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Abstract<br>Critical Success Factors for 4G LTE Launching in Taiwan: A Study on Customers' Expectations<br>By Teerasit Songtis

LTE- Long Term Evolution, marketed as 4G LTE, is a new generation of mobile-phone network which enable the mobile operator to provide significantly faster mobile broadband data rates. With the new redesign and simplification of the network architecture, 4G LTE can provide five time faster data rates than the current 3 G networks. It will help the mobile operators to cope with ever growing mobile data traffic demands in a cost-effective manner.

In Taiwan, the licenses for 4G LTE will be issued by the end of 2013, opening another business opportunities for the mobile industry. It's expected that all the big mobile operators will acquire for the licenses. Telecom equipment vendor such as Nokia Siemens Networks and Ericsson are preparing for 4G LTE network trial and deployment as well.

In light of the upcoming 4G LTE deployment in Taiwan, this thesis project was conduct to gain a better understanding of the current mobile users in Taiwan. The goal is to identify and understand customers' attitudes, preferences, and satisfactions towards the current mobile carriers as well as customers' expectations of the next generation carrier - 4G LTE. The data input of this thesis are survey data collected by on-line questionnaire from the students at National ChengChi University, and people living in Taiwan. The sample size was 872.

The collected data was analyzed by SPSS, a computerized statistical program. The analysis report includes description of the sample, customers' attitudes, and preferences of the current mobile carriers in general, satisfactions towards their current using carriers, expectations of 4G LTE carriers as well as their preferable carriers. The report also discusses the carrier switching pattern, and the factors influencing the switching behavior.

After analyzing the findings of the study, the thesis report proposes that the mobile operators should deploy 4G LTE network coverage as soon as possible, concentrate on increasing mobile data service speed, maintain voice call service quality, offer a wide variety of handsets at some attractive prices and setting tariff rate competitively.
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## 1. Executive Summary

LTE - Long Term Evolution, marketed as 4G LTE, is a new generation of mobile-phone network which will enable the mobile operator to provide significantly faster data rates for mobile broadband. With the new redesign and simplification of the network architecture, 4G LTE technology will be able to provide download peak rates of $300 \mathrm{Mbit} / \mathrm{s}$, uplink peak rates of $75 \mathrm{Mbit} / \mathrm{s}$, which is five time faster than the current 3 G networks. It will allow the mobile operators to cope with ever growing mobile data traffic demands and provide a faster mobile broadband service in a cost-efficient manner. [4]

WiMAX is another 4G technology that allows the wireless operators to provide a superfast mobile broadband service. In Taiwan, the government has been supporting the WiMAX 4G technology aiming to boost the local Taiwanese wireless device producer industry. The WiMAX licenses have been issued to the six operators in 2007. Apparently, the launch of WiMAX 4G was not successful. The operators could attract only about hundred thousand subscribers after 5 years of operation. [5]

4G LTE will be the future mobile broadband technology globally as more mobile carriers worldwide have committed to it. The licenses for 4G LTE in Taiwan will be issued by the end of 2013. It's expected that all the big operators will acquire for the licenses. To ensure successfully deployment of 4G LTE, it's important for the mobile operators to understand the customers' wishes and needs.

This thesis project is conducted to gain a better understanding of the current mobile users in Taiwan. The goal is to identify and understand customers' attitudes, preferences, and satisfactions towards the current mobile carriers. Besides, it also studies customers' expectations of the next generation mobile carriers - 4G LTE. The data input of this thesis project was a survey data collected from National ChengChi University students, and people living in Taiwan by on-line questionnaire. The sample size was 872 .

Key findings from the analysis are listed below.

- $61 \%$ of the respondents spend less than 599 TWD a month on their total mobile phone bills. $58 \%$ spend less than 199 TWD a month for mobile internet while about $10 \%$ spend more than 800 TWD a month for mobile internet service.
- Voice call is the most important service among all the services provided in mobile network, followed by short message service (SMS), and mobile internet service. Multimedia message service (MMS) and video call are not important mobile services.
- ChungHua Telecom is the most popular carrier in Taiwan. Of all the respondents, $57 \%$ use ChungHua Telecom, 28\% use Taiwan Mobile, and 13\% use FarEasTone. Subscribers tend to stay with ChungHua Telecom than other carriers.
- The most common reason for choosing the mobile carrier is cheaper rate to call their family and close friends. The second most common reason is the network quality reputation.
- The respondents rated network coverage, monthly rate plan, voice call quality, and internet speed as the most important features. However, they are just willing to pay more for the better coverage and the internet speed.
- The most satisfying current mobile carrier is ChungHua Telecom, followed by Taiwan Mobile and FarEasTone. ChungHua Telecom was rated as the best in network coverage and voice call quality satisfactions while it was rated as the lowest satisfactions in customer loyalty program, choice of handsets, and discounted price handsets. FarEasTone was rated as the best discounted price handsets.
- With regard to expectations of 4G LTE; the respondents rated network coverage, rate plan, internet service speed, and voice call quality as the top most important features. $50 \%$ of the respondents plan to subscribe for 4G LTE after one year. Regarding the feeling to subscribe for 4 G LTE; $42 \%$ stated that they will wait and listen to other comments, $29 \%$ stated they will wait for promotion, $21 \%$ stated they will wait for
favorite mobile phone, and $4 \%$ tend to be one of the first to use 4G LTE. ChungHua Telecom is the most preferable carrier for 4G LTE.
- Regarding the price acceptance testing of 4G LTE service, 888 TWD a month package is the most preferable by heavy mobile internet user group, who spend more than 6 hours a week using internet on their mobile devices. Most of them currently pay more than 800 TWD a month on total bill and more than 400 TWD a month for internet service. Apparently, this heavy mobile internet user group is willing to pay more for better service, especially for faster data service.
- Concerning the preferable 4G LTE carrier, $95 \%$ of current ChungHua Telecom subscribers prefer to subscribe for the same carriers. Only $65 \%$ of current Taiwan Mobile and $52 \%$ of current FarEasTone subscribers prefer to subscribe for the same carriers in 4G LTE. $32 \%$ of current Taiwan Mobile subscribers and $42 \%$ of FarEasTone subscribers plan to switch to ChungHua Telecom when they subscribe for 4G LTE.
- Regarding mobile carrier switching, top reasons for the mobile users discontinuing subscriptions and switching to other carriers are poor network coverage, voice call quality, slow internet speed, unsatisfying customer service and support. The most common motivations for the mobile users to stay with the carriers are cheaper rate to call family and close friends, and network quality reputation.

The analysis result reveals that network coverage, monthly rate plan, and voice call quality are very important to the mobile users in 4G LTE. While 4G LTE allow the operators to provide superfast mobile broadband, the mobile operators should concentrate on not only increasing mobile data service speed but also expanding the network coverage as soon as possible, maintain voice call service quality, offer a wide variety of handsets at some attractive prices, and setting tariff rate competitively.

## 2. Introduction

Recently, the ways people use mobiles phone have changed tremendously. People used to use mobile phones just to make phone calls to their friends. Now, people spend more time using their phones for surfing the webs, chatting with friends, taking photos, and sharing with friends, connecting with friends through social networks, e.g. Facebook, watching streaming video contents, etc. Smartphones and social networks have been key factors influence these changes. Mobile broadband or data service on mobile devices has become more important than the traditional voice calls. Data traffic in mobile broadband network has increased dramatically. In Taiwan, according to the latest statistics data from the National Communications Commission, the number of mobile internet subscribers has increased to 20.7 million, accounted for $71.3 \%$ of mobile phone subscribers in 2011.

To cope with this drastic demand increase in data service of mobile network, LTE - Long Term Evolution network is designed and being introduced. It will help operators to provide high speed data service for mobile phone users in a cost efficiency way. In Taiwan, National Communication Commission has been working on 4G LTE licenses, and planning to have an auction for the licenses by the end of 2013. Taiwan mobile operators, device producers as well as telecom equipment vendors are currently working on preparation and testing for 4G LTE networks.

In light of the upcoming 4G LTE deployment in Taiwan, this thesis project was conducted to gain a better understanding of mobile phone users in Taiwan. The main goal was to identify and understand customers' attitudes, preferences and satisfactions towards current mobile carriers, and their expectations of 4G LTE services. The survey data were collected by on-line questionnaire from National ChengChi University students, and people living in Taiwan.

The report is organized as follow. First, a brief overview of revolution of mobile telecommunication and exploration of the industry in Taiwan are provided. Then, the research methodology is discussed and described. Data collection is discussed, and the sample is described, and analyses are provided. The report concludes with recommendations for mobile operators in Taiwan to ensure successful deployment of their 4G LTE networks.

## 3. Revolution of Mobile Telecommunication

### 3.1. 2G - Digital Cellular Networks

In 1990s, the second generation mobile phone system (GSM) was introduced. It provided mobility and allowed people to use mobile phone mainly for voice conversation. The second generation also introduced a new variant of communication called SMS or text messaging, which became popular amongst the young. 2 G also introduced the capability to access media content on mobile phones. It became widespread and people began to utilize mobile phone in their daily lives. Demand for data service or internet access on mobile devices was growing. [1]

## 3.2. $3 G$ - Mobile Broadband Data

In 2000s, 3G introduced the use of package switching for data transmission rather than circuit switching, which allowed higher speed for internet access on mobile phones. 3G was introduced to the markets in order to provide a base for even more demanding multimedia. It provided additional capacity for voice calls as the 2 G systems started to saturate. With its multiple generations and releases, the mobile telecom operators and vendors started to realize the challenges in the field as new services typically require support from both networks and terminals. On the other hand, the terminals' lifecycle is shorter because users consider them to be everyday consumer objects, and/more attractive models constantly appear on the market. There is a positive balance between users, operators and equipment vendors as enhanced services typically require updates to terminals and networks.

The deployment of the packet data service as an add-on for GSM, and then its adaptation from the first phase of UMTS, were the important triggers for the use of Internet services via mobile terminals. The rapidly evolving Internet environment itself had a great impact on mobile communications, resulting in the development of multi-usage equipment for services, combining voice connections, messaging, and multimedia.

With the deployment of the third-generation networks, data rates increased in order to provide a smoother user experience. The new business environment started to strengthen. In contrast
to the initial model of only few voice service providers in controlled markets, there were now increasing numbers of operators, equipment vendors, service providers, measurement equipment producers, and many other entities contributing to mobile communications. The increasing speed of standardization made development seem unlimited.

Along with the increased data rates associated with the Internet, fixed and mobile communications have also evolved steadily. Open standards, competing operators and multivendor equipment offerings have ensured that the markets developed favorably from the end user's point of view.

Evolution of 2 G and 3 G is gradually becoming saturated, as happened with the firstgeneration networks. It is easier to create a new, more efficient platform to provide the required data rate and capacity than to develop existing ones. Statistics from recent years indicate that there has been a huge growth in multimedia data transfer. The exponential growth in the use of data sets higher performance targets for the networks than ever before. [1]

### 3.3. 4G LTE - Long Term Evolution

LTE - Long Term Evolution, marketed as 4G LTE, is a new standard for wireless communication of high-speed data for mobile phones and data terminal. As its name indicates, LTE has been planned to meet the ever growing demands of mobile communication network customers in the forthcoming years. It has been developed based on the GSM/EDGE and UMTS/HSPA network technology to increase the capacity and speed of mobile phone internet service using a different radio interface together with core network improvements. It allows the service providers to utilize their network resources more efficiently.

The goal of LTE was to increase the capacity and speed of wireless data network using new DSP (digital signal processing) techniques and modulations. A further goal was the redesign and simplification of the network architecture to an IP-based system with significantly reduced transfer latency compared to the 3G architecture. However, the LTE wireless interface is incompatible with 2G and 3G networks, so that it must be operated on a separate wireless spectrum. [4]

The LTE specification provides downlink peak rates of $300 \mathrm{Mbit} / \mathrm{s}$, uplink peak rates of 75 $\mathrm{Mbit} / \mathrm{s}$, and QoS provision permitting a transfer latency of less than 5 ms in the radio access network. With 4G LTE, the end-users will be able to access superfast mobile internet at speed FIVE times faster than the current 3G speeds today. The customers will be able to access the web on the go without waiting, connect with their friends on social network quicker and easier, download high-definition movies in minutes, watch live TV on the move without buffering, play live multiplayer games on the go, download large email attachments quicker than ever, make crystal clear audio quality phone through Voice over LTE (Available in the near future), make high quality video calls on the move, and enjoy superfast service on computers, or other peripheral by connecting through the 4G LTE handset.


## 4. Exploration of the Current Mobile Telecommunication Industry in Taiwan

Taiwan has one of the most advanced telecom networks in Asia. It was one of the first countries deploying 3G mobile network services and 4G WiMax. This section discusses the current mobile-phone network industry in Taiwan, including key players in the industry, evolution of the mobile telecommunication industry, revenue trend analysis, and challenges confronting the mobile operators.

### 4.1. Key Players in the Mobile Telecommunication Industry in Taiwan

The mobile network industry is leading by three big mobile operators. CHT is the nation's largest 3G network operator, with market share of 35 percent. Taiwan Mobile ranked second with 29 percent, closely followed by FarEasTone Telecommunication (FET) with 28 percent. Vibo Telecom came in last at 8 percent. [3]


Figure 1: Current Mobile Carrier Market Share in 2011

### 4.2. Evolution of the Mobile Telecommunication Industry in Taiwan

According to the data from National Communication Commission, the first 3G network was launched in Taiwan in July 2003. At that time the overall mobile-phone services penetration rates have already been over $100 \%$, dominated by 25.1 million of 2 G subscribers. There was no much room for growing in the mobile market in Taiwan as it was becoming very saturated. Nevertheless, 3G subscribers are still growing, which is contributed by users who switch from

2G mobile networks to 3G mobile networks. In 2011, mobile penetration rates have reached $120 \%$, accounted for 28 million total subscribers, with 20.9 million 3G subscribers. [2]

Evolution of Mobile Market


Note: The first 3G operator launched its service in July 2003-four other operators began in 2005 (between July and Dec.) Source: National Communications Commission
Figure 2: Evolution of Mobile Market in Taiwan

Expansion of Mobile Internet Subscribers


Figure 3: Expansion of Mobile Internet Subscribers in Taiwan

Mobile internet subscribers have expanded since 3G was introduced in 2003, with annual growth rate about 3-5\% until 2008. The growth rate became slower after 2009 as the market became almost mature. In 2011, about $71.3 \%$ of total mobile-phone users or 20.7 million users have already subscribed for mobile internet services. [2]

### 4.3. Revenue Trend Analysis

As for revenue point of view, the service revenue from mobile data service has been growing continuously. In 2011, the mobile data service revenue is $13.87 \%$ of total mobile revenue. It's inevitable that mobile data service is becoming very important for mobile operators. While the revenue from the mobile data service is growing, average revenue per user - ARPU of the mobile services have been declining. In 2011, APRU of 3G subscribers declined to 786 NTD per month, while 2 G subscribers declined to 531 NTD per month.

Mobile Data Service Revenue - as proportion of Total Mobile Revenue


Note: Mobile data service revenue includes revenue of WAP, GPRS, SMS and other data communication services
Source: National Communications Commission
Figure 4: Mobile Data Service Revenue as Proportion of Total Mobile Revenue

Average Revenue Per User (ARPU) of Mobile Services


Notes:

1. ARPU of mobile phone refers to total amount of mobile phone revenue per month
divided by the number of mobile phone subscribers
2. Figures cover only the data of mobile operators who provide both 2G and 3G services

Source: National Communications Commission
Figure 5: Average Revenue per User (ARPU) of Mobile Services

### 4.4. Challenges in the Mobile Telecommunication Industry

The dramatically increase in the mobile data service demands has brought numerous challenges to the mobile operators. First, the market is highly competitive. In order to attract new subscribers, the operators have been offering cheaper rate plan packages such as a flat rate for unlimited data usages. There has been also a drastic change in the mobile users' behavior. People spend more time using the mobile internet services than before. An increase in the mobile internet traffic has triggered congestions in the networks. The internet services have become more latency and slower. The mobile operators have to allocate abundant investment on expanding the network capacity to bridge the gap, adding higher costs in network operations. ARPU - Average Revenue per User has been retracting while investment and operation cost has been increasing.

To cope with this drastic change, LTE - Long Term Evolution network is designed meet the ever growing demands of the mobile communication network customers. It will help operator to offer higher speed data services for mobile phone users in a cost efficiency way.


Figure 6: Operator Challenges - The Widening Gap between Traffic and Revenue [7]

In Taiwan, National Communication Commission has been working on 4G LTE license, and planning to have an auction by the end of 2013. Taiwan mobile operators as well as telecom vendors are currently working on preparation and testing for 4G LTE networks.

## 5. Statement of the Problem

The main goal of this thesis project is to gain a better understanding of mobile users in Taiwan, to identify the customers' preferences, their satisfactions toward the current carriers, and their willingness to pay more for better services. The project also analyzes the customers' expectation of their future carriers - 4G LTE, their purchase intension, and their preferable carriers. Apart from that, the project also analyzes mobile users' carrier switching patterns, and attempts to identify important factors that make the mobile users decide to stay with their current carriers or switch to other carriers. In addition, the project also discusses users' behavior, attitudes and expectations of 4G LTE by comparing between heavy mobile internet user group and light mobile internet user group. It is crucial for mobile operators to monitor the preference and behavior of their customers especially because the market is becoming saturated so that they can effectively respond and identify new opportunities in the marketplace, 4G LTE.

## 6. Methodology

The approach of the thesis project included two phases. In the first phase, the author studied the current mobile phone carrier industry in Taiwan, and conducted a focus group by discussing with few Taiwanese friends about their attitudes towards their current carriers, as well as their knowledge on 4G LTE. After that, the questionnaire survey was developed based on the collected data.

In order to study satisfactions of mobile users and other related issues, 11 important features of mobile carriers were defined. The mobile carriers features used in this thesis project are listed below:

- Network coverage
- Voice call quality
- Data/Internet service quality and speed
- Video call quality
- Reliability of SMS \& MMS
- Helpful customer service and support
- Value-added services (e.g. Voicemail service, Selective ring back tone service, etc.)
- Customer loyalty program (e.g. 1hr free parking in department store, Birthday gift, Personal assistant hotline for VIP customers, etc.)
- Choices of available handsets
- Discounted price handsets with contract
- Rate plan / Monthly subscription fee

To perform service price testing of 4G LTE, the survey participants were randomly separated to two groups to answer different survey questionnaire form. The questions in the two forms are identical. Only the price shown in the product descriptions are different. Details can be found in the following section.

The survey questionnaire was comprised of three parts. Full questionnaire can be found in Appendix B.

