $\pi=\left(\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}, \ldots, \pi_{k}\right)^{\prime}$.
And let $E_{n}=\left(E_{n 1}, E_{n 2}, \ldots, E_{n k}\right)^{\prime}$ and $V$ be the $K \times K$ matrix whose $i$ th diagonal element is $V_{i i}=\frac{1}{4} \pi_{i}\left(1-\pi_{i}\right)$ and whose $(i, j)$ th off-diagonal element is $V_{i j}=-\frac{1}{4} \pi_{i} \pi_{j}$.

We have know that $\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{48}+\frac{1}{4} \pi_{i}\left(1-\pi_{i}\right)$, and
$\operatorname{cov}\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right)=-\frac{1}{4} \pi_{i} \pi_{j}$, for $i \neq j$.
First, we show that $n^{-1}\left(M_{n}-E_{n}\right)$ is approximately $N_{k}\left(0, \frac{V}{n}+\frac{1}{48} I_{k}\right)$.
Since $M_{n} \sim F M_{k}(n, \pi)$, where $\pi=\left(\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}, \ldots, \pi_{k}\right)^{\prime}$ and $M_{n}=n \overline{X_{n}}$.
We have known that $E\left(\bar{X}_{n}\right)=\frac{1+2 \pi}{4}$ and $\operatorname{Var}\left(\bar{X}_{n}\right)=\frac{V}{n}+\frac{1}{48} I_{k}$.
Therefore $E_{n}=E M_{n}=n \cdot \frac{1+2 \pi}{4}$ and $\operatorname{Var}\left(M_{n}\right)=n \cdot V+\frac{n^{2}}{48} I_{k}$.
By the multinomial central limit theorem, we get that

$$
\bar{X}_{n}-\frac{1+2 \pi}{4} \text { is approximately } N_{k}\left(0, \frac{V}{n}+\frac{1}{48} I_{k}\right) .
$$

So that $\frac{n \bar{X}_{n}-n \frac{1+2 \pi}{4}}{n}$ is approximately $N_{k}\left(0, \frac{V}{n}+\frac{1}{48} I_{k}\right)$.
Hence $n^{-1}\left(M_{n}-E_{n}\right)$ is approximately $N_{k}\left(0, \frac{V}{n}+\frac{1}{48} I_{k}\right)$.
Since $V$ is not invertible, let $M_{n}{ }^{*}$ and $E_{n}{ }^{*}$ be the ( $k-1$ )-dimensional vectors and let $V^{*}$ be the $(k-1) \times(k-1)$-dimensional matrix.
Then, we have that $n^{-1}\left(M_{n}{ }^{*}-E_{n}^{*}\right)$ is approximately $N_{k-1}\left(0, \frac{V^{*}}{n}+\frac{1}{48} I_{k-1}\right)$.
By theorem 3.10, we have that

$$
T_{n}=\left[n^{-1}\left(M_{n}{ }^{*}-E_{n}^{*}\right)\right]^{\prime}\left(\frac{V^{*}}{n}+\frac{1}{48} I_{k-1}\right)^{-1}\left[n^{-1}\left(M_{n}{ }^{*}-E_{n}^{*}\right)\right] \sim \chi^{2}(k-1),
$$

which imply that $T_{n}=\left(M_{n}{ }^{*}-E_{n}{ }^{*}\right)^{\prime}\left(n V^{*}+\frac{n^{2}}{48} I_{k-1}\right)^{-1}\left(M_{n}{ }^{*}-E_{n}{ }^{*}\right) \sim \chi^{2}(k-1)$,
where $n V^{*}+\frac{n^{2}}{48} I_{k-1}=\frac{1}{4} D_{k-1}+\frac{n^{2}}{48} I_{k-1}-\frac{1}{4 n} F_{n}{ }^{*} F_{n}{ }^{\prime}$.
Let $D_{k-1}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}n \pi_{1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & n \pi_{2} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & n \pi_{k-1}\end{array}\right]$ and $F_{n}=\left(n \pi_{1}, n \pi_{2}, \cdots, n \pi_{k}\right)^{\prime}$.
Let $C_{n}=M_{n}{ }^{*}-E_{n}{ }^{*}, \quad A=\frac{1}{4} D_{k-1}+\frac{n^{2}}{48} I_{k-1}, \quad b=F_{n}{ }^{*} \& d=4 n$.
By lemma 3.11,

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{n} & =\left(M_{n}{ }^{*}-E_{n}{ }^{*}\right)^{\prime}\left(n V^{*}+\frac{n^{2}}{48} I_{k-1}\right)^{-1}\left(M_{n}{ }^{*}-E_{n}^{*}\right) \\
& =\left(M_{n}{ }^{*}-E_{n}{ }^{*}\right)^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{4} D_{k-1}+\frac{n^{2}}{48} I_{k-1}\right)^{-1}\left(M_{n}{ }^{*}-E_{n}{ }^{*}\right)+\frac{\left[\left(M_{n}{ }^{*}-E_{n}{ }^{*}\right)^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{4} D_{k-1}+\frac{n^{2}}{48} I_{k-1}\right)^{-1} F_{n}{ }^{*}\right]^{2}}{4 n-F_{n}{ }^{*}\left(\frac{1}{4} D_{k-1}+\frac{n^{2}}{48} I_{k-1}\right)^{-1} F_{n}^{*}} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{\left(M_{n i}-E_{n i}\right)^{2}}{B_{n i}}+\frac{\left[4 \sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\left(M_{n i}-E_{n i}\right)\left(1-\frac{n^{2}}{48 B_{n i}}\right)\right]^{2}}{4 n-16 \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} B_{n i}\left(1-\frac{n^{2}}{48 B_{n i}}\right)^{2}} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{\left(M_{n i}-E_{n i}\right)^{2}}{B_{n i}}+\frac{4\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\left(M_{n i}-E_{n i}\right)\left(1-\frac{n^{2}}{48 B_{n i}}\right)\right]^{2}}{n-4 \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} B_{n i}\left(1-\frac{n^{2}}{48 B_{n i}}\right)^{2}}, \text { where } B_{n i}=\frac{1}{2} E_{n i}-\frac{n}{8}+\frac{n^{2}}{48} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $U_{k_{n}}=T_{n} \xrightarrow{d} \chi^{2}(k-1)$.
To compute the degrees of freedom in theorem, note that there are $l-1$ degrees of freedom for each of the $k$ populations, so that there are $k(l-1)$ degrees of freedom for the whole model. Under the null hypothesis, we are estimating $l-1$ independent parameters, the components of $\pi_{0}$. (Note that $\sum \pi_{0 j}=1$.) Therefore, we would expect the degrees of freedom for this hypothesis to be $k(l-1)-(l-1)=(k-1)(l-1)$.