### 6.1. Questionnaire

- Part 1 (Form A) The questions in this part of the questionnaire asked about the carrier that participants are currently using, and their attitudes towards the current carriers.
- Currently using mobile network carrier
- Yeas of using this carrier
- Reasons for selecting this carrier
- Spending on current mobile phone bill on each services, including voice call, video call, SMS, and MMS
- Importance of each basic services, including voice call, video call and SMS
- Importance of the 11 defined mobile carrier features
- Satisfaction with the current carrier on the 11 defined mobile carrier features
- Willingness to pay more on the 11 defined mobile carrier features
- Hours of using mobile internet in typical week
- Activities on internet-enable mobile phone
- Part 2 (Form B and Form C) In this part, the participants were randomly separated into two cells Cell I and Cell II. They are asked to answer to the two separated questionnaire forms - Form B and Form C. The questions in both forms were identical. At the beginning of both forms, the participants were given the product descriptions of 4G LTE network and its main features as well as the monthly subscription plan. The difference of these two forms was the monthly subscription plan shown on the product descriptions. This was done for price acceptance testing purpose. The following are the product descriptions of 4G LTE shown in the questionnaire forms.

4G LTE is the next generation of telecom technology, which can deliver significantly faster, more consistent mobile broadband speeds. It can offer superfast mobile internet at speeds typically FIVE times faster than $3 G$ speeds today.

- With superfast 4G LTE mobile, customers will be able to:
- Access the web on the go without waiting
- Connect with your friends on social network quicker and easier
- Download high-definition movies in minutes
- Watch live TV on the move without buffering
- Play live multiplayer games on the go
- Download large email attachments quicker than ever
- Make crystal clear audio quality phone through Voice over LTE (Available in the near future)
- Make high quality video calls on the move
- Enjoy superfast service on your computer, or your other peripheral by connecting through the 4G LTE handset.


## Form B (For Cell I participants)

Monthly Fee: 888 NT (Low price package)
Data / Internet service: Free for 800 MByte; If you use over 800 Mbyte, we will charge 0.00061 NT/Byte; Upper limited charge is 2,000 NT

Voice Call: Free 100 minutes; If you call over 100 minutes, we will charge 3.5 NT/minutes

Text message: Free 100 SMS

## Form C (For Cell II participants)

Monthly Fee: 1,888NT (High price package)
Data / Internet service: Free for 2,000 MByte ; If you use over 2,000 MByte, we will charge 0.00041 NT/Byte; Upper limited charge is 2,200 NT)

Voice Call : Unlimited for intra-network; Free 100 minutes for inter-network; if you call over 100 minutes, we will charge 3.5 NT / minutes for inter-network calls.

Text message: Free 500 SMS

After providing the product descriptions of 4G LTE, the participants were asked to answer the questions about their purchase intension and expectations of their future mobile carriers.

- Intension to subscribe 4G LTE
- Most favorite and un-favorite feature of 4G LTE
- Value for money of 4G LTE
- Reason for subscribing to 4G LTE
- Intension to recommend the 4G LTE service to others
- Preferable carrier or top of mind carrier of 4G LTE
- Preferable substitute if 4G LTE is not available
- When to subscribe
- Importance of the 11 defined mobile carrier features
- Part 3 (Form D) In this part, the participants were asked to provide demographic information such as nationality, hometown, gender, age, profession, income range, current mobile phone, and other gadgets.


## 7. Survey Data Analysis

This section includes a discussion of the data collection, the sample, data analysis and results.

### 7.1. Data Collection

Based on the survey questionnaire discussed in the previous section, the questionnaire forms were created on-line by using google document in both Chinese and English languages. The questionnaires were distributed via e-mail to National ChengChi University students by using the university's mailing list system, IMBA students and alumni, Nokia Siemens Network colleagues, and the author's Facebook friends who live in Taiwan.

Of all the survey sent out, 872 were completed online on google document website. The survey data was downloaded and entered into SPSS 14.0, a computerized statistical analysis program, for further analysis.

### 7.2. Description of the Sample

The survey data were collected from NCCU students, IMBA students and alumni, Nokia Siemens Network colleagues, and the author's friends on Facebook. The chapter discusses demographic information on survey respondents.

## Demographic Information of Survey Respondents

The following charts illustrate demographic information of the survey respondents. Split by gender, as indicated in Figure 7, the sample was $64 \%$ female and $36 \%$ male. Most of the respondents are Taiwanese; age group is between 19 - 22 years old; live in Taipei City and Taipei County area. Most of them are college students therefore their income range is mostly less than 15,000 TWD a month.


Figure 7: Demographic Information of the Survey Respondents


Figure 8: Profession Distributions of the Survey Respondents


Figure 9: Income Ranges of the Survey Respondents

## Current Mobile Handsets

The following charts illustrate current mobile handset type of the survey respondents. As can be seen from Figure 10, $74 \%$ of total respondents use smartphone. The rest $26 \%$ use other phone types or feature phones. The most popular brand among the respondents is Sony, following by HTC, Samsung, iPhone and Nokia. Just few people use Black Berry.


Figure 10: Distribution of the Current Mobile Handsets Types


Figure 11: Distribution of the Current Mobile Handsets Brands

### 7.3. Mobile Carriers in General

This part of the analysis discusses attitudes and behavior of mobile users in general, not specific to any mobile carrier. It includes analysis on spending on mobile phone bills, importance of the 11 defined mobile carrier features, willingness to pay more for better services of the defined mobile carrier features.

## Spending on Mobile Phone Bills

Figure 12 illustrates the distribution of total spending on mobile phone bills of the survey respondents. As can be seen from the chart, $61 \%$ of the survey respondents spend less than 599 TWD a month while $39 \%$ spend more than 600 TWD a month. It means more than half of the respondents spend less than 599 TWD a month. Comparing to ARPU of average revenue per user from National Communication Commission, the ARPU from 3G subscribers are 786 TWD in 2011. This probably indicates that people tend to spend less on the total mobile phone bill in 2012, or due to the fact that the survey samples in this project are mostly students, who maybe spend less than average.


Figure 12: Total Spending on Monthly Mobile Phone Bills

Figure 13 shows the distribution of spending on each basic service. Most people spend on voice call less than 399 TWD a month.

For spending on internet service, there are different groups of mobile internet subscribers. Majority of respondents, about $58 \%$ spend less than 199TWD a month, which is considered as lite internet users. Another group, about $33 \%$ spends between 200 and 799 TWD a month. The rest spends more than 800 TWD a month on internet services, which can be considered as heavy internet users.

With regard to SMS spending, most people spend less than 199 TWD a month for it, which indicates that SMS might be cheaper or it's already part of their voice monthly packages.


Figure 13: Spending on Mobile Phone Bills

## Importance of Basic Services

Figure 14 illustrates important levels of mobile network basic services, including voice calls, video calls, short message service (SMS), multimedia message service (MMS) and internet service. According to the survey, voice call is rated for the most important service among all the basic services. It's also in line with previous analysis that mobile subscribers tend to spend more on the voice call service than other services. The second important basic service is SMS, and following by internet services on the mobile device. MMS and video calls seem to be unimportant service to the mobile users in general.


Figure 14: Importance of Basic Services

## Importance of Mobile Carrier Features and Willingness to Pay More

Importance of the 11 defined mobile carrier features are illustrated in Figure 15. From the survey, people rates network coverage as the most important mobile carrier feature, following by monthly rate plan, voice call quality, and mobile internet quality and speed. Discounted price handsets, choices of handsets, reliability of SMS and MMS, and customer service support are also quite important features of the mobile carriers. Customer loyalty program, quality of video calls, and value-added services seem to be unimportant features of the mobile phone carriers.


Figure 15: Importance of Mobile Carrier Features


Figure 16: Willingness to Pay More for Better Mobile Carrier Features

Figure 16 illustrates willingness to pay more from the collected survey data. It can be seen that mobile users might consider paying more for better network coverage and internet service quality and speed. For the other carrier features, it seems that people don't want to pay more, especially for customer loyalty program, video call quality, and value-added services.

To sum up, it should be highlighted in this analysis that network coverage, suitable rate plans, voice call quality and internet speed are very important features of the mobile carriers. Customers are willing to pay more for better services, especially for better coverage and faster mobile internet speed.

### 7.4. Current Using Mobile Carriers

This part of the data analysis discusses more details about attitudes and behaviors of customers comparing across three big mobile carriers in Taiwan. It includes distribution of mobile users in each carrier, years of subscription, reasons for choosing the carriers, spending on the current subscriptions, and satisfactions towards the current carriers.

## Current Mobile Carriers Distribution

Distribution of mobile users in each carrier from the survey data are illustrated in Figure 16. As indicated in the chart, the most common mobile carrier is ChungHua Telecom, accounted for $57 \%$. Taiwan Mobile is $28 \%$ and FET is $13 \%$. Very few respondents subscribed for other carriers.

The data indicates that the mobile industry in Taiwan is dominated by big three operators including ChungHua Telecom, Taiwan Mobile and FET. The amount of people using ChungHua Telecom is even more than the market share data of National Communication Commission. It seems that ChungHua Telecom is very popular among the samples; probably it's more popular to the NCCU students than other carriers.


Figure 17: Distribution of Current Mobile Carriers of Respondents

## Years of Subscription

Figure 18 illustrates the distributions of years of subscription of survey respondents. In the chart, Y-axis represents percentage of respondents within the carriers. X-axis represents years of subscription.


Figure 18: Year of Subscription to Current Mobile Carrier

It's noticeable that distributions of subscribers who have been using the carrier less than one year (0 year) are much more in Taiwan Mobile (17\%), FET (22\%) and other networks (23\%) than ChungHua Telecom ( $8.9 \%$ ). There are two ways to interpret this finding. Firstly, customers tend to stay with ChungHua Telecom longer than other carriers. The other way is that Taiwan Mobile and FET have just gained more new subscribers due to the fact that the recent marketing strategy of Taiwan Mobile and FET might be more attractive than ChungHua Telecom.

However, it seems that the subscribers tend to use ChungHua Telecom longer than the other carriers as can be seen from the chart that the blue line (CHT) is always above all other lines from 4 years or more.


Figure 19: Average Years of Subscription

Figure 19 shows the average years of subscription for each carrier. It's confirmed that the average years of subscription for ChungHua Telecom is longer than Taiwan Mobile, FET and other carriers.

## Motivations for Choosing the Carriers

Figure 20 illustrates percent distributions of motivations for choosing the carriers. It's obvious that the most common reason for choosing the carrier is lower cost on making calls to their boyfriend, girlfriend, husband or wife. It indicates that lower rate for intra-network call is one of the most important factors for people on subscribing to the mobile carriers.

For Taiwan Mobile, the second most common reason is that the carrier offered the most suitable rate plan, which also means that customers tend to be quite concerned on usage cost and rate plans of Taiwan Mobile is probably quite appearing to the customers. For FET, the second most common reason for choosing FET as a carrier is cheaper handset prices with packages, which indicates FET probably offer lower cost handsets and more appearing than other carriers.

In contrast to Taiwan Mobile and FET, the second most common reason for choosing ChungHua Telecom as a carrier is recommended by friend for best network quality, which indicates that ChungHua Telecom has quite well reputation on the network quality.


Figure 20: Motivation for Choosing the Current Carriers

It's interesting to highlight here that while network coverage is rated as the most important feature, mobile phone users still tend to choose their carriers based on the monthly rate plans and mobile phone prices rather than network quality.

## Spending on Mobile Phone Bills

Figure 21 illustrates the distribution of total spending on mobile phone bill of each mobile carrier subscribers. Among the survey respondents, most people spend around 200 to 799 TWD a month. It's noticeable that there are more percent of ChungHua Telecom's and FET's subscribers spending less on total monthly phone bill. It seems subscribers in Taiwan Mobile network spend more on total monthly phone bill than other networks.

| $\left.\begin{array}{r} 40.0 \\ 30.0 \\ 20.0 \\ 10.0 \\ 0.0 \end{array}\right]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\because \mathrm{CHT}$ | 18.0 | 27.7 | 16.8 | 14.0 | 4.0 | 6.3 | 5.5 | 3.8 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 2.6 |
| - TWM | 8.7 | 21.6 | 23.2 | 12.0 | 5.0 | 10.8 | 8.7 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 4.6 |
| $\pm$ FET | 15.9 | 31.0 | 19.5 | 15.9 | 4.4 | 5.3 | 4.4 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 |
| $\cdots$ Other | 30.8 | 34.6 | 15.4 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 |

Figure 21: Spending on Mobile Phone Bills in Each Carrier

## Internet Usages

Distribution of hours of using the internet on mobile phones illustrates in Figure 22. In this analysis, the mobile internet users were categorized into two parts. It seems from the chart that more than $60 \%$ of people from all the carriers use internet on their mobile phone less than 6 hours in a typical week. It's also noticeable that percent of people who use internet on mobile phone more than 6 hours in Taiwan Mobile Network are more than other carriers. Light and heavy mobile internet user group will be discussed more details in Chapter 7.7.


Figure 22: Hours of Internet Usages of the Users in Each Carrier

## Satisfactions towards the Current Carriers

Figure 23 illustrates means of customers' satisfactions scores categorized by mobile carrier features and operators. In general, customers seem to be quite satisfied with their carriers with
satisfaction score over 3 in several aspects, except for video call quality and customer loyalty program, which were also rated as unimportant features.

By comparing the satisfaction mean scores between the carriers, it's quite obvious that mobile users in ChungHua Telecom network seem to be quite satisfied with the carrier, especially in terms of network coverage and voice call quality. With regard to network coverage, ChungHau Telecom was rated with significantly higher satisfaction scores than the other carriers. However, customer loyalty program was rated with lower satisfaction scores than other carriers.


Figure 23: Satisfactions towards Carrier Features

To evaluate customers' satisfactions towards their current carriers precisely, it's necessary to take important levels of the carriers' features into consideration. Adjusted satisfactions scores of each carrier features were estimated by multiplying the important rates (important level score divided by 5) with satisfaction scores, as shown in Table 1. It's confirmed that ChungHua Telecom was rated as the most satisfying carriers, following by Taiwan Mobile and Far EasTone.

Table 1: Satisfaction Score / Adjusted Satisfaction Score of Each Carrier

| Carrier Features | Satisfactions Score |  |  | Important Rates | Adjusted Satisfactions Score |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CHT | TWM | FET |  | CHT | TWM | FET |
| Network coverage | 3.96 | 3.68 | 3.43 | 4.71 / 5 | 3.73 | 3.46 | 3.23 |
| Voice call quality | 3.84 | 3.74 | 3.59 | $4.51 / 5$ | 3.46 | 3.37 | 3.24 |
| Internet service quality and speed | 3.20 | 3.26 | 3.26 | $4.32 / 5$ | 2.76 | 2.81 | 2.81 |
| Video call quality | 3.02 | 3.01 | 2.98 | $2.59 / 5$ | 1.56 | 1.56 | 1.54 |
| Reliability of SMS \& MMS | 3.60 | 3.69 | 3.56 | 3.93 / 5 | 2.83 | 2.90 | 2.79 |
| Customer service and support | 3.35 | 3.30 | 3.31 | 3.84 / 5 | 2.57 | 2.53 | 2.54 |
| Value-added services | 3.03 | 3.09 | 3.04 | $2.29 / 5$ | 1.39 | 1.41 | 1.39 |
| Customer loyalty program | 2.51 | 2.66 | 2.63 | $3.18 / 5$ | 1.59 | 1.69 | 1.67 |
| Choices of handsets | 3.19 | 3.29 | 3.26 | $4.03 / 5$ | 2.57 | 2.66 | 2.63 |
| Discounted price handsets with contract | 3.10 | 3.16 | 3.20 | 4.04 / 5 | 2.51 | 2.56 | 2.59 |
| Rate plan | 3.50 | 3.39 | 3.47 | 4.57 / 5 | 3.20 | 3.10 | 3.17 |
|  | Adjusted Satisfaction Score |  |  |  | 2.56 | 2.55 | 2.51 |

### 7.5. Future Mobile Carrier-4G LTE

This part of the analysis discusses customers' attitudes and expectations towards 4G LTE, including evaluation of price acceptance, intention to subscribe, time to subscribe, importance carrier features, feelings for subscribing, and preferable carrier.

## Evaluation of Price Acceptance and Intention to Subscribe

To evaluate intention to subscribe and price acceptance of 4G LTE of mobile users, the survey participants were randomly divided into two cells by the on-line questionnaire system and asked to answer to the two different questionnaire forms. The questions in the two forms are identical. The difference is only the monthly plans shown in the product descriptions, as described previously in Chapter 6 - Methodology.

For Cell I participants, the lower price monthly plan shown in the questionnaire From B is pricing at 888 TWD a month with 800 MB data service, 100 minutes free calls, and 100 free SMS.

For Cell II participants, the higher price monthly plan in the questionnaire From C is pricing at 1,888 TWD a month with 2000MB data service, unlimited intra-network calls, Free 100 minutes inter-network calls, and 500 free SMS.

Figure 24 illustrates intention to subscribe, intention to recommend and value for money comparing between low price package and high price package. Low price package seems much more appealing to the customers. Participants in Cell I (Low price package) intend to subscribe for 4G LTE service more than participants in Cell II (High price package). Also, participants in Cell I (Low price package) tend to recommend the service to their others than participants in Cell II (High price package). With regard to value for money, the lower price package has much better perceived value for money than the higher price package.


Figure 24: Intention to Subscribe, Intention to Recommend, Value for Money

Figure 25 illustrates time to subscribe for 4G LTE, comparing between Cell I (Low price package) participants and Cell II (High price package) participants. The result shows that Cell I participants who saw the lower price package tend to subscribe earlier than Cell II participants who saw the higher price package. This is also in line with the previous analysis that mobile phone users are price sensitive. The lower price package is more appealing than the higher price package to the users in general.


Figure 25: Time to Subscribe for 4G LTE

## Importance of Mobile Carrier Features in 4G LTE

Important levels of the mobile carrier features in 4G LTE is illustrated in Figure 26. Similarly to the features of the current carriers, network coverage again is the most important feature to the mobile users for all carrier features. Rate plan, internet service speed, and voice call quality are also quite important features. Customer loyalty program, video call quality and value-added services are unimportant features.


Figure 26: Importance of Mobile Carrier Features in 4G LTE

## Feelings about Subscribing to 4G LTE

This section of the analysis discusses the customers' feeling for subscribing to 4G LTE. Distribution of the respondents' feelings about 4G LTE is shown in Figure 27. From the chart, the most common one is waiting and listening to other comments before subscribing, following by waiting for promotion, and waiting for favorite mobile phone. There are few people said they want to be the one of the first to use 4G LTE.


Figure 27 Feeling about Subscribing to 4G LTE

## Favorable Features for People Intend to Subscribe and Not Intend to Subscribe

This part of the analysis discusses the customers' preferences towards 4G LTE carriers. It's divided into two groups. The first group is from people who intend to subscribe for 4G LTE point of view. As shown in Figure 28, faster internet speed is the most favorite features, following by mobility and convenience, and high quality voice call. Participants in Cell I who saw lower price package are more likely to like monthly rate plan than participants in Cell II who saw higher price package of 4G LTE.

The second group is from people who don't plan to subscribe for 4G LTE, Figure 29. People in this group still like faster internet speed very much. However, the most undesirable feature is monthly rate plan, especially to the Cell II participants who learnt about high price package.

This indicates that the operators might need to offer lower price package to attract this group of people.


Figure 28: Favorable Features for People Intend to Subscribe


Figure 29: Favorable Features for People Who Don't Intend to Subscribe

## Preferable 4G LTE Carriers

This section discusses preferable 4G LTE carriers in Taiwan. As shown in Figure 30, the most preferable carrier of 4G LTE is ChungHua Telecom, following by Taiwan Mobile and Far EasTone. ChungHua Telecom seems to be top of mind brand for mobile carriers among the people in Taiwan.


Figure 30: Preferable 4G LTE Carriers

### 7.6. Intension of Subscribing to 4G LTE versus Current Internet Usage

This part of the analysis discusses the intention of subscribe for 4G LTE. In this study, mobile users are categorized into two groups based on hours of internet usage in a typical week from. Light internet users are the respondents who spend time less than 6 hours a week using internet on their mobile phone. Heavy internet users are the one who use internet more than 6 hours a week on mobile phones.

## Current Internet Usage

Figure 31 illustrates users categorized by hour of internet usage. As showed in the chart, 73\% of respondents are light internet users and $27 \%$ of respondents are heavy internet users.


Figure 31: Distribution of Heavy and Light Mobile Internet Users

## Spending on Mobile-phone Bills versus Mobile Internet User Group

The following charts illustrate distributions of spending mobile-phone bills comparing across mobile internet user groups. For total spending on bills, the heavy mobile internet users seem to spend more than the light mobile internet users. $44 \%$ of the heavy mobile internet users spend more than 800 TWD a month while only $18 \%$ of the light mobile internet users spend more than 800 TWD a month.

With regard to voice call bills, the heavy mobile internet users also seem to spend more than the light mobile internet users. $68 \%$ of the heavy mobile internet users spend more than 200 TWD a month for voice calls while $47 \%$ of the light mobile internet users spend more than 200 TWD a month.

As for mobile internet bills, obviously the heavy mobile internet users spend more than the light mobile internet users. $71 \%$ of the light mobile internet users spend less than 199 TWD a month on the internet service. $62 \%$ of the heavy mobile internet users spend more than 400 TWD a month on the internet service.


Figure 32: Total Spending on Mobile-phone Bill per Month


Figure 33: Voice Call Spending on Mobile-phone Bill per Month


Figure 34: Mobile Internet Bill per Month

## Intention to Subscribe for 4G LTE versus Mobile Internet User Group

Figure 35 illustrates intention to subscribe for 4G LTE service for different mobile internet user groups. It's obvious that the heavy mobile internet user group has significantly higher intention score to subscribe than the light mobile internet user group.

| Lite Internet User |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Heavy Internet User |  | 2.88 | $\square$ |

Figure 35: Intention to Subscribe for 4G LTE Mean Score of Heavy and Light Mobile Internet Users

## Willingness to Pay More versus Mobile Internet User Group

Figure 36 shows comparisons willingness to pay more for each feature between light mobile internet user group and heavy mobile internet user group. It's obvious that heavy mobile internet users are willing to pay more for some particular features. Internet service quality and speed seems to be very important to this user group so that they definitely pay more for better service quality. Besides, they tend to be more willing to pay for better network coverage voice call quality, and rate plan than the light mobile internet user group.


Figure 36: Willing to Pay More for Better Carrier Features of Heavy and Light Mobile Internet Users

## Prices Acceptance versus Mobile Internet User Group

Figure 37 discusses prices acceptance across mobile internet user groups. As explained earlier in Chapter 7.5, the survey participants are randomly separated into two groups answering to the two different questionnaire forms. Cell I participants saw lower price package of 4G LTE pricing at 888 NT a month. Cell II participants saw higher price package pricing at 1,888 NT a month.


Figure 37: Prices Acceptance of Heavy and Light Mobile Internet Users

As shown on the left side of the chart for Cell I participants, $64 \%$ of the heavy mobile internet users would subscribe for 4G LTE but only $36 \%$ of the light mobile internet users answered that they would subscribe for 4G LTE.

On the other hand for Cell II participants in the right side of the chart, only $37 \%$ of the heavy mobile internet users and $26 \%$ of the light mobile internet users answered that they would subscribe for 4G LTE.

This means that while the heavy mobile internet users are really interested to subscribe for 4G LTE service, price is still an important factor. Too high price might lead to less people subscribe for. As discussed earlier, $44 \%$ of the heavy mobile internet users spend more than 800 TWD a month. The low price package shown for Cell I participants is 888 TWD a month which a bit higher than their current monthly bills. Many people in this heavy mobile internet user group are willing to pay a bit more but not to that high price of the high price package, 1888 TWD a month. The lower price package is still much more appealing to the heavy mobile internet users. Most probably 1,888 TWD a month package is too expensive for Taiwan mobile market.

### 7.7. Carrier Switching Analysis

According to the survey data, it's noticeable that there are more respondents choosing ChungHua Telecom as their preferable 4G LTE carrier and fewer respondents choosing Taiwan Mobile and Far EasTone. This part of the analysis discusses carrier switching patterns and factors influencing the carrier switching behavior by comparing the current carriers with the preferable 4G LTE carriers.


Figure 38: Current Carrier and Preferable 4G LTE Carrier Distributions

## Carrier Switching in 4G LTE

Table 2 illustrates amounts of the respondents in each current mobile phone carriers comparing with their preferable 4G LTE carriers in percentage. As can be seen from the table, most of the current ChungHua Telecom subscribers accounted for $95 \%$ prefer to subscribe for 4G LTE from the same carrier. On the other hand, fewer percent of other carriers' subscribers prefer to subscribe for the same carrier; $65 \%$ of Tawian Mobile, $52 \%$ of Far EasTone, and $47 \%$ of Vibo current subscribers.

It's obvious that most of the people who plan to change to other carriers in 4G LTE tend to subscriber for ChungHua Telecom rather than other carriers. As can be seen from the table, $32 \%$ of Taiwan Mobile, $42 \%$ of Far EasTone, and $41 \%$ of Vibo current subscribers plan to switch to ChungHua Telecom in 4G LTE. This indicates that ChungHua Telecom probably has better image than other carriers in terms of innovations and network quality.

Table 2: Current Carrier and Preferable 4G LTE Carrier Distribution

|  | Preferable 4G LTE carrier (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CHT | TWM | FET | Vibo |  |
| Current <br> mobile- <br> phone <br> carrier <br> $(\%)$ | CHT | TWM | 32 | 2 | 1 |
|  | FET | 42 | 5 | 2 | 0 |
|  | Vibo | 41 | 0 | 6 | 47 |

## Satisfactions towards Current Carriers versus Carrier Switching

To find out major causes users discontinuing the service and switching to the other carriers, satisfactions towards the current carriers were analyzed. This section discusses satisfactions towards the current mobile carriers, comparing between mobile users who plan to switch to other carriers and the one who plan to use the same carriers in 4G LTE. Figure 39 shows a comparison of satisfactions level towards mobile carrier features. It's obvious that the satisfactions levels of those who want to switch to other carriers are significantly lower than the ones who plan to subscribe for the same carriers, especially towards network coverage, voice call quality, data service speed, and customer service and support.

It's proved that these features are crucially important for mobile carriers. The poor network quality, voice call quality, slow internet speed, unsatisfying customer service and support can influence mobile users discontinue their subscription and subscribe for other carriers instead.


Figure 39: Satisfaction Levels of Switch and Non-switch Carrier Users

## Reasons for Subscribing to the Current Carriers versus Carrier Switching

To understand the reasons for subscribers staying with the same carriers or switch to other carriers, attitudes of respondents when subscribing to the current carriers were analyzed. Figure 40 illustrates a comparison between carrier-switching users and non-switching users in 4G LTE. As can be seen in the chart, the most common reasons for choosing the carriers of those who plan to use the same carriers are cheaper to call boyfriend, girlfriend, husband, and wife and recommended by friends for best network quality. On the other hand, the most common reasons of those who plan to switch to other carriers are cheaper rate plan, and cheaper prices of handsets. For those who plan to subscribe for other carriers in 4G LTE, network quality reputation doesn't seem to be an important influence when subscribing to their current carrier.


Figure 40: Reasons for Subscribing to the Current Carriers of Switch and Non-switch Carrier Users

Figure 41 illustrates years of subscription of the current carriers comparing between carrierswitching users and non-switching users. It's obvious that non-switching users tend to use the current carriers longer than those who plan to switch to other carriers.

These findings indicate that cheaper monthly rate plan and cheaper handset prices could be very appealing to the customers. It may help to attract more subscribers to the carriers. However, subscribers may not stay with the carriers. Network quality reputation is more important to retain subscribers in mobile carriers.


Figure 41: Years of Subscriptions of Switch and Non-switch Carrier Users

## 8. Conclusions

As the project has analyzed the survey data comparing users' behaviors, attitudes, and expectation across different mobile user groups, several clear patterns emerged. The following sections summarize some of the finding of the analysis.

### 8.1. Spending on Mobile Phone Bills: Lower than ARPU in 2011

According to National Communication Commission statistics, ARPU or average revenue per user from 3G subscribers of Taiwanese mobile operators is 786 TWD a month, and from 2G subscribers is 531 TWD a month in 2011 (Figure 5). ARPU reflects the current total spending of the mobile users. From the recent survey data in November 2012, more than half of the respondents spend less than 599 TWD a month in total mobile phone bills, which is lower than the ARPU/in/2011. This means that the ARPU in 2012 is becoming less and this decreasing trend in ARPU still continues.

### 8.2. Motivations for Choosing the Carrier: Cheaper to Call Boyfriend, Girlfriend, Husband, Wife is the Most Appealing Feature

According to the survey, the most common reason for choosing the mobile carrier is cheaper price to call their boyfriend, girlfriend, husband or wife. It indicates that the cheaper intranetwork call is very appealing. It can be used to attract and retain the customers in the carriers. However, it seems to be quite similar in all the carriers.

The second common reasons are varies among the carriers. Far EasTone is the cheapest handsets with package. ChungHua Telecom is recommended by friends for network quality. Taiwan Mobile is the most suitable rate plan. This means that while mobile carrier features are very similar and might be hard for the operators to differentiate themselves, each operator could have its own way to differentiate itself from others. ChungHua Telecom has differentiated itself from others by maintaining the best quality network reputation. Far EasTone has provided cheapest handsets. And Taiwan mobile is actually not so different from others.

### 8.3. Important Carrier Features: Network Coverage, Monthly Rate Plan, Voice Call Quality, and Internet Speed are the Most Important Features but People are just willing to Pay More for Better Coverage, and Internet Speed

As indicated in the analysis, the most important carrier feature is network coverage, followed by monthly rate plan, voice call quality, and internet service speed. Comparing the important levels with willingness to pay more for better quality, it seems that the customers are willing to pay more for some certain features, including network quality, and internet service. They are not willing to pay more for other features.

### 8.4. Satisfaction towards the Current Carriers: Chunghua Telecom is the Most Satisfying Carrier

According to the analysis, each carrier has different level of satisfaction score in different carrier features. ChungHua Telecom gains significantly much higher score in network coverage, and voice call quality. However, the carrier has quite lower satisfying score in customer loyalty program, choice of handsets, and discounted price handsets. Taiwan Mobile has satisfying score lower than ChungHua Telecom but higher than FarEasTone in network coverage and voice call quality. FarEasTone on the other hand gains considerably higher satisfactions in cheap mobile handsets with contract.

Because not all the carrier features are equally important, the important levels are taken in to the consideration when evaluating the satisfactions. Adjusted satisfaction score have been used in the analysis. As concluded in the analysis, ChungHua Telecom is the most satisfying carrier, followed by Taiwan Mobile and FarEasTone.

### 8.5. Expectations towards 4G LTE Carriers: High Speed Data Rates, Mobility, and Voice Call Quality are the Most Favorite Features; Chunghua Telecom is Most Preferable Carrier

According to the analysis result, the most favorite features of 4G LTE are high speed data rates, mobility and voice call quality. Similarly to the current carriers, the most important
carrier features network coverage, rate plan, internet service speed, and voice call quality. This indicates that while superfast internet speed is the main feature of 4G LTE, other features are still very important. Once the network is deployed, it's required the operators to expand their coverage as soon as possible, offer attractive rate plan, and maintain internet service quality and voice quality as top priority.

As for preferable carrier, it's quite obvious from the analysis that ChungHua Telecom is the most preferable carriers. It's top of mind brand for mobile carrier in Taiwan. This is probably due to the fact that the carrier has most renowned network quality in 2 G network and 3 G network so that many mobile users prefer to subscribe for 4G LTE from ChungHua Telecom.

### 8.6. Subscribing to 4G LTE: Wait and Listen to Other Comments, Wait for Favorite Phones and Promotions, Subscribe after 1 Year

With regard to time to subscribe, $11 \%$ of the respondents would subscribe within 3 months and $4 \%$ stated that they want to be the first who use 4G LTE. This data could be used to estimate early adopter users for 4G LTE.

On the other hand, half of the respondents answered that they would subscriber after 1 year. Many said about subscribing to 4G LTE that they will wait and listen to other comments, wait for their favorite phone, and wait for the promotion. Discounted price handsets and choices of 4G LTE enabled handsets are also rated as important features. It's suggested that the mobile operators should offer variety of 4G LTE enabled handsets with attractive monthly packages in order to convince customers to subscribe for 4G LTE network.

### 8.7. Carrier Switching: Many Subscribers Plan to Switch to Chunghua Telecom in 4G LTE

As indicated in the report, the analysis found some interesting carrier switching pattern between current subscriptions and prefer 4G LTE carrier of the respondents. It's found that most of the ChungHua Telecom customers still prefer to subscribe for 4G LTE service from ChungHua Telecom. However, many current users in Taiwan Mobile network and FarEasTone prefer to switch to ChungHua Telecom when they subscribe for 4G LTE service.

It's confirmed that ChungHua Telecom has very good reputation in the mobile network quality so that it becomes the most preferable carrier in Taiwan.

### 8.8. 4G LTE Price: 888 TWD Monthly Plan is Appealing to Heavy Internet Users Spend More

The analysis divided the internet users into two groups. Heavy mobile internet users spend more than 6 hours a week while light mobile internet users spend less than 6 hours a week using the internet on mobile devices. According to the analysis, $44 \%$ of the heavy mobile internet users spend more than 800 TWD a month on the total mobile phone bills. $62 \%$ of them spend more than 400 TWD a month on the internet service. Heavy mobile internet users have more intension to subscribe for 4G LTE services. As for willingness to pay more, it's quite obvious that the users in this group are willing to pay more for better internet service, better network coverage and voice call quality comparing to the users in the light mobile internet user group. With regard to the 4 G LTE price, $62 \%$ of heavy mobile internet users in Cell I answered would subscribe for the service. This is confirmed that the monthly package of 888 TWD is quite acceptable for the heavy mobile internet user group. However, 1888 TWD package might be too expensive even for the heavy mobile internet users.

### 8.9. Factors Influencing Carrier Switching: Bad Network Coverage, Poor Voice Call Quality, and Slow Data Rates Could Influence Subscribers to Switch to Other Networks

Additionally, the analysis compared the satisfactions of current carriers among those who plan to switch to the other carrier and those who plan to use the same carrier in 4G LTE. It's found that those who plan to switch to the other carriers are not very satisfied with their current carriers, especially towards network coverage, voice call quality, and internet service, which were rated as very important features. This leads to the conclusion that the important factors influence the mobile users switch from one carrier to the other carriers are bad network coverage, poor voice call quality, and slow internet service.

### 8.10. Factors Influencing Customers to Use the Same Carriers: Network Quality and Cheap Rate to Call Family are the Most Important

 Factors to Retain the Subscribers in the NetworksComparing the motivations when choosing the current carriers between the switching-carrier and non-switching-carrier user group, it's again found very interesting pattern. The top common reason for those who plan to use the same carriers is lower phone rate to call their boyfriend, girlfriend, husband, wife, followed by recommended by friends for network quality. On the other hand, cheapest handsets with contracts and suitable rate plan are the most common reasons among the switching-carrier user group.

This leads to the conclusion that the cheap rate plan and low cost handsets with contract can attract more subscribers to the carriers. However, cheaper rate plan to call boyfriend, girlfriend, husband and wife as well as network quality are the most important factors to retain the subscribers in the networks.

## 9. Recommendations

Launching 4G LTE service is another challenge for the mobile operators in Taiwan. While 4G LTE is focusing on providing a superfast mobile internet service experience, there are also other important features and attributes needed to take into the consideration. As discussed in this thesis project, network coverage and voice call quality are very important features in 4G LTE. The thesis proposes that the mobile operators should deploy 4G LTE network coverage as soon as possible, concentrate on increasing mobile data service speed, and maintain voice call service quality. Although 4G LTE technology has no circuit voice capability, it's necessary for the operator to allow the voice calls. The voice call on 4G LTE network could be enable by the feature so-called CS fallback.

Apart from the network capability, pricing is another important issue to evaluate. Some mobile users are willing to pay more for better services while some are not. As discussed in this thesis project, 888 TWD a month could be one of the package offering to the market. Higher price package can also offer targeting to heavy internet user group. Due to the fact that this survey sample are limited to NCCU students and the authors' colleagues and friends, further analysis on broader audience is needed to find out demographic information of target customers.

As described in the report, many respondents answered subscribing to 4G LTE that they will wait for promotion and favorite mobile phone. Therefore, mobile handset is very important factor on successful launching 4G LTE. Cutting-edge 4G LTE mobile phones at some attractive prices with contracts could be used to attract more people subscribe for the 4G LTE network.

To retain the subscribers in the carriers, network quality and cheaper rate to call boyfriend, girlfriend, husband, and wife are quite important influencing factors. It's suggested for the mobile operators to maintain the best network quality to avoid subscriber canceling the subscription and changing to other carriers. Cheaper rate of intra-network phone calls or cheaper rate to calls their close friends could also help to retain the subscribers using the carriers.
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### 10.1. Demographic

## Frequencies

| Hometown |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| N | Valid |
|  | Missing |$\quad 872$| 2 |
| ---: |

Hometown

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| Valid | KeeLung | 15 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
|  | Taipei City | 541 | 61.9 | 62.0 | 63.8 |
|  | New Taipei City | 165 | 18.9 | 18.9 | 82.7 |
|  | TaoYuan County | 34 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 86.6 |
|  | HsinChu County | 19 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 88.8 |
|  | MiaoLi County | 3 | .3 | .3 | 89.1 |
|  | TaiChung City | 32 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 92.8 |
|  | ChangHua Couty | 15 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 94.5 |
|  | NanTou County | 6 | .7 | .7 | 95.2 |
|  | 3 | .3 | .3 | 95.5 |  |
|  | 1 | .1 | .1 | 95.6 |  |
|  | YunLin County | 17 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 97.6 |
|  | ChaiYi City | 15 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 99.3 |
|  | TaiNan City | .3 | .3 | 99.7 |  |
|  | KaoHsiung City | 2 | .2 | 9.9 |  |
|  | PingTung County | 1 | .1 | .1 | 100.0 |


|  | Total | 872 | 99.8 | 100.0 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Missing | 99 | 2 | .2 |  |
| Total |  | 874 | 100.0 |  |

## Statistics

Nationality


Nationality

|  |  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Taiwanese | 814 | 93.1 | 93.1 | 93.1 |
|  | Other | 60 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 874 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

## Statistics

Gender

| N | Valid | 872 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | Missing | 2 |

Gender

|  |  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Male | 314 | 35.9 | 36.0 | 36.0 |
|  | Female | 558 | 63.8 | 64.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 872 | 99.8 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | 99 | 2 | .2 |  |  |
| Total |  | 874 | 100.0 |  |  |

Age

|  |  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | 15.3 | 15.3 |
|  | $19-22$ | 133 | 15.2 | 15.3 | 81.1 |
|  | $23-28$ | 574 | 65.7 | 65.8 | 86.7 |
|  | $29-35$ | 49 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 94.6 |
|  | $36-45$ | 69 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 99.4 |
|  | $46-55$ | 42 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 5 | .6 | .6 |  |
| Missing | 99 | 872 | 99.8 | 100.0 |  |



Profession

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | College student | 726 | 83.1 | 83.1 | 83.1 |
|  | Graduate/ PhD student | 34 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 87.0 |
|  | Teacher/ Professor/ Academia | 6 | . 7 | . 7 | 87.6 |
|  | Office Staff/ Government employee/ White collar worker | 33 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 91.4 |
|  | Management executive | 11 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 92.7 |
|  | Sales/ Finance/ Insurance professional | 8 | . 9 | . 9 | 93.6 |
|  | PR/ Media/ Fashion/ Journalism professional | 3 | . 3 | . 3 | 93.9 |
|  | Designer/ Editor/ Director/ Publisher | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | 94.1 |
|  | Trader | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | 94.2 |
|  | Computer and Software engineer/ Web developer | 24 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 96.9 |
|  | Technician/ Production Worker | 6 | . 7 | . 7 | 97.6 |
|  | Self-employed/ Home business | 4 | . 5 | . 5 | 98.1 |
|  | Home-maker/ Butler | 2 | . 2 | . 2 | 98.3 |
|  | Other | 13 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 99.8 |
|  | 99 | 2 | . 2 | . 2 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 874 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Income range

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | 15,000 TWD or less | 725 | 83.0 | 83.1 | 83.1 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15,001 TWD - 35,000 } \\ & \text { TWD } \end{aligned}$ | 41 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 87.8 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 35,001 TWD - 55,000 } \\ & \text { TWD } \end{aligned}$ | 40 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 92.4 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 55,001 TWD - 75,000 } \\ & \text { TWD } \end{aligned}$ | 33 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 96.2 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 75,001 TWD - 100,000 } \\ & \text { TWD } \end{aligned}$ | 12 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 97.6 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 100,001 TWD - 150,000 } \\ & \text { TWD } \end{aligned}$ | 11 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 98.9 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 150,001 TWD - 200,000 } \\ & \text { TWD } \end{aligned}$ | 5 | . 6 | . 6 | 99.4 |


|  | 200,001 TWD or above | 5 | .6 | .6 | 100.0 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total | 872 | 99.8 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | 99 | 2 | 2 |  |  |
| Total |  | 874 | 100.0 |  |  |

Statistics

|  |  | Mobile phone <br> brand | Smartphone |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| N | Valid | 874 | 874 |
|  | Missing | 0 | 0 |

## Frequency Table

Mobile phone brand

|  |  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | iPhone | 152 | 17.4 | 17.4 | 17.4 |
|  | Samsung | 159 | 18.2 | 18.2 | 35.6 |
|  | HTC | 178 | 20.4 | 20.4 | 55.9 |
|  | BlackBerry | 6 | .7 | 56.6 |  |
|  | Nokia | 86 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 66.5 |
|  | Sony | 224 | 25.6 | 25.6 | 92.1 |
|  | Other | 69 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 874 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

## Smartphone

|  |  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Smartphone | 650 | 74.4 | 74.4 | 74.4 |
|  | Other | 224 | 25.6 | 25.6 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 874 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

### 10.2. Current Mobile Carrier

## Crosstabs

## Statistics

Current Carrier

| $N$ | Valid | 874 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | Missing | 0 |

## Current Carrier

|  |  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | CHT | 494 | 56.5 | 56.5 | 56.5 |
|  | TWM | 241 | 27.6 | 27.6 | 84.1 |
|  | FET | 113 | 12.9 | 12.9 | 97.0 |
|  | Vibo | 17 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 99.0 |
|  | APPW | 3 | .3 | .3 | 99.3 |
|  | PHS | 1 | .1 | .1 | 99.4 |
|  | 7-11 Mobile | 5 | .6 | .6 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 874 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

### 10.3. Years of Subscription

## Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

|  | Cases |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Valid |  | Missing |  | Total |  |
|  | N |  | Percent | N | Percent | N |
| Years of subscription <br> Current Carrier | 874 | $100.0 \%$ | 0 | $.0 \%$ | 874 | $100.0 \%$ |

Years of subscription * Current Carrier Crosstabulation

|  |  | Current Carrier |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | CHT | TWM | FET | Other |  |
| $\text { Years of } \quad 0$ | Count | 44 | 40 | 25 | 6 | 115 |
|  | Expected Count | 65.0 | 31.7 | 14.9 | 3.4 | 115.0 |
|  | \% within Current Carrier | 8.9\% | 16.6\% | 22.1\% | 23.1\% | 13.2\% |
| 1 | Count | 17 | 27 | 8 | 2 | 54 |
|  | Expected Count | 30.5 | 14.9 | 7.0 | 1.6 | 54.0 |
|  | \% within Current Carrier | 3.4\% | 11.2\% | 7.1\% | 7.7\% | 6.2\% |
| 2 | Count | 32 | 25 | 17 | 4 | 78 |
|  | Expected Count | 44.1 | 21.5 | 10.1 | 2.3 | 78.0 |
|  | \% within Current Carrier | 6.5\% | 10.4\% | 15.0\% | 15.4\% | 8.9\% |
| 3 | Count | 59 | 24 | 16 | 5 | 104 |
|  | Expected Count | 58.8 | 28.7 | 13.4 | 3.1 | 104.0 |
|  | \% within Current Carrier | 11.9\% | 10.0\% | 14.2\% | 19.2\% | 11.9\% |
| 4 | Count | 69 | 28 | 7 | 5 | 109 |
|  | Expected Count | 61.6 | 30.1 | 14.1 | 3.2 | 109.0 |
|  | \% within Current Carrier | 14.0\% | 11.6\% | 6.2\% | 19.2\% | 12.5\% |
| 5 | Count | 58 | 17 | 9 | 2 | 86 |
|  | Expected Count | 48.6 | 23.7 | 11.1 | 2.6 | 86.0 |


|  | 6 | \% within Current Carrier Count | $11.7 \%$ 56 | $7.1 \%$ 19 | $8.0 \%$ 5 | 7.7\% | $9.8 \%$ 81 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Expected Count | 45.8 | 22.3 | 10.5 | 2.4 | 81.0 |
|  |  | \% within Current Carrier | 11.3\% | 7.9\% | 4.4\% | 3.8\% | 9.3\% |
|  | 7 | Count | 45 | 14 | 6 | 0 | 65 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 36.7 | 17.9 | 8.4 | 1.9 | 65.0 |
|  |  | \% within Current Carrier | 9.1\% | 5.8\% | 5.3\% | .0\% | 7.4\% |
|  | 8 | Count | 45 | 10 | 10 | 1 | 66 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 37.3 | 18.2 | 8.5 | 2.0 | 66.0 |
|  |  | \% within Current Carrier | 9.1\% | 4.1\% | 8.8\% | 3.8\% | 7.6\% |
|  | 9 | Count | 20 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 28 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 15.8 | 7.7 | 3.6 | . 8 | 28.0 |
|  |  | \% within Current Carrier | 4.0\% | 2.1\% | 2.7\% | . $0 \%$ | 3.2\% |
|  | 10 | Count | 30 | 18 | 5 | 0 | 53 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 30.0 | 14.6 | 6.9 | 1.6 | 53.0 |
|  |  | \% within Current Carrier | 6.1\% | 7.5\% | 4.4\% | .0\% | 6.1\% |
|  | 11 | Count | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 8 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 4.5 | 2.2 | 1.0 | . 2 | 8.0 |
|  |  | \% within Current Carrier | .8\% | 1.2\% | .9\% | . $0 \%$ | .9\% |
|  | 12 | Count | 7 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 12 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 6.8 | 3.3 | 1.6 | . 4 | 12.0 |
|  |  | \% within Current Carrier | 1.4\% | 1.7\% | .9\% | .0\% | 1.4\% |
|  | 13 | Count | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 2.8 | 1.4 | . 6 | . 1 | 5.0 |
|  |  | \% within Current Carrier | .6\% | .8\% | .0\% | . $0 \%$ | .6\% |
|  | 14 | Count | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 1.1 | . 6 | . 3 | . 1 | 2.0 |
|  |  | \% within Current Carrier | . $0 \%$ | .8\% | .0\% | . $0 \%$ | .2\% |
|  | 15 | Count | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 3.4 | 1.7 | . 8 | . 2 | 6.0 |
|  |  | \% within Current Carrier | .6\% | 1.2\% | .0\% | . $0 \%$ | .7\% |
|  | 18 | Count | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  | Expected Count | . 6 | . 3 | . 1 | . 0 | 1.0 |
|  |  | \% within Current Carrier | .2\% | . $0 \%$ | . $0 \%$ | . $0 \%$ | .1\% |
|  | 20 | Count | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  | Expected Count | . 6 | . 3 | . 1 | . 0 | 1.0 |
|  |  | \% within Current Carrier | .2\% | .0\% | . $0 \%$ | .0\% | .1\% |
| Total |  | Count | 494 | 241 | 113 | 26 | 874 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 494.0 | 241.0 | 113.0 | 26.0 | 874.0 |
|  |  | \% within Current Carrier | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

## Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $90.863(a)$ | 51 | .001 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 97.830 |  | 51 |


| Linear-by-Linear <br> Association <br> N of Valid Cases | 25.874 | 1 | .000 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 874 |  |  |

a 39 cells ( $54.2 \%$ ) have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is .03 .

## Oneway

## Descriptives

Years of subscription

|  | N | Mean | Std. <br> Deviation | Std. <br> Error | 95\% Confidence <br> Interval for Mean |  | Minimum | Maximum |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Lower <br> Bound | Upper <br> Bound |  |  |
| CHT | 494 | 5.19 | 3.194 | .144 | 4.91 | 5.47 | 0 | 20 |
| TWM | 241 | 4.33 | 3.686 | .237 | 3.86 | 4.80 | 0 | 15 |
| FET | 113 | 3.67 | 3.211 | .302 | 3.07 | 4.27 | 0 | 12 |
| Other | 26 | 2.65 | 2.097 | .411 | 1.81 | 3.50 | 0 | 8 |
| Total | 874 | 4.68 | 3.374 | .114 | 4.46 | 4.91 | 0 | 20 |

ANOVA
Years of subscription

|  | Sum of <br> Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Between Groups | 380.970 | 3 | 126.990 | 11.561 | .000 |
| Within Groups | 9556.604 | 870 | 10.985 |  |  |
| Total | 9937.574 | 873 |  |  |  |

### 10.4. Reasons for Selecting the Carriers

## Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

|  | Cases |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Valid |  | Missing |  | Total |  |
|  | N |  | Percent | N | Percent | N |
| Statement best describes <br> when subscribed *Current <br> Carrier | 874 | $100.0 \%$ | 0 | $.0 \%$ | 874 | $100.0 \%$ |

Statement best describes when subscribed * Current Carrier Crosstabulation

|  |  |  | Current Carrier |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | CHT | TWM | FET | Other |  |
| Statement best describes when subscribed | Recommended by friends for network quality | Count | 150 | 36 | 6 | 1 | 193 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 109.1 | 53.2 | 25.0 | 5.7 | 193.0 |
|  |  | \% within Current Carrier | 30.4\% | 14.9\% | 5.3\% | 3.8\% | 22.1\% |
|  | Most suitable rate plan | Count | 50 | 41 | 21 | 9 | 121 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 68.4 | 33.4 | 15.6 | 3.6 | 121.0 |
|  |  | \% within Current Carrier | 10.1\% | 17.0\% | 18.6\% | 34.6\% | 13.8\% |
|  | Cheapest handsets with package | Count | 17 | 34 | 28 | 4 | 83 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 46.9 | 22.9 | 10.7 | 2.5 | 83.0 |
|  |  | \% within Current Carrier | 3.4\% | 14.1\% | 24.8\% | 15.4\% | 9.5\% |
|  | Not my concern as my company pay | Count | 15 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 34 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 19.2 | 9.4 | 4.4 | 1.0 | 34.0 |
|  |  | \% within Current Carrier | 3.0\% | 7.5\% | .9\% | .0\% | 3.9\% |
|  | Cheaper to call boyfriend/girlfriend/hus band/wife | Count | 233 | 96 | 50 | 10 | 389 |
| Total | Family decision | Expected Count | 219.9 | 107.3 | 50.3 | 11.6 | 389.0 |
|  |  | \% within Current Carrier | 47.2\% | 39.8\% | 44.2\% | 38.5\% | 44.5\% |
|  |  | Count | 9 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 17 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 9.6 | 4.7 | 2.2 | . 5 | 17.0 |
|  | Other | \% within Current Carrier | 1.8\% | 2.9\% | .9\% | .0\% | 1.9\% |
|  |  | Count | 20 | 9 | 6 | 2 | 37 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 20.9 | 10.2 | 4.8 | 1.1 | 37.0 |
|  |  | \% within Current Carrier | 4.0\% | 3.7\% | 5.3\% | 7.7\% | 4.2\% |
|  |  | Count | 494 | 241 | 113 | 26 | 874 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 494.0 | 241.0 | 113.0 | 26.0 | 874.0 |
|  |  | \% within Current Carrier | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0 $\%$ |

Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $127.305(\mathrm{a})$ | 18 | .000 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 130.444 | 18 | .000 |
| Linear-by-Linear | 1.536 |  | 1 |

a 9 cells $(32.1 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is .51 .

### 10.5. Spending on the Current Mobile Phone Bill

## Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

|  | Valid |  | Cases <br> Missing |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | Percent | N | Percent | N | Percent |
| Total spending on mobilephone bill per month * Current Carrier | 874 | 100.0\% | 0 | .0\% | 874 | 100.0\% |
| Voice call spending on mobile-phone bill per month * Current Carrier | 839 | 96.0\% | 35 | 4.0\% | 874 | 100.0\% |
| Internet spending on mobile-phone bill per month * Current Carrier | 835 | 95.5\% | 39 | 4.5\% | 874 | 100.0\% |
| SMS\&MMS spending on mobile-phone bill per month * Current Carrier | 846 | 96.8\% | 28 | 3.2\% | 874 | 100.0\% |

## Total spending on mobile-phone bill per month * Current Carrier

Crosstab



Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $50.167(\mathrm{a})$ | 30 | .012 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 57.297 | 30 | .002 |
| Linear-by-Linear | 3.158 |  | 1 |

a 19 cells ( $43.2 \%$ ) have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is .18 .

## Voice call spending on mobile-phone bill per month * Current Carrier

## Crosstab



|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Expected Count | 123.9 | 59.0 | 27.9 | 6.2 | 217.0 |
|  |  | \% within Current Carrier | 26.3\% | 22.8\% | 32.4\% | 16.7\% | 25.9\% |
|  | 400-599TWD | Count | 78 | 30 | 16 | 4 | 128 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 73.1 | 34.8 | 16.5 | 3.7 | 128.0 |
|  |  | \% within Current Carrier | 16.3\% | 13.2\% | 14.8\% | 16.7\% | 15.3\% |
|  | 600-799TWD | Count | 24 | 23 | 6 | 0 | 53 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 30.3 | 14.4 | 6.8 | 1.5 | 53.0 |
|  |  | \% within Current Carrier | 5.0\% | 10.1\% | 5.6\% | .0\% | 6.3\% |
|  | 800-999TWD | Count | 11 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 10.8 | 5.2 | 2.4 | . 5 | 19.0 |
|  |  | \% within Current Carrier | 2.3\% | 3.5\% | .0\% | .0\% | 2.3\% |
|  | 1000-1199TWD | Count | 7 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 6.9 | 3.3 | 1.5 | . 3 | 12.0 |
|  |  | \% within Current Carrier | 1.5\% | 2.2\% | .0\% | .0\% | 1.4\% |
|  | 1200-1399TWD | Count | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 1.7 | . 8 | . 4 | . 1 | 3.0 |
|  |  | \% within Current Carrier | .2\% | .9\% | .0\% | .0\% | .4\% |
|  | 1400-1599TWD | Count | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 1.7 | . 8 | . 4 | . 1 | 3.0 |
|  |  | \% within Current Carrier | .0\% | .9\% | .0\% | 4.2\% | .4\% |
|  | 1600-1799TWD | Count | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 1.1 | . 5 | . 3 | . 1 | 2.0 |
|  |  | \% within Current Carrier | .2\% | .4\% | .0\% | .0\% | .2\% |
|  | 1800-1999TWD | Count | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  | Expected Count | . 6 | . 3 | . 1 | . 0 | 1.0 |
|  |  | \% within Current Carrier | .0\% | .4\% | .0\% | .0\% | .1\% |
|  | More than 2000TWD | Count | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 2.3 | 1.1 | . 5 | . 1 | 4.0 |
|  |  | \% within Current | .6\% | .4\% | .0\% | .0\% | .5\% |
| Total |  | Carrier Count | 479 | 228 | 108 | 24 | 839 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 479.0 | 228.0 | 108.0 | 24.0 | 839.0 |
|  |  | \% within Current Carrier | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

## Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $41.582(\mathrm{a})$ | 30 | .078 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 42.599 | 30 | .064 |
| Linear-by-Linear | .638 | 1 | .425 |
| Association |  |  |  |


a 27 cells ( $61.4 \%$ ) have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is .03 .

## Internet spending on mobile-phone bill per month * Current Carrier

Crosstab

|  |  |  | Current Carrier |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | CHT | TWM | FET | Other |  |
| Internet spending on mobile-phone bill per month | Less than 199TWD | Count | 290 | 108 | 71 | 22 | 491 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 277.0 | 135.8 | 62.9 | 15.3 | 491.0 |
|  |  | \% within Current Carrier | 61.6\% | 46.8\% | 66.4\% | 84.6\% | 58.8\% |
|  | 200-399TWD | Count | 39 | 20 | 7 | 1 | 67 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 37.8 | 18.5 | 8.6 | 2.1 | 67.0 |
|  |  | \% within Current Carrier | 8.3\% | 8.7\% | 6.5\% | 3.8\% | 8.0\% |
|  | 400-599TWD | Count | 50 | 25 | 11 | 1 | 87 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 49.1 | 24.1 | 11.1 | 2.7 | 87.0 |
|  |  | \% within Current Carrier | 10.6\% | 10.8\% | 10.3\% | 3.8\% | 10.4\% |
|  | 600-799TWD | Count | 53 | 59 | 12 | 2 | 126 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 71.1 | 34.9 | 16.1 | 3.9 | 126.0 |
|  |  | \% within Current Carrier | 11.3\% | 25.5\% | 11.2\% | 7.7\% | 15.1\% |
|  | 800-999TWD | Count | 35 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 48 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 27.1 | 13.3 | 6.2 | 1.5 | 48.0 |
|  |  | \% within Current Carrier | 7.4\% | 4.8\% | 1.9\% | .0\% | 5.7\% |
|  | 1000-1199TWD | Count | 3 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 7 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 3.9 | 1.9 | . 9 | . 2 | 7.0 |
|  |  | \% within Current Carrier | .6\% | .4\% | 2.8\% | .0\% | .8\% |
|  | 1200-1399TWD | Count | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 5 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 2.8 | 1.4 | . 6 | . 2 | 5.0 |
|  |  | \% within Current Carrier | .2\% | 1.3\% | .9\% | .0\% | .6\% |
|  | 1400-1599TWD | Count | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  | Expected Count | . 6 | . 3 | . 1 | . 0 | 1.0 |
|  |  | \% within Current Carrier | .0\% | .4\% | .0\% | .0\% | .1\% |
|  | 1600-1799TWD | Count | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  | Expected Count | . 6 | . 3 | . 1 | . 0 | 1.0 |
|  |  | \% within Current Carrier | .0\% | .4\% | .0\% | .0\% | .1\% |
|  | More than 2000TWD | Count | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 1.1 | . 6 | . 3 | . 1 | 2.0 |
|  |  | \% within Current | .0\% | . $9 \%$ | .0\% | .0\% | .2\% |


| Total | Count | 471 | 231 | 107 | 26 | 835 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Expected Count | 471.0 | 231.0 | 107.0 | 26.0 | 835.0 |
|  | \% within Current | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | 100.0 |
|  | Carrier |  |  |  |  |  |

Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $62.489(a)$ | 27 | .000 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 61.505 | 27 | .000 |
| Linear-by-Linear | 2.473 |  | 1 |

a 24 cells ( $60.0 \%$ ) have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is .03 .

## SMS\&MMS spending on mobile-phone bill per month * Current Carrier

|  |  |  | Current Carrier |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | CHT | TWM | FET | Other |  |
| SMS\&MMS spending on mobile-phone bill per month | Less than 199TWD | Count | 425 | 210 | 105 | 25 | 765 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 434.9 | 206.2 | 100.4 | 23.5 | 765.0 |
|  |  | \% within Current Carrier | 88.4\% | 92.1\% | 94.6\% | 96.2\% | 90.4\% |
|  | 200-399TWD | Count | 51 | 16 | 6 | 1 | 74 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 42.1 | 19.9 | 9.7 | 2.3 | 74.0 |
|  |  | \% within Current Carrier | 10.6\% | 7.0\% | 5.4\% | 3.8\% | 8.7\% |
|  | 400-599TWD | Count | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 3.4 | 1.6 | . 8 | . 2 | 6.0 |
|  |  | \% within Current Carrier | .8\% | .9\% | .0\% | .0\% | .7\% |
|  | 1400-1599TWD | Count | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  | Expected Count | . 6 | . 3 | . 1 | . 0 | 1.0 |
|  |  | \% within Current Carrier | .2\% | .0\% | .0\% | .0\% | .1\% |
| Total |  | Count | 481 | 228 | 111 | 26 | 846 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 481.0 | 228.0 | 111.0 | 26.0 | 846.0 |
|  |  | \% within Current Carrier | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | $100.0$ | 100.0 |

## Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $7.334(\mathrm{a})$ | 9 | .602 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 9.013 |  | 9 |
| Linear-by-Linear | 3.541 |  | 1 |

a 9 cells $(56.3 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is .03

### 10.6. Hours of Using Mobile Internet in Typical Week

## Crosstabs

## Case Processing Summary

|  | Cases |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Valid |  | Missing |  | Total |  |
|  | N |  | Percent | N | Percent | N |
| Mobile internet usage <br> (hours in a week) <br> Current Carrier | 873 | $99.9 \%$ |  | 1 | $.1 \%$ | 874 |

Mobile internet usage (hours in a week) * Current Carrier Crosstabulation


## Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $11.815(\mathrm{a})$ | 6 | .066 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 11.483 |  | 6 |
| Linear-by-Linear | .490 |  | 1 |

a 1 cells $(8.3 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 4.59 .

## Crosstabs



Internet Usage * Current Carrier Crosstabulation


Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $6.873(\mathrm{a})$ | 3 | .076 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 6.709 |  | 3 |

a 0 cells $(.0 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 7.09 .

### 10.7. Importance of Basic Services

## Descriptives

## Descriptive Statistics

|  | M | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std. Deviation | Variance |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Importance of Voice call | 873 | 1 | 5 | 4.46 | .965 | .932 |
| Importance of Mobile | 873 | 1 | 5 | 3.78 | 1.295 | 1.677 |
| internet service |  |  |  |  | 1.042 | 1.085 |
| Importance of Video call | 873 | 1 | 5 | 1.90 | .944 | .892 |
| Importance of SMS | 873 | 1 | 5 | 4.06 | 1.139 | 1.297 |
| Importance of MMS | 873 | 1 | 5 | 2.09 |  |  |
| Valid N (listwise) | 873 |  |  |  |  |  |

### 10.8. Importance of Carrier Features

## Descriptives

## Descriptive Statistics

|  | N | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std. Deviation | Variance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Importance of Network coverage | 874 | 1 | 5 | 4.71 | . 593 | . 352 |
| Importance of Voice call quality | 874 | 1 | 5 | 4.51 | . 818 | . 669 |
| Importance of Internet service quality and speed | 874 | 1 | 5 | 4.32 | 1.049 | 1.100 |
| Importance of Video call quality | 874 | 1 | 5 | 2.59 | 1.310 | 1.716 |
| Importance of Reliability of SMS \& MMS | 874 | 1 | 5 | 3.93 | 1.095 | 1.199 |
| Importance of Customer service and support | 874 | 1 | 5 | 3.84 | 1.041 | 1.085 |
| Importance of Value-added services | 874 | 1 | 5 | 2.29 | 1.100 | 1.210 |
| Importance of Customer loyalty program | 874 | 1 | 5 | 3.18 | 1.228 | 1.507 |
| Importance of Choices of handsets | 874 | 1 | 5 | 4.03 | . 983 | . 966 |
| Importance of Discounted price handsets with contract | 874 | 1 | 5 | 4.04 | 1.028 | 1.058 |
| Importance of Rate plan Valid N (listwise) | 874 874 | 1 | 5 | 4.57 | . 690 | . 476 |

### 10.9. Willingness to Pay More on Carrier Features

## Descriptives

Descriptive Statistics

|  | N | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std. Deviation | Variance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Willingness to pay more for Network coverage | 874 | 1 | 5 | 3.47 | 1.208 | 1.459 |
| Willingness to pay more for Voice call quality | 874 | 1 | 5 | 3.20 | 1.198 | 1.436 |
| Willingness to pay more for Internet service quality and speed | 874 | 1 | 5 | 3.46 | 1.278 | 1.632 |
| Willingness to pay more for Video call quality | 874 | 1 | 5 | 2.17 | 1.125 | 1.266 |
| Willingness to pay more for Reliability of SMS \& MMS | 874 | 1 | 5 | 2.82 | 1.175 | 1.382 |
| Willingness to pay more for Customer service and support | 874 | 1 | 5 | 2.55 | 1.113 | 1.239 |
| Willingness to pay more for Value-added services | 874 | 1 | 5 | 2.13 | 1.042 | 1.086 |
| Willingness to pay more for Customer loyalty program | 874 | 1 | 5 | 2.27 | 1.099 | 1.209 |
| Willingness to pay more for Choces of handsets | 874 | 1 | 5 | 2.88 | 1.212 | 1.468 |
| Willingness to pay more for Discounted price handsets with contract | 874 | 1 | 5 | 2.91 | 1.230 | 1.514 |
| Willingness to pay more for Rate plan | 874 | 1 | 5 | 3.08 | 1.286 | 1.654 |
| Valid N (listwise) | 874 |  |  |  |  |  |

### 10.10.Satisfaction with the Current Carrier

## Oneway

## Descriptives

|  |  | N | Mean | Std. <br> Deviation | Std. <br> Error | 95\% Confidence <br> Interval for Mean |  | Minim <br> um | Maxim <br> um |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Lower <br> Bound | Upper <br> Bound |  |  |
| Satisfaction with | CHT | 494 | 3.96 | .776 | .035 | 3.89 | 4.03 | 1 | 5 |
| Network coverage | TWM | 241 | 3.68 | .813 | .052 | 3.57 | 3.78 | 1 | 5 |
|  | FET | 113 | 3.43 | .972 | .091 | 3.25 | 3.61 | 1 | 5 |




|  |  | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Satisfaction with Network coverage | Between Groups Within Groups | 69.646 | 3 | 23.215 | 33.772 | . 000 |
|  |  | 598.044 | 870 | . 687 |  |  |
|  | Total | 667.690 | 873 |  |  |  |
| Satisfaction with Voice call quality | Between Groups Within Groups | 19.625 | 3 | 6.542 | 10.491 | . 000 |
|  |  | 542.486 | 870 | . 624 |  |  |
|  | Total | 562.111 | 873 |  | 1.773 | . 151 |
| Satisfaction with Internet service quality and speed | Between |  |  | 1.430 |  |  |
|  | Groups | 4.290 | 3 |  |  |  |
|  | Within Groups Total | 701.551 | 870 | . 806 | 3.964 |  |
|  |  | 705.841 | 873 |  |  |  |
| Satisfaction with Video call quality | Between <br> Groups <br> Within Groups | 5.812 | 3 | 1.937 |  | . 008 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 425.186 | 870 | . 489 |  |  |
|  | Total | 430.999 | 873 |  |  |  |
| Satisfaction with | Between |  |  |  | 2.487 | . 059 |
| Reliability of SMS \& | Groups | 4.883 | 3 | 1.628 |  |  |
| Satisfaction with Customer service and support | Within Groups | 569.291 | 870 | . 654 | . 494 | . 686 |
|  | Total | 574.174 | 873 |  |  |  |
|  | Between |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Groups | 1.218 | 3 | . 406 |  |  |
|  | Within Groups | 714.847 | 870 | . 822 |  |  |
|  | Total | 716.065 | 873 |  |  |  |


| Satisfaction with Value-added | Between Groups | 14.356 | 3 | 4.785 | 7.067 | . 000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Within Groups | 589.091 | 870 | . 677 |  |  |
|  | Total | 603.446 | 873 |  |  |  |
| Satisfaction with | Between |  |  |  |  |  |
| Customer loyalty | Groups | 12.113 | 3 | 4.038 | 4.584 | . 003 |
|  | Within Groups | 766.272 | 870 | . 881 |  |  |
|  | Total | 778.384 | 873 |  |  |  |
| Satisfaction with Choices of handsets | Between Groups | 23.289 | 3 | 7.763 | 8.926 | . 000 |
|  | Within Groups | 756.671 | 870 | . 870 |  |  |
|  | Total | 779.960 | 873 |  |  |  |
| Satisfaction with | Between |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discounted price handsets with | Groups | 23.547 | 3 | 7.849 | 8.566 | . 000 |
|  | Within Groups | 797.186 | 870 | . 916 |  |  |
|  | Total | 820.732 | 873 |  |  |  |
| Satisfaction with Rate plan | Between Groups | 1.844 | 3 | . 615 | . 691 | . 557 |
|  | Within Groups | 773.693 | 870 | . 889 |  |  |
|  | Total | 775.538 | 873 |  |  |  |

### 10.11.Intention to Subscriber, Intention to Recommend, Value for Money

## Oneway

Descriptives

|  |  | N | Mean | Std. Deviatio n | Std. <br> Error | 95\% Confidence Interval for Mean |  | Mini mum | Maxi mum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Lower Bound | Upper Bound |  |  |
| Intention to subscribe | Low price package (888TWD) | 428 | 3.2266 | 1.04132 | . 05033 | 3.1277 | 3.3256 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
|  | High price package <br> (1888TWD) | 445 | 2.7933 | 1.18643 | . 05624 | 2.6827 | 2.9038 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
|  | Total | 873 | 3.0057 | 1.13785 | . 03851 | 2.9301 | 3.0813 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| Intention to recommend | Low price package (888TWD) | 428 | 3.3949 | . 76558 | . 03701 | 3.3221 | 3.4676 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
|  | package <br> (1888TWD) | 445 | 3.1933 | . 82388 | . 03906 | 3.1165 | 3.2700 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
|  | Total | 873 | 3.2921 | . 80174 | . 02713 | 3.2388 | 3.3454 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| Value for money | Low price package (888TWD) | 428 | 3.1799 | . 89383 | . 04321 | 3.0950 | 3.2648 | 1.00 | 5.00 |


| High price <br> package <br> (1888TWD) | 445 | 2.7079 | .95155 | .04511 | 2.6192 | 2.7965 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

ANOVA

|  |  | Sum of <br> Squares | df | Mean <br> Square | F | Sig. |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intention to subscribe | Between Groups | 40.975 | 1 | 40.975 | 32.803 | .000 |
|  | Within Groups | 1087.996 | 871 | 1.249 |  |  |
|  | Total | 1128.971 | 872 |  |  |  |
| Intention to recommend | Between Groups | 8.867 | 1 | 8.867 | 14.000 | .000 |
|  | Within Groups | 551.648 | 871 | .633 |  |  |
| Value for money | Total | 560.515 | 872 |  |  |  |
|  | Between Groups | 48.613 | 1 | 48.613 | 56.974 | .000 |
|  | Within Groups | 743.170 | 871 | .853 |  |  |
|  | Total | 791.782 | 872 |  |  |  |

### 10.12. When to Subscribe

## Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

|  | Cases |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Valid |  | Missing |  | Total |  |
|  | N | Percent | N | Percent | N | Percent |
| When to subscribe * 4G LTE Package | 873 | 99.9\% | 1 | .1\% | 874 | 100.0\% |

When to subscribe * 4G LTE Package Crosstabulation


|  | Expected Count | 219.6 | 228.4 | 448.0 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | \% within 4G LTE Package | $46.7 \%$ | $55.7 \%$ | $51.3 \%$ |
|  | Count | 428 | 445 | 873 |
|  | Expected Count | 428.0 | 445.0 | 873.0 |
|  | \% within 4G LTE Package | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

## Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $8.081(\mathrm{a})$ | 3 | .044 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 8.094 | 3 | .044 |
| Linear-by-Linear | 3.800 |  | 1 |

a 0 cells $(.0 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 45.59 .

### 10.13.4G LTE Preferable Carriers

## Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

|  | Cases |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Valid |  | Missing |  | Total |  |
|  | N |  | Percent | N | Percent | N |
| 4G LTE preferable carrier * <br> 4G LTE Package | 874 | $100.0 \%$ |  | 0 | $.0 \%$ | 874 |

4G LTE preferable carrier * 4G LTE Package Crosstabulation

|  |  |  | 4G LTE Package |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Low price package (888TWD) | High price package (1888TWD) |  |
| 4G LTE preferable carrier | CHT | Count | 297 | 311 | 608 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 298.4 | 309.6 | 608.0 |
|  |  | \% within 4G LTE Package | 69.2\% | 69.9\% | 69.6\% |
|  | TWM | Count | 93 | 81 | 174 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 85.4 | 88.6 | 174.0 |
|  |  | \% within 4G LTE Package | 21.7\% | 18.2\% | 19.9\% |
|  | FET | Count | 28 | 41 | 69 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 33.9 | 35.1 | 69.0 |
|  |  | \% within 4G LTE Package | 6.5\% | 9.2\% | 7.9\% |
|  | Vibo | Count | 6 | 7 | 13 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 6.4 | 6.6 | 13.0 |


|  |  | \% within 4G LTE Package | 1.4\% | 1.6\% | 1.5\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | APPW | Count | 3 | 2 | 5 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 2.5 | 2.5 | 5.0 |
|  |  | \% within 4G LTE Package | .7\% | .4\% | .6\% |
|  | Global Mobile | Count | 1 | 1 | 2 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 1.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 |
|  |  | \% within 4G LTE Package | .2\% | .2\% | .2\% |
|  | WiMax FET | Count | 0 | 2 | 2 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 1.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 |
|  |  | \% within 4G LTE Package | .0\% | .4\% | .2\% |
|  | Other | Count | 1 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  | Expected Count | . 5 | . 5 | 1.0 |
|  |  | \% within 4G LTE Package | .2\% | .0\% | .1\% |
| Total |  | Count | 429 | 445 | 874 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 429.0 | 445.0 | 874.0 |
|  |  | \% within 4G LTE Package | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

## Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $6.585(\mathrm{a})$ | 7 | .473 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 7.759 | 7 | .354 |
| Linear-by-Linear | .599 |  | 1 |

a 8 cells $(50.0 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is .49 .

### 10.14.Substitute to 4G LTE Carriers

## Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

|  | Cases |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Valid |  | Missing |  | Total |  |
|  | N | Percent | N | Percent | N | Percent |
|  | 874 | $100.0 \%$ | 0 | $.0 \%$ | 874 | $100.0 \%$ |

Substitute to 4G LTE * 4G LTE Package Crosstabulation


| Substitute to 4G LTE | CHT | Count | 256 | 275 | 531 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Expected Count | 260.6 | 270.4 | 531.0 |
|  |  | \% within 4G LTE Package | 59.7\% | 61.8\% | 60.8\% |
|  | TWM | Count | 107 | 94 | 201 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 98.7 | 102.3 | 201.0 |
|  |  | \% within 4G LTE Package | 24.9\% | 21.1\% | 23.0\% |
|  | FET | Count | 37 | 45 | 82 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 40.2 | 41.8 | 82.0 |
|  |  | \% within 4G LTE Package | 8.6\% | 10.1\% | 9.4\% |
|  | Vibo | Count | 9 | 8 | 17 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 8.3 | 8.7 | 17.0 |
|  |  | \% within 4G LTE Package | 2.1\% | 1.8\% | 1.9\% |
|  | APPW | Count | 6 | 5 | 11 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 5.4 | 5.6 | 11.0 |
|  |  | \% within 4G LTE Package | 1.4\% | 1.1\% | 1.3\% |
|  | Global Mobile | Count | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  | Expected Count | . 5 | . 5 | 1.0 |
|  |  | \% within 4G LTE Package | .0\% | .2\% | .1\% |
|  | VMAX Telecom | Count | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  | Expected Count | . 5 | . 5 | 1.0 |
|  |  | \% within 4G LTE Package | . $0 \%$ | .2\% | .1\% |
|  | WiMax FET | Count | 0 | 3 | 3 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 1.5 | 1.5 | 3.0 |
|  |  | \% within 4G LTE Package | .0\% | .7\% | . $3 \%$ |
|  | First International | Count | 1 | 0 | 1 |
|  | Telecom | Expected Count | . 5 | . 5 | 1.0 |
|  |  | \% within 4G LTE Package | .2\% | .0\% | .1\% |
|  | ADSL - HiNET | Count | 10 | 12 | 22 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 10.8 | 11.2 | 22.0 |
|  |  | \% within 4G LTE Package | 2.3\% | 2.7\% | 2.5\% |
|  | Kbro Cable TV | Count | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  | Expected Count | . 5 | . 5 | 1.0 |
|  |  | \% within 4G LTE Package | .0\% | .2\% | .1\% |
|  | Other | Count | 3 | 0 | 3 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 1.5 | 1.5 | 3.0 |
|  |  | \% within 4G LTE Package | .7\% | .0\% | . $3 \%$ |
| Total |  | Count | 429 | 445 | 874 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 429.0 | 445.0 | 874.0 |
|  |  | \% within 4G LTE Package | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $12.344(\mathrm{a})$ | 11 | .338 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 16.205 | 11 | .134 |
| Linear-by-Linear | 2.131 | 1 | .144 |


| Association <br> N of Valid Cases | 874 |
| :--- | :--- |$|$

a 12 cells (50.0\%) have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is .49

### 10.15.Preferable 4G LTE Carriers and Substitutes

## Frequencies

## Statistics

|  |  | 4G LTE <br> preferable <br> carrier | Substitute to <br> 4G LTE |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| N | Valid | 874 | 874 |
|  | Missing | 0 | 0 |

## Frequency Table

4G LTE preferable carrier

|  |  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | CHT | 608 | 69.6 | 69.6 | 69.6 |
|  | TWM | 174 | 19.9 | 19.9 | 89.5 |
|  | FET | 69 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 97.4 |
|  | Vibo | 13 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 98.9 |
|  | APPW | 5 | .6 | .6 | 99.4 |
|  | Global Mobile | 2 | .2 | .2 | 99.7 |
|  | WiMax FET | 2 | .2 | .2 | 99.9 |
|  | Other | 1 | .1 | .1 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 874 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Substitute to 4G LTE

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | CHT | 531 | 60.8 | 60.8 | 60.8 |
|  | TWM | 201 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 83.8 |
|  | FET | 82 | 9.4 | 9.4 | 93.1 |
|  | Vibo | 17 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 95.1 |
|  | APPW | 11 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 96.3 |
|  | Global Mobile | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | 96.5 |
|  | VMAX Telecom | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | 96.6 |
|  | WiMax FET | 3 | . 3 | . 3 | 96.9 |
|  | First International Telecom | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | 97.0 |


| ADSL - HiNET | 22 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 99.5 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Kbro Cable TV | 1 | .1 | .1 | 99.7 |
| Other | 3 | .3 | .3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 874 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

### 10.16. Importance of 4G LTE Carrier Features

## Oneway

Descriptives

|  |  | N | Mean | Std. Deviat ion | Std. <br> Error | $95 \%$ <br> Confidence Interval for Mean |  | Mini mum | Maxi mum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Lower Bound | Upper Bound |  |  |
| Importance of Network coverage | Low price package (888TWD) | 428 | 4.65 | . 621 | . 030 | 4.60 | 4.71 | 1 | 5 |
|  | High price package (1888TWD) Total | 445 873 | 4.67 4.66 | .610 .615 | .029 .021 | 4.61 4.62 | 4.72 4.70 | 2 1 | 5 5 |
| Importance of Voice call quality | Low price package (888TWD) | 428 | 4.30 | . 833 | . 040 | 4.22 | 4.38 | 1 | 5 |
|  | High price package (1888TWD) Total | 445 873 | 4.37 4.33 | .900 .868 | .043 .029 | 4.28 4.28 | 4.45 4.39 | 1 1 | 5 5 |
| Importance of Internet service quality and speed | Low price package (888TWD) | 428 | 4.34 | . 930 | . 045 | 4.25 | 4.42 | 1 | 5 |
|  | High price package (1888TWD) Total | 445 | 4.36 | . 953 | . 045 | 4.28 | 4.45 | 1 | 5 |
|  |  | 873 | 4.35 | . 941 | . 032 | 4.29 | 4.41 | 1 | 5 |
| Importance of Video call quality | Low price package (888TWD) | 428 | 2.83 | 1.194 | . 058 | 2.71 | 2.94 | 1 | 5 |
|  | High price package (1888TWD) Total | 445 873 | 2.78 2.80 | 1.263 1.229 | .060 .042 | 2.66 2.72 | 2.90 2.89 | 1 1 | 5 5 |
| Importance of Reliability of SMS \& MMS | Low price package (888TWD) | 428 | 3.70 | 1.056 | . 051 | 3.60 | 3.80 | 1 | 5 |
|  | High price package (1888TWD) Total | 445 873 | 3.85 3.78 | 1.033 1.047 | .049 .035 | 3.76 3.71 | 3.95 3.85 | 1 1 | 5 5 |
| Importance of Customer service and support | Low price package (888TWD) | 428 | 3.51 | 1.059 | . 051 | 3.41 | 3.61 | 1 | 5 |


| Importance of Value-added services | High price package (1888TWD) Total | 445 873 | 3.63 3.57 | 1.076 1.069 | .051 .036 | 3.53 3.50 | 3.73 3.64 | 1 | 5 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low price package (888TWD) | 428 | 2.55 | 1.071 | . 052 | 2.45 | 2.65 | 1 | 5 |
|  | High price package (1888TWD) Total | 445 873 | 2.57 2.56 | 1.146 1.109 | .054 .038 | 2.46 2.49 | 2.68 2.63 | 1 1 | 5 5 |
| Importance of Customer loyalty program | Low price package (888TWD) | 428 | 3.08 | 1.184 | . 057 | 2.97 | 3.19 | 1 | 5 |
|  | High price package (1888TWD) Total | 445 873 | 3.12 3.10 | 1.116 1.149 | .053 .039 | 3.02 3.03 | 3.23 3.18 | 1 1 | 5 5 |
| Importance of Choices of handsets | Low price package (888TWD) | 428 | 3.83 | . 976 | . 047 | 3.74 | 3.92 | 1 | 5 |
|  | High price package (1888TWD) Total | 445 873 | 3.96 3.89 | .948 .963 | .045 .033 | 3.87 3.83 | 4.04 3.96 | 1 | 5 5 |
| Importance of Discounted price handsets with | Low price package (888TWD) | 428 | 3.87 | 1.027 | . 050 | 3.77 | 3.97 | 1 | 5 |
| Importance of Rate plan | High price package (1888TWD) Total | 445 873 | 3.97 3.92 | .967 .997 | .046 .034 | 3.88 3.85 | 4.06 3.99 | 1 | 5 5 |
|  | Low price package (888TWD) | 428 | 4.37 | . 807 | . 039 | 4.29 | 4.45 | 1 | 5 |
|  | High price package (1888TWD) Total | 445 873 | 4.45 4.41 | .763 .785 | .036 .027 | 4.38 4.36 | 4.52 4.46 | 1 | 5 5 |

ANOVA

|  |  | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Importance of Network coverage | Between Groups | . 026 | 1 | . 026 | . 069 | . 793 |
|  | Within Groups | 329.933 | 871 | . 379 |  |  |
|  | Total | 329.959 | 872 |  |  |  |
| Importance of Voice call quality | Between Groups | . 919 | 1 | . 919 | 1.221 | . 270 |
|  | Within Groups | 655.414 | 871 | . 752 |  |  |
|  | Total | 656.332 | 872 |  |  |  |
| Importance of Internet service quality and speed | Between |  |  |  | . 187 | . 665 |
|  | Groups | . 166 | 1 | . 166 |  |  |
|  | Within Groups | 772.576 | 871 | . 887 |  |  |


|  | Total | 772.742 | 872 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Importance of Video call quality | Between Groups | . 443 | 1 | . 443 | . 293 | . 588 |
|  | Within Groups | 1317.062 | 871 | 1.512 |  |  |
|  | Total | 1317.505 | 872 |  |  |  |
| Importance of Reliability of SMS \& MMS | Between |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Groups | 5.113 | 1 | 5.113 | 4.686 | . 031 |
|  | Within Groups | 950.330 | 871 | 1.091 |  |  |
|  | Total | 955.443 | 872 |  |  |  |
| Importance of Customer service and support | Between |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Groups | 3.139 | 1 | 3.139 | 2.753 | . 097 |
|  | Within Groups | 993.055 | 871 | 1.140 |  |  |
|  | Total | 996.195 | 872 |  |  |  |
| Importance of Valueadded services | Between Groups | . 082 | 1 | . 082 | . 067 | . 796 |
|  | Within Groups | 1072.889 | 871 | 1.232 |  |  |
|  | Total | 1072.971 | 872 |  |  |  |
| Importance of Customer loyalty program | Between |  |  |  | . 258 | . 611 |
|  | Groups | . 342 | 1 | . 342 |  |  |
|  | Within Groups | 1151.585 | 871 | 1.322 |  |  |
|  | Total | 1151.927 | 872 |  |  |  |
| Importance of Choices of handsets | Between Groups | 3.443 | 1 | 3.443 | 3.722 | . 054 |
|  | Within Groups | 805.650 | 871 | . 925 |  |  |
|  | Total | 809.093 | 872 |  |  |  |
| Importance of Discounted price handsets with contract | Between |  |  |  | 2.068 |  |
|  | Groups | 2.055 | 1 | 2.055 |  | . 151 |
|  | Within Groups | 865.492 | 871 | . 994 |  |  |
|  | Total | 867.546 | 872 |  |  |  |
| Importance of Rate plan | Between Groups | 1.403 | 1 | 1.403 | 2.279 | . 131 |
|  | Within Groups | 536.143 | 871 | . 616 |  |  |
|  | Total | 537.546 | 872 |  |  |  |

## Descriptives

## Descriptive Statistics

|  | N | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std. Deviation |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Importance of Network <br> coverage <br> Importance of Voice call <br> quality <br> Importance of Internet <br> service quality and speed <br> Importance of Video call <br> quality | 873 | 1 | 5 | 4.66 | .615 |


| Importance of Reliability of <br> SMS \& MMS | 873 | 1 | 5 | 3.78 | 1.047 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Importance of Customer <br> service and support | 873 | 1 | 5 | 3.57 | 1.069 |
| Importance of Value-added <br> services | 873 | 1 | 5 | 2.56 | 1.109 |
| Importance of Customer <br> loyalty program | 873 | 1 | 5 | 3.10 | 1.149 |
| Importance of Choices of <br> handsets | 873 | 1 | 5 | 3.89 | .963 |
| Importance of Discounted <br> price handsets with <br> contract | 873 | 1 | 5 | 3.92 | .997 |
| Importance of Rate plan <br> Valid N (listwise) | 873 | 1 | 5 | 4.41 | .785 |

### 10.17.Liking Score of 4G LTE in Different Rate Plans

## Oneway

Descriptives

|  |  | N | Mean | Std. Deviati on | Std. <br> Error | 95\% Co Interval | fidence <br> or Mean | Minim um | Maxim um |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Lower Bound | Upper Bound |  |  |
| Liking score - | Low price |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobility and convenient | package (888TWD) | 428 | 3.91 | . 862 | . 042 | 3.83 | 3.99 | 1 | 5 |
|  | High price |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | package <br> (1888TWD) | 445 | 3.97 | . 893 | . 042 | 3.89 | 4.06 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Total | 873 | 3.94 | . 878 | . 030 | 3.88 | 4.00 | 1 | 5 |
| Liking score - | Low price |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Faster internet speed | package (888TWD) | 428 | 4.24 | . 939 | . 045 | 4.15 | 4.33 | 1 | 5 |
|  | High price |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | package <br> (1888TWD) | 445 | 4.32 | . 889 | . 042 | 4.24 | 4.40 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Total | 873 | 4.28 | . 914 | . 031 | 4.22 | 4.34 | 1 | 5 |
| Liking score High quality video call | Low price |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | package (888TWD) | 428 | 3.00 | 1.052 | . 051 | 2.90 | 3.10 | 1 | 5 |
|  | High price |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | package | 445 | 3.01 | 1.112 | . 053 | 2.90 | 3.11 | 1 | 5 |
|  | (1888TWD) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 873 | 3.00 | 1.083 | . 037 | 2.93 | 3.08 | 1 | 5 |
| Liking score High quality voice call | Low price |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 5 |
|  | package | 428 | 3.50 | 1.002 | . 048 | 3.41 | 3.60 |  |  |
|  | (888TWD) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | package | 445 | 3.62 | 1.021 | . 048 | 3.53 | 3.72 | 1 | 5 |


|  | Total | 873 | 3.56 | 1.013 | . 034 | 3.50 | 3.63 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Liking score Monthly rate plan | Low price package (888TWD) | 428 | 2.61 | 1.234 | . 060 | 2.49 | 2.72 | 1 | 5 |
|  | High price package (1888TWD) | 445 | 2.06 | 1.222 | . 058 | 1.94 | 2.17 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Total | 873 | 2.33 | 1.257 | . 043 | 2.24 | 2.41 | 1 | 5 |

ANOVA

|  |  | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Liking score - Mobility and convenient | Between Groups | . 898 | 1 | . 898 | 1.165 | . 281 |
|  | Within Groups | 671.123 | 871 | . 771 |  |  |
|  | Total | 672.021 | 872 |  |  |  |
| Liking score - Faster internet speed | Between Groups | 1.340 | 1 | 1.340 | 1.603 | . 206 |
|  | Within Groups | 727.776 | 871 | . 836 |  |  |
|  | Total | 729.116 | 872 |  |  |  |
| Liking score - High quality video call | Between Groups | . 004 | 1 | . 004 | . 004 | . 952 |
|  | Within Groups Total | 1021.977 | 871 | 1.173 |  |  |
|  | Total | 1021.982 | 872 |  |  |  |
| Liking score - High quality voice call | Between Groups | 3.032 | 1 | 3.032 | 2.961 | . 086 |
|  | Within Groups | 891.816 | 871 | 1.024 |  |  |
|  | Total | 894.848 | 872 |  |  |  |
| Liking score - Monthly rate plan | Between Groups | 65.209 | 1 | 65.209 | 43.266 | . 000 |
|  | Within Groups | 1312.750 | 871 | 1.507 |  |  |
|  | Total | 1377.959 | 872 |  |  |  |

### 10.18.Liking Score of 4G LTE Comparing Between People Who Intend to Subscribe and Who Don't Intend to Subscribe

## Oneway

Descriptives

|  |  | N | Mean | Std. Deviatio n | Std. Error | $95 \%$ <br> Confidence Interval for Mean |  | Mini mum | Max <br> imu m |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Lower Bound | Upper Bound |  |  |
| Liking score Mobility and | Would not subscribe | 243 | 3.71 | . 844 | . 054 | 3.60 | 3.81 | 1 | 5 |


| convenient |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Would subscribe | 185 | 4.17 | . 816 | . 060 | 4.05 | 4.29 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Total | 428 | 3.91 | . 862 | . 042 | 3.83 | 3.99 | 1 | 5 |
| Liking score Faster internet speed | Would not |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | subscribe | 243 | 3.98 | . 979 | . 063 | 3.86 | 4.11 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Would subscribe | 185 | 4.58 | . 762 | . 056 | 4.47 | 4.69 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Total | 428 | 4.24 | . 939 | . 045 | 4.15 | 4.33 | 1 | 5 |
| Liking score - High quality video call | Would not subscribe | 243 | 2.84 | . 955 | . 061 | 2.72 | 2.96 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Would subscribe | 185 | 3.22 | 1.136 | . 084 | 3.05 | 3.38 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Total | 428 | 3.00 | 1.052 | . 051 | 2.90 | 3.10 | 1 | 5 |
| Liking score - High quality voice call | Would not subscribe | 243 | 3.30 | . 964 | . 062 | 3.18 | 3.42 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Would subscribe | 185 | 3.77 | . 992 | . 073 | 3.62 | 3.91 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Total | 428 | 3.50 | 1.002 | . 048 | 3.41 | 3.60 | 1 | 5 |
| Liking score Monthly rate plan | Would not subscribe | 243 | 2.14 | 1.042 | . 067 | 2.00 | 2.27 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Would subscribe | 185 | 3.22 | 1.198 | . 088 | 3.05 | 3.40 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Total | 428 | 2.61 | 1.234 | . 060 | 2.49 | 2.72 | 1 | 5 |

## ANOVA

|  |  | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Liking score - Mobility and convenient | Between Groups | 22.726 | 1 | 22.726 | 32.849 | . 000 |
|  | Within Groups | 294.720 | 426 | . 692 |  |  |
|  | Total | 317.446 | 427 |  |  |  |
| Liking score - Faster internet speed | Between Groups | $37.843$ | 1 | 37.843 | 47.572 | . 000 |
|  | Within Groups | 338.886 | 426 | . 796 |  |  |
|  | Total | 376.729 | 427 |  |  |  |
| Liking score - High quality video call | Between Groups | 14.906 | 1 |  | 13.861 | . 000 |
|  | Within Groups <br> Total | 458.092 | 426 | $1.075$ |  |  |
|  |  | 472.998 | 427 |  |  |  |
| Liking score - High quality voice call | Between Groups | 22.922 | 1 |  | 24.047 | . 000 |
|  | Within Groups | $\begin{aligned} & 406.075 \\ & 428.998 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 426 \\ & 427 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { . } 953 .$ |  |  |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| Liking score - Monthly rate plan | Between Groups | 123.837 | 1 | 123.837 | 100.211 | . 000 |
|  | Within Groups | 526.432 | 426 | 1.236 |  |  |
|  | Total | 650.269 | 427 |  |  |  |

## Oneway

## Descriptives

|  |  | N | Mean | Std. Deviat ion | Std. Error | Interval for Mean |  | Mini mum | Maxi mum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Lower <br> Bound | Upper Bound |  |  |
| Liking score Mobility and convenient | Would not subscribe | 318 | 3.83 | . 887 | . 050 | 3.73 | 3.92 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Would subscribe | 127 | 4.34 | . 799 | . 071 | 4.20 | 4.48 | 2 | 5 |
|  | Total | 445 | 3.97 | . 893 | . 042 | 3.89 | 4.06 | 1 | 5 |
| Liking score Faster internet speed | Would not subscribe | 318 | 4.16 | . 942 | . 053 | 4.06 | 4.27 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Would subscribe | 127 | 4.72 | . 576 | . 051 | 4.62 | 4.82 | 3 | 5 |
|  | Total | 445 | 4.32 | . 889 | . 042 | 4.24 | 4.40 | 1 | 5 |
| Liking score High quality video call | Would not subscribe | 318 | 2.86 | 1.058 | . 059 | 2.74 | 2.98 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Would subscribe Total | 127 | 3.38 | 1.161 | . 103 | 3.17 | 3.58 | 1 | 5 |
|  |  | 445 | 3.01 | 1.112 | . 053 | 2.90 | 3.11 | 1 | 5 |
| Liking score High quality voice call | Would not subscribe | 318 | 3.46 | 1.009 | . 057 | 3.34 | 3.57 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Would subscribe | 127 | 4.03 | . 934 | . 083 | 3.87 | 4.20 | 2 | 5 |
|  | Total | 445 | 3.62 | 1.021 | . 048 | 3.53 | 3.72 | 1 | 5 |
| Liking score Monthly rate plan | Would not subscribe | 318 | 1.67 | . 923 | . 052 | 1.57 | 1.77 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Would subscribe | 127 | 3.02 | 1.342 | . 119 | 2.79 | 3.26 | 1 | 5 |
|  |  | 445 | 2.06 | 1.222 | . 058 | 1.94 | 2.17 | 1 | 5 |

ANOVA

|  |  | Sum of <br> Squares | df | Mean <br> Square | F | Sig. |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Liking score - Mobility | Between Groups | 23.748 | 1 | 23.748 | 31.887 | .000 |
| and convenient | Within Groups | 329.928 | 443 | .745 |  |  |
|  | Total | 353.676 | 444 |  |  |  |
| Liking score - Faster | Between Groups | 27.755 | 1 | 27.755 | 38.032 | .000 |
| internet speed | Within Groups | 323.292 | 443 | .730 |  |  |
|  | Total | 351.047 | 444 |  |  |  |
| Liking score - High | Between Groups | 24.489 | 1 | 24.489 | 20.684 | .000 |
| quality video call | Within Groups | 524.490 | 443 | 1.184 |  |  |


|  | Total | 548.980 | 444 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Liking score - High | Between Groups | 30.060 | 1 | 30.060 | 30.772 | .000 |
| quality voice call | Within Groups | 432.758 | 443 | .977 |  |  |
|  | Total | 462.818 | 444 |  |  |  |
| Liking score - Monthly | Between Groups | 165.564 | 1 | 165.56 | 147.600 | .000 |
| rate plan | Within Groups | 496.917 | 443 | 1.122 |  |  |
|  | Total | 662.481 | 444 |  |  |  |

### 10.19. Carrier Switching in 4G LTE

## Case Processing Summary

|  | Cases |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Valid |  | Missing |  | Total |  |
|  | N |  | Percent | N | Percent | N |
| Current mobile-phone <br> carrier * 4G LTE <br> preferable carrier | 874 | $100.0 \%$ | 0 | $.0 \%$ | 874 | $100.0 \%$ |

Current mobile-phone carrier * 4G LTE preferable carrier Crosstabulation


| Total | PHS <br> 7-11 <br> Mobil <br> e | Count | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \% within Current mobile-phone carrier | $\begin{array}{r} 100.0 \\ \% \end{array}$ | .0\% | .0\% | .0\% | .0\% | .0\% | .0\% | .0\% | 100.0 $\%$ |
|  |  | Count | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
|  |  | \% within Current mobile-phone carrier | 80.0\% | $\begin{array}{r} 20.0 \\ \% \end{array}$ | .0\% | .0\% | .0\% | .0\% | .0\% | .0\% | 100.0 $\%$ |
|  |  | Count | 608 | 174 | 69 | 13 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 874 |
|  |  | \% within Current mobile-phone carrier | 69.6\% | $\begin{array}{r} 19.9 \\ \% \end{array}$ | 7.9\% | $\begin{gathered} 1.5 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | .6\% | .2\% | .2\% | .1\% | 100.0 $\%$ |

## Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $1110.184($ | 42 | .000 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 697.480 | 42 | .000 |
| Linear-by-Linear | 6.723 |  | 1 |

a 45 cells ( $80.4 \%$ ) have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is .00 .

### 10.20.Carrier Switching and Importance of Basic Services

## Oneway

## Descriptives



| Importance of SMS | Not switch carrier | 695 | 4.06 | .925 | .035 | 4.00 | 4.13 | 1 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Switch carrier | 178 | 4.04 | 1.019 | .076 | 3.89 | 4.20 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Total | 873 | 4.06 | .944 | .032 | 4.00 | 4.12 | 1 | 5 |
| Importance of MMS | Not switch carrier | 695 | 2.10 | 1.134 | .043 | 2.02 | 2.19 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Switch carrier | 178 | 2.06 | 1.158 | .087 | 1.88 | 2.23 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Total | 873 | 2.09 | 1.139 | .039 | 2.02 | 2.17 | 1 | 5 |

ANOVA

|  |  | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Importance of Voice call | Between Groups | 1.314 | 1 | 1.314 | 1.411 | . 235 |
|  | Within Groups | 811.325 | 871 | . 931 |  |  |
|  | Total | 812.639 | 872 |  |  |  |
| Importance of Mobile internet service | Between |  |  |  |  | . 859 |
|  | Groups | . 053 | 1 | . 053 | . 032 |  |
|  | Within Groups | 1462.595 | 871 | 1.679 |  |  |
|  | Total | 1462.648 | 872 |  |  |  |
| Importance of Video call | Between Groups | . 556 | 1 | . 556 | . 512 | . 475 |
|  | Within Groups | 945.362 | 871 | 1.085 |  |  |
|  | Total | 945.918 | 872 |  |  |  |
| Importance of SMS | Between Groups | . 056 | 1 | . 056 | . 062 | . 803 |
|  | Within Groups | 777.727 | 871 | . 893 |  |  |
|  | Total | 777.782 | 872 |  |  |  |
| Importance of MMS | Between | . 281 | 1 | . 281 | . 217 | . 642 |
|  | Groups Within Groups | 1130.388 | 871 | 1.298 |  |  |
|  | Total | 1130.669 | 872 |  |  |  |

### 10.21.Carrier Switching and Importance of Carier Features

## Oneway

Descriptives

|  |  |  |  | Std. <br> Deviati <br> on | Std. <br> Error | 95\% Confidence <br> Interval for <br> Mean | Mini <br> mum | Maxi <br> mum |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Lower <br> Bound | Upper <br> Bound |  |  |
| Importance of | Not switch | 696 | 4.73 | .583 | .022 | 4.68 | 4.77 | 1 | 5 |
| Network coverage | carrier | Switch carrier | 178 | 4.63 | .626 | .047 | 4.54 | 4.73 | 2 |
|  | Total | 874 | 4.71 | .593 | .020 | 4.67 | 4.75 | 1 | 5 |
| Importance of | Not switch | 696 | 4.51 | .827 | .031 | 4.45 | 4.58 | 1 | 5 |
| Voice call quality | carrier | Switch carrier | 178 | 4.51 | .783 | .059 | 4.40 | 4.63 | 1 |


| Importance of Internet service quality and speed | Total | 874 | 4.51 | . 818 | . 028 | 4.46 | 4.57 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Not switch carrier | 696 | 4.32 | 1.048 | . 040 | 4.25 | 4.40 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Switch carrier | 178 | 4.30 | 1.057 | . 079 | 4.15 | 4.46 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Total | 874 | 4.32 | 1.049 | . 035 | 4.25 | 4.39 | 1 | 5 |
| Importance of Video call quality | Not switch carrier | 696 | 2.60 | 1.307 | . 050 | 2.50 | 2.70 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Switch carrier | 178 | 2.53 | 1.324 | . 099 | 2.34 | 2.73 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Total | 874 | 2.59 | 1.310 | . 044 | 2.50 | 2.67 | 1 | 5 |
| Importance of Reliability of SMS \& MMS | Not switch carrier | 696 | 3.93 | 1.077 | . 041 | 3.85 | 4.01 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Switch carrier | 178 | 3.91 | 1.166 | . 087 | 3.74 | 4.08 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Total | 874 | 3.93 | 1.095 | . 037 | 3.86 | 4.00 | 1 | 5 |
| Importance of Customer service and support | Not switch carrier | 696 | 3.87 | 1.003 | . 038 | 3.79 | 3.94 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Switch carrier | 178 | 3.71 | 1.175 | . 088 | 3.54 | 3.89 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Total | 874 | 3.84 | 1.041 | . 035 | 3.77 | 3.91 | 1 | 5 |
| Importance of Value-added services | Not switch carrier | 696 | 2.32 | 1.110 | . 042 | 2.24 | 2.41 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Switch carrier | 178 | 2.16 | 1.053 | . 079 | 2.01 | 2.32 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Total | 874 | 2.29 | 1.100 | . 037 | 2.22 | 2.36 | 1 | 5 |
| Importance of Customer loyalty program | Not switch carrier | 696 | 3.21 | 1.223 | . 046 | 3.12 | 3.31 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Switch carrier | 178 | 3.03 | 1.239 | . 093 | 2.85 | 3.22 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Total | 874 | 3.18 | 1.228 | . 042 | 3.10 | 3.26 | 1 | 5 |
| Importance of Choices of handsets | Not switch carrier | 696 | 4.03 | 1.000 | . 038 | 3.96 | 4.11 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Switch carrier | 178 | 4.02 | . 914 | . 069 | 3.89 | 4.16 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Total | 874 | 4.03 | . 983 | . 033 | 3.97 | 4.10 | 1 | 5 |
| Importance of Discounted price handsets with contract | Not switch carrier | 696 | 4.03 | 1.029 | . 039 | 3.96 | 4.11 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Switch carrier | 178 | 4.10 | 1.029 | . 077 | 3.94 | 4.25 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Total | 874 | 4.04 | 1.028 | . 035 | 3.98 | 4.11 | 1 | 5 |
| Importance of Rate plan | Not switch carrier | 696 | 4.58 | . 676 | . 026 | 4.53 | 4.63 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Switch carrier | 178 | 4.54 | . 745 | . 056 | 4.43 | 4.65 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Total | 874 | 4.57 | . 690 | . 023 | 4.53 | 4.62 | 1 | 5 |

ANOVA

|  |  | Sum of <br> Squares | df | Mean <br> Square | F | Sig. |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Importance of <br> Network coverage | Between <br> Groups | 1.167 |  | 1 | 1.167 | 3.328 |
| .068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Importance of Voice call quality | Within Groups Total | $\begin{aligned} & 305.849 \\ & 307.016 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 872 \\ & 873 \end{aligned}$ | . 351 | . 002 | . 964 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Between Groups | . 001 | 1 | . 001 |  |  |
|  | Within Groups | 584.334 | 872 | . 670 |  |  |
|  | Total | 584.335 | 873 |  |  |  |
| Importance of Internet service quality and speed | Between Groups | . 065 | 1 | . 065 | . 059 | . 809 |
|  | Within Groups | 960.233 | 872 | 1.101 |  |  |
|  | Total | 960.297 | 873 |  |  |  |
| Importance of Video call quality | Between Groups | . 634 | 1 | . 634 | . 369 | . 544 |
|  | Within Groups | 1497.258 | 872 | 1.717 |  |  |
|  | Total | 1497.891 | 873 |  |  |  |
| Importance of Reliability of SMS \& MMS | Between |  |  |  | . 059 | . 808 |
|  | Groups | . 071 | 1 | . 071 |  |  |
|  | Within Groups | 1046.388 | 872 | 1.200 |  |  |
|  | Total | 1046.459 | 873 |  |  |  |
| Importance of Customer service and support | Between |  |  |  | 3.179 | . 075 |
|  | Groups | 3.439 | 1 | 3.439 |  |  |
|  | Within Groups | 943.490 | 872 | 1.082 |  |  |
|  | Total | 946.929 | 873 |  |  |  |
| Importance of Valueadded services | Between Groups | 3.645 | 1 | 3.645 | 3.020 | . 083 |
|  | Within Groups | 1052.538 | 872 | 1.207 |  |  |
|  | Total | 1056.183 | 873 |  |  |  |
| Importance of Customer loyalty program | Between |  |  |  | 3.068 | . 080 |
|  | Groups | 4.612 | 1 | 4.612 |  |  |
|  | Within Groups | 1310.900 | 872 | 1.503 |  |  |
|  | Total | 1315.511 | 873 |  |  |  |
| Importance of Choices of handsets | Between Groups | . 020 | 1 | . 020 | . 021 | . 884 |
|  | Within Groups | 843.083 | 872 | . 967 |  |  |
|  | Total | 843.103 | 873 |  |  |  |
| Importance of Discounted price handsets with contract | Between |  |  |  | . 547 | . 460 |
|  | Groups | . 579 | 1 | . 579 |  |  |
|  | Within Groups | 922.681 | 872 | 1.058 |  |  |
|  | Total | 923.260 | 873 |  |  |  |
| Importance of Rate plan | Between Groups | . 240 | 1 | . 240 | . 503 | . 478 |
|  | Within Groups | 415.719 | 872 | . 477 |  |  |
|  | Total | 415.959 | 873 |  |  |  |

### 10.22. Carrier Switching and Willingness to Pay More

## Oneway

Descriptives

|  |  | N | Mean | Std. Deviati on | Std. <br> Error | $95 \%$ <br> Confidence Interval for Mean |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mini } \\ \mathrm{mu} \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Max } \\ \text { imu } \\ \mathrm{m} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Lower Bound | Upper Bound |  |  |
| Willingness to pay more for Network coverage | Not switch carrier | 696 | 3.47 | 1.199 | . 045 | 3.38 | 3.56 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Switch carrier | 178 | 3.47 | 1.245 | . 093 | 3.29 | 3.66 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Total | 874 | 3.47 | 1.208 | . 041 | 3.39 | 3.55 | 1 | 5 |
| Willingness to pay more for Voice call quality | Not switch carrier | 696 | 3.20 | 1.191 | . 045 | 3.12 | 3.29 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Switch carrier | 178 | 3.20 | 1.232 | . 092 | 3.02 | 3.38 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Total | 874 | 3.20 | 1.198 | . 041 | 3.12 | 3.28 | 1 | 5 |
| Willingness to pay more for Internet service quality and speed | Not switch carrier | 696 | 3.47 | 1.260 | . 048 | 3.38 | 3.57 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Switch carrier Total | 178 | 3.40 | 1.346 | . 101 | 3.20 | 3.60 | 1 | 5 |
|  |  | 874 | 3.46 | 1.278 | . 043 | 3.37 | 3.54 | 1 | 5 |
| Willingness to pay more for Video call quality | Not switch carrier | 696 | 2.20 | 1.111 | . 042 | 2.12 | 2.29 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Switch carrier | 178 | 2.06 | 1.175 | . 088 | 1.89 | 2.24 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Total | 874 | 2.17 | 1.125 | . 038 | 2.10 | 2.25 | 1 | 5 |
| Willingness to pay more for Reliability of SMS \& MMS | Not switch carrier | 696 | 2.87 | 1.157 | . 044 | 2.78 | 2.95 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Switch carrier | 178 | 2.63 | 1.229 | . 092 | 2.45 | 2.81 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Total | 874 | 2.82 | 1.175 | . 040 | 2.74 | 2.90 | 1 | 5 |
| Willingness to pay more for Customer service and support | Not switch carrier | 696 | 2.59 | 1.116 | . 042 | 2.51 | 2.68 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Switch carrier | 178 | 2.39 | 1.090 | . 082 | 2.23 | 2.55 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Total | 874 | 2.55 | 1.113 | . 038 | 2.48 | 2.63 | 1 | 5 |
| Willingness to pay more for Value-added services | Not switch carrier | 696 | 2.17 | 1.041 | . 039 | 2.09 | 2.25 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Switch carrier | 178 | 1.97 | 1.036 | . 078 | 1.81 | 2.12 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Total | 874 | 2.13 | 1.042 | . 035 | 2.06 | 2.20 | 1 | 5 |
| Willingness to pay more for Customer loyalty program | Not switch carrier | 696 | 2.33 | 1.090 | . 041 | 2.25 | 2.42 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Switch carrier | 178 | 2.03 | 1.107 | . 083 | 1.86 | 2.19 | 1 | 5 |


| Willingness to pay more for Choices of handsets | Total | 874 | 2.27 | 1.099 | . 037 | 2.20 | 2.35 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Not switch carrier | 696 | 2.91 | 1.184 | . 045 | 2.82 | 2.99 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Switch carrier | 178 | 2.77 | 1.314 | . 098 | 2.58 | 2.96 | 1 | 5 |
| Willingness to pay more for Discounted price handsets with contract | Total | 874 | 2.88 | 1.212 | . 041 | 2.80 | 2.96 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Not switch carrier | 696 | 2.91 | 1.219 | . 046 | 2.82 | 3.00 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Switch carrier | 178 | 2.88 | 1.277 | . 096 | 2.69 | 3.07 | 1 | 5 |
| Willingness to pay more for Rate plan | Total | 874 | 2.91 | 1.230 | . 042 | 2.83 | 2.99 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Not switch carrier | 696 | 3.09 | 1.277 | . 048 | 3.00 | 3.19 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Switch carrier | 178 | 3.03 | 1.323 | . 099 | 2.84 | 3.23 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Total | 874 | 3.08 | 1.286 | . 044 | 3.00 | 3.17 | 1 | 5 |

ANOVA

|  |  | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Willingness to pay more for Network coverage | Between |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Groups | . 001 | 1 | . 001 | . 000 | . 984 |
|  | Within Groups | 1273.726 | 872 | 1.461 |  |  |
|  | Total | 1273.727 | 873 |  |  |  |
| Willingness to pay more for Voice call quality | Between |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Groups | . 000 | 1 | . 000 | . 000 | . 986 |
|  | Within Groups | 1253.748 | 872 | 1.438 |  |  |
|  | Total | 1253.748 | 873 |  |  |  |
| Willingness to pay more for Internet service quality and speed | Between Groups | . 773 | 1 | . 773 | . 473 | . 492 |
|  | Within Groups Total | 1424.161 | 872 | 1.633 |  |  |
|  |  | 1424.934 | 873 |  |  |  |
| Willingness to pay more for Video call quality | Between Groups | 2.810 | 1 | 2.810 | 2.222 | . 136 |
|  | Within Groups | 1102.756 | 872 | 1.265 |  |  |
|  | Total | 1105.565 | 873 |  |  |  |
| Willingness to pay more for Reliability of SMS \& MMS | Between Groups | 7.973 | 1 | 7.973 | 5.803 | . 016 |
|  | Groups | 7.973 | 1 | 7.973 | 5.803 | . 016 |
|  | Within Groups | 1198.101 | 872 | 1.374 |  |  |
|  | Total | 1206.074 | 873 |  |  |  |
| Willingness to pay more for Customer service and support | Between |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Groups | 5.677 | 1 | 5.677 | 4.599 | . 032 |
|  | Within Groups | 1076.402 | 872 | 1.234 |  |  |


| Willingness to pay more for Value-added services | Total | 1082.079 | 873 |  | 5.495 | . 019 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Between Groups | 5.939 | 1 | 5.939 |  |  |
|  | Within Groups | 942.451 | 872 | 1.081 |  |  |
|  | Total | 948.390 | 873 |  |  |  |
| Willingness to pay more for Customer loyalty program | Between Groups | 13.332 | 1 | 13.332 | 11.158 | . 001 |
|  | Within Groups | 1041.858 | 872 | 1.195 |  |  |
|  | Total | 1055.190 | 873 |  |  |  |
| Willingness to pay more for Choices of handsets | Between Groups | 2.603 | 1 | 2.603 | 1.774 | . 183 |
|  | Within Groups | 1279.298 | 872 | 1.467 |  |  |
|  | Total | 1281.900 | 873 |  |  |  |
| Willingness to pay more for Discounted price handsets with contract | Between Groups | . 143 | 1 | . 143 | . 094 | . 759 |
|  | Within Groups | 1321.350 | 872 | 1.515 |  |  |
|  | Total | 1321.493 | 873 |  |  |  |
| Willingness to pay more for Rate plan | Between Groups | . 530 | 1 | . 530 | . 320 | . 572 |
|  | Within Groups | 1443.539 | 872 | 1.655 |  |  |
|  | Total | 1444.069 | 873 |  |  |  |

### 10.23. Carrier Switching and Satisfactions towards Carrier Features

## Crosstabs

## Satisfaction with Network coverage * Switch carrier

Crosstab

|  |  |  | Switch carrier |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Not switch carrier | Switch carrier |  |
| Satisfaction with Network coverage | Very Dissatisfied | Count | 5 | 12 | 17 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | .7\% | 6.7\% | 1.9\% |
|  | Dissatisfied | Count | 26 | 20 | 46 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 3.7\% | 11.2\% | 5.3\% |
|  | Neutral | Count | 154 | 62 | 216 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 22.1\% | 34.8\% | 24.7\% |
|  | Satisfied | Count | 363 | 72 | 435 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 52.2\% | 40.4\% | 49.8\% |
|  | Very Satisfied | Count | 148 | 12 | 160 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 21.3\% | 6.7\% | 18.3\% |
| Total |  | Count | 696 | 178 | 874 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

## Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $71.080(a)$ | 4 | .000 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 65.329 | 4 | .000 |
| Linear-by-Linear | 67.385 |  | 1 |

a 1 cells (10.0\%) have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 3.46 .

## Satisfaction with Voice call quality * Switch carrier

Crosstab

|  |  |  | Switch carrier |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Satisfaction with Voice call quality | Very Dissatisfied | Count | 3 | 5 | 8 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | . $4 \%$ | 2.8\% | .9\% |
|  | Dissatisfied | Count | 35 | 7 | 42 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 5.0\% | 3.9\% | 4.8\% |
|  | Neutral | Count | 169 | 72 | 241 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 24.3\% | 40.4\% | 27.6\% |
|  | Satisfied | Count | 367 | 82 | 449 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 52.7\% | 46.1\% | 51.4\% |
|  | Very Satisfied | Count | 122 | 12 | 134 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 17.5\% | 6.7\% | 15.3\% |
| Total |  | Count | 696 | 178 | 874 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

## Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $34.532(\mathrm{a})$ | 4 | .000 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 33.473 |  | 4 |
| Linear-by-Linear | 22.397 |  | 1 |

a 1 cells (10.0\%) have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 1.63 .

Satisfaction with Internet service quality and speed * Switch carrier
Crosstab

|  |  |  | Switch carrier |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Not switch carrier | Switch carrier |  |
| Satisfaction with Internet service quality and speed | Very Dissatisfied | Count | 22 | 11 | 33 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 3.2\% | 6.2\% | 3.8\% |
|  | Dissatisfied | Count | 92 | 28 | 120 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 13.2\% | 15.7\% | 13.7\% |
|  | Neutral | Count | 320 | 91 | 411 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 46.0\% | 51.1\% | 47.0\% |
|  | Satisfied | Count | 204 | 45 | 249 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 29.3\% | 25.3\% | 28.5\% |
|  | Very Satisfied | Count | 58 | 3 | 61 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 8.3\% | 1.7\% | 7.0\% |
| Total |  | Count | 696 | 178 | 874 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $14.655(\mathrm{a})$ | 4 | .005 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 17.303 | 4 | .002 |
| Linear-by-Linear | 11.738 |  | 1 |

a 0 cells $(.0 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 6.72 .

## Satisfaction with Video call quality * Switch carrier

Crosstab

|  |  |  | Switch carrier |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Not switch carrier | Switch carrier |  |
| Satisfaction with Video call quality | Very Dissatisfied | Count | 22 | 11 | 33 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 3.2\% | 6.2\% | 3.8\% |
|  | Dissatisfied | Count | 77 | 21 | 98 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 11.1\% | 11.8\% | 11.2\% |
|  | Neutral | Count | 473 | 126 | 599 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 68.0\% | 70.8\% | 68.5\% |
|  | Satisfied | Count | 106 | 19 | 125 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 15.2\% | 10.7\% | 14.3\% |
|  | Very Satisfied | Count | 18 | 1 | 19 |


|  | \% within Switch carrier | $2.6 \%$ | $.6 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | Count | 696 | 178 | 874 |
|  | \% within Switch carrier | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

## Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $8.384(\mathrm{a})$ | 4 | .078 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 9.003 | 4 | .061 |
| Linear-by-Linear | 6.789 |  | 1 |

a 1 cells ( $10.0 \%$ ) have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 3.87 .

## Satisfaction with Reliability of SMS \& MMS * Switch carrier

## Crosstab

|  |  |  | Switc <br> Not switch carrier | carrier <br> Switch carrier | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Satisfaction with Reliability of SMS \& MMS | Very Dissatisfied | Count | 10 | 1 | 11 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 1.4\% | .6\% | 1.3\% |
|  | Dissatisfied | Count | 28 | 14 | 42 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 4.0\% | 7.9\% | 4.8\% |
|  | Neutral | Count | 256 | 77 | 333 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 36.8\% | 43.3\% | 38.1\% |
|  | Satisfied | Count | 310 | 70 | 380 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 44.5\% | 39.3\% | 43.5\% |
|  | Very Satisfied | Count | 92 | 16 | 108 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 13.2\% | 9.0\% | 12.4\% |
| Total |  | Count | 696 | 178 | 874 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

## Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $9.716(\mathrm{a})$ | 4 | .045 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 9.513 | 4 | .049 |
| Linear-by-Linear | 5.357 |  | 1 |

a 1 cells ( $10.0 \%$ ) have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 2.24 .

Satisfaction with Customer service and support * Switch carrier
Crosstab

|  |  |  | Switch carrier |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Not switch carrier | Switch carrier |  |
| Satisfaction with Customer service and support | Very Dissatisfied | Count | 21 | 5 | 26 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% |
|  | Dissatisfied | Count | 62 | 33 | 95 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 8.9\% | 18.5\% | 10.9\% |
|  | Neutral | Count | 317 | 93 | 410 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 45.5\% | 52.2\% | 46.9\% |
|  | Satisfied | Count | 213 | 41 | 254 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 30.6\% | 23.0\% | 29.1\% |
|  | Very Satisfied | Count | 83 | 6 | 89 |
| Total |  | \% within Switch carrier | 11.9\% | 3.4\% | 10.2\% |
|  |  | Count | 696 | 178 | 874 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |


| Chi-Square Tests |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) |  |
|  | Pearson Chi-Square | $26.456(a)$ | 4 |  |
| Likelihood Ratio | 27.811 | 4 | .000 |  |
| Linear-by-Linear | 19.852 |  | 1 |  |

a 0 cells ( $.0 \%$ ) have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 5.30.

## Satisfaction with Value-added services * Switch carrier

## Crosstab

|  |  |  | Switch carrier |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Not switch carrier | Switch carrier |  |
| Satisfaction with Value-added services | Very Dissatisfied | Count | 32 | 11 | 43 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 4.6\% | 6.2\% | 4.9\% |
|  | Dissatisfied | Count | 92 | 33 | 125 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 13.2\% | 18.5\% | 14.3\% |
|  | Neutral | Count | 404 | 106 | 510 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 58.0\% | 59.6\% | 58.4\% |
|  | Satisfied | Count | 136 | 23 | 159 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 19.5\% | 12.9\% | 18.2\% |
|  | Very Satisfied | Count | 32 | 5 | 37 |


|  | \% within Switch carrier | $4.6 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | 696 | 178 | 874 |  |
|  | Count | within Switch carrier | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

## Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $8.067(\mathrm{a})$ |  | 4 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 8.251 |  | 4 |
| Linear-by-Linear | 7.157 |  | 1 |

a 0 cells $(.0 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 7.54 .

Satisfaction with Customer loyalty program * Switch carrier

|  |  |  | Swit | carrier |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Not switch carrier | Switch carrier | Total |
| Satisfaction with Customer loyalty program | Very Dissatisfied | Count | 104 | 23 | 127 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 14.9\% | 12.9\% | 14.5\% |
|  | Dissatisfied | Count | 196 | 72 | 268 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 28.2\% | 40.4\% | 30.7\% |
|  | Neutral | Count | 311 | 66 | 377 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 44.7\% | 37.1\% | 43.1\% |
|  | Satisfied | Count | 64 | 12 | 76 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 9.2\% | 6.7\% | 8.7\% |
|  | Very Satisfied | Count | 21 | 5 | 26 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% |
| Total |  | Count | 696 | 178 | 874 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

## Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $10.282(a)$ | 4 | .036 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 9.957 | 4 | .041 |
| Linear-by-Linear | 1.965 |  | 1 |

a 0 cells $(.0 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 5.30 .

## Satisfaction with Choices of handsets * Switch carrier

## Crosstab

|  |  |  | Switch carrier |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Not switch carrier | Switch carrier |  |
| Satisfaction with Choices of handsets | Very Dissatisfied | Count | 26 | 12 | 38 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 3.7\% | 6.7\% | 4.3\% |
|  | Dissatisfied | Count | 101 | 35 | 136 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 14.5\% | 19.7\% | 15.6\% |
|  | Neutral | Count | 311 | 72 | 383 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 44.7\% | 40.4\% | 43.8\% |
|  | Satisfied | Count | 200 | 47 | 247 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 28.7\% | 26.4\% | 28.3\% |
|  | Very Satisfied | Count | 58 | 12 | 70 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 8.3\% | 6.7\% | 8.0\% |
| Total |  | Count | 696 | 178 | 874 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $6.664(\mathrm{a})$ |  | 4 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 6.251 |  | 4 |

a 0 cells $(.0 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 7.74 .

## Satisfaction with Discounted price handsets with contract * Switch carrier

|  |  |  | Switch carrier |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Not switch carrier | Switch carrier |  |
| Satisfaction with Discounted price handsets with contract | Very Dissatisfied | Count | 40 | 16 | 56 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 5.7\% | 9.0\% | 6.4\% |
|  | Dissatisfied | Count | 108 | 37 | 145 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 15.5\% | 20.8\% | 16.6\% |
|  | Neutral | Count | 303 | 80 | 383 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 43.5\% | 44.9\% | 43.8\% |
|  | Satisfied | Count | 197 | 36 | 233 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 28.3\% | 20.2\% | 26.7\% |
|  | Very Satisfied | Count | 48 | 9 | 57 |


|  | \% within Switch carrier | $6.9 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | Count | 696 | 178 | 874 |
|  | \% within Switch carrier | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $8.969(\mathrm{a})$ |  | 4 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 8.933 |  | 4 |
| Linear-by-Linear | 8.336 |  | 1 |

a 0 cells $(.0 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 11.41 .

## Satisfaction with Rate plan * Switch carrier

## Crosstab

|  |  |  | Swit <br> Not switch carrier | carrier <br> Switch carrier | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Satisfaction with Rate plan | Very Dissatisfied | Count | 17 | 6 | 23 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 2.4\% | 3.4\% | 2.6\% |
|  | Dissatisfied | Count | 63 | 32 | 95 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 9.1\% | 18.0\% | 10.9\% |
|  | Neutral | Count | 256 | 66 | 322 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 36.8\% | 37.1\% | 36.8\% |
|  | Satisfied | Count | 261 | 58 | 319 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 37.5\% | 32.6\% | 36.5\% |
|  | Very Satisfied | Count | 99 | 16 | 115 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 14.2\% | 9.0\% | 13.2\% |
| Total |  | Count | 696 | 178 | 874 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

## Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $14.748(a)$ | 4 | .005 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 13.781 | 4 | .008 |
| Linear-by-Linear | 10.927 |  | 1 |

a 1 cells ( $10.0 \%$ ) have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 4.68 .

## Oneway

## Descriptives

|  |  | N | Mean | Std. Deviat ion | Std. Error | $95 \%$ <br> Confidence Interval for Mean |  | Minim um | Maxi mum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Lower Bound | Upper Bound |  |  |
| Satisfaction with Network coverage | Not switch carrier | 696 | 3.90 | . 799 | . 030 | 3.84 | 3.95 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Switch carrier | 178 | 3.29 | . 988 | . 074 | 3.15 | 3.44 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Total | 874 | 3.77 | . 875 | . 030 | 3.71 | 3.83 | 1 | 5 |
| Satisfaction with Voice call quality | Not switch carrier | 696 | 3.82 | . 791 | . 030 | 3.76 | 3.88 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Switch carrier | 178 | 3.50 | . 797 | . 060 | 3.38 | 3.62 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Total | 874 | 3.75 | . 802 | . 027 | 3.70 | 3.81 | 1 | 5 |
| Satisfaction with Internet service quality and speed | Not switch carrier | 696 | 3.26 | . 904 | . 034 | 3.20 | 3.33 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Switch carrier | 178 | 3.01 | . 854 | . 064 | 2.88 | 3.13 | 1 | 5 |
|  |  | 874 | 3.21 | . 899 | . 030 | 3.15 | 3.27 | 1 | 5 |
| Satisfaction with Video call quality | Not switch carrier | 696 | 3.03 | . 702 | . 027 | 2.98 | 3.08 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Switch carrier | 178 | 2.88 | . 694 | . 052 | 2.77 | 2.98 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Total | 874 | 3.00 | . 703 | . 024 | 2.95 | 3.05 | 1 | 5 |
| Satisfaction with Reliability of SMS \& MMS | Not switch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | carrier | 696 | 3.64 | . 814 | . 031 | 3.58 | 3.70 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Switch carrier | 178 | 3.48 | . 790 | . 059 | 3.37 | 3.60 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Total | 874 | 3.61 | . 811 | . 027 | 3.55 | 3.66 | 1 | 5 |
| Satisfaction with Customer service and support | Not switch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | carrier | 696 | 3.40 | . 915 | . 035 | 3.33 | 3.46 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Switch carrier | 178 | 3.06 | . 815 | . 061 | 2.94 | 3.18 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Total | 874 | 3.33 | . 906 | . 031 | 3.27 | 3.39 | 1 | 5 |
| Satisfaction with Value-added services | Not switch carrier | 696 | 3.06 | . 832 | . 032 | 3.00 | 3.13 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Switch carrier | 178 | 2.88 | . 814 | . 061 | 2.76 | 3.00 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Total | 874 | 3.03 | . 831 | . 028 | 2.97 | 3.08 | 1 | 5 |
| Satisfaction with Customer loyalty program | Not switch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | carrier | 696 | 2.57 | . 954 | . 036 | 2.50 | 2.64 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Switch carrier | 178 | 2.46 | . 903 | . 068 | 2.33 | 2.59 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Total | 874 | 2.55 | . 944 | . 032 | 2.49 | 2.61 | 1 | 5 |
| Satisfaction with Choices of handsets | Not switch carrier | 696 | 3.23 | . 928 | . 035 | 3.17 | 3.30 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Switch carrier | 178 | 3.07 | 1.001 | . 075 | 2.92 | 3.22 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Total | 874 | 3.20 | . 945 | . 032 | 3.14 | 3.26 | 1 | 5 |
| Satisfaction with Discounted price handsets with contract | Not switch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | carrier | 696 | 3.15 | . 960 | . 036 | 3.08 | 3.22 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Switch carrier | 178 | 2.92 | . 985 | . 074 | 2.77 | 3.06 | 1 | 5 |


|  | Total | 874 | 3.10 | .970 | .033 | 3.04 | 3.17 | 1 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Satisfaction with Rate | Not switch | 696 | 3.52 | .929 | .035 | 3.45 | 3.59 | 1 | 5 |
| plan | carrier |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Switch carrier | 178 | 3.26 | .969 | .073 | 3.12 | 3.40 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Total | 874 | 3.47 | .943 | .032 | 3.40 | 3.53 | 1 | 5 |

ANOVA

|  |  | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Satisfaction with Network coverage | Between Groups | 51.538 | 1 | 51.538 | 72.938 | . 000 |
|  | Within Groups | 616.152 | 872 | . 707 |  |  |
|  | Total | 667.690 | 873 |  |  |  |
| Satisfaction with Voice call quality | Between | 14.421 | 1 | 14.421 | 22.961 | . 000 |
|  | Within Groups | 547.690 | 872 | . 628 |  |  |
|  | Total | 562.111 | 873 |  |  |  |
| Satisfaction with Internet service quality and speed | Between |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Groups | 9.490 | 1 | 9.490 | 11.884 | . 001 |
|  | Within Groups <br> Total | 696.351 | 872 | . 799 |  |  |
|  |  | 705.841 | 873 |  |  |  |
| Satisfaction with Video call quality | Between Groups | 3.352 | 1 | 3.352 | 6.834 | . 009 |
|  | Within Groups | 427.647 | 872 | . 490 |  |  |
|  | Total | 430.999 | 873 |  |  |  |
| Satisfaction with Reliability of SMS \& MMS | Between |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Groups | 3.523 | 1 | 3.523 | 5.384 | . 021 |
|  | Within Groups | 570.651 | 872 | . 654 |  |  |
|  | Total | 574.174 | 873 |  |  |  |
| Satisfaction with Customer service and support | Between |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Groups | 16.284 | 1 | 16.284 | 20.291 | . 000 |
|  | Within Groups | 699.782 | 872 | . 803 |  |  |
|  | Total | 716.065 | 873 |  |  |  |
| Satisfaction with <br> Value-added services | Between |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Groups | 4.947 | 1 | 4.947 | 7.208 | . 007 |
|  | Within Groups | 598.499 | 872 | . 686 |  |  |
|  | Total | 603.446 | 873 |  |  |  |
| Satisfaction with Customer loyalty program | Between |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Groups | 1.752 | 1 | 1.752 | 1.967 | . 161 |
|  | Within Groups | 776.633 | 872 | . 891 |  |  |
|  | Total | 778.384 | 873 |  |  |  |
| Satisfaction with Choices of handsets | Between | 3.943 | 1 | 3.943 | 4.430 | . 036 |
|  | Groups |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Within Groups | 776.017 | 872 | . 890 |  |  |
|  | Total | 779.960 | 873 |  |  |  |
| Satisfaction with | Between | 7.837 | 1 | 7.837 | 8.407 | . 004 |


| Discounted price handsets with contract | Groups <br> Within Groups <br> Total | $\begin{aligned} & 812.895 \\ & 820.732 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 872 \\ & 873 \end{aligned}$ | . 932 | 11.053 | . 001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satisfaction with Rate plan | Between Groups | 9.707 | 1 | 9.707 |  |  |
|  | Within Groups | 765.831 | 872 | . 878 |  |  |
|  | Total | 775.538 | 873 |  |  |  |

### 10.24.Carrier Switching and Year of Current Subscription

## Crosstabs

|  | Cases |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Valid |  | Missing |  | Total |  |
|  | N | Percent | N | Percent | N | Percent |
| Years of subscription* Switch carrier | 874 | 100.0\% | 0 | .0\% | 874 | 100.0\% |

Years of subscription * Switch carrier Crosstabulation

|  |  |  | Switch carrier |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Not switch carrier | Switch carrier |  |
| Years of subscription | 0 | Count | 75 | 40 | 115 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 91.6 | 23.4 | 115.0 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 10.8\% | 22.5\% | 13.2\% |
|  | 1 | Count | 29 | 25 | 54 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 43.0 | 11.0 | 54.0 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 4.2\% | 14.0\% | 6.2\% |
|  | 2 | Count | 55 | 23 | 78 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 62.1 | 15.9 | 78.0 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 7.9\% | 12.9\% | 8.9\% |
|  | 3 | Count | 84 | 20 | 104 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 82.8 | 21.2 | 104.0 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 12.1\% | 11.2\% | 11.9\% |
|  | 4 | Count | 93 | 16 | 109 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 86.8 | 22.2 | 109.0 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 13.4\% | 9.0\% | 12.5\% |
|  | 5 | Count | 75 | 11 | 86 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 68.5 | 17.5 | 86.0 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 10.8\% | 6.2\% | 9.8\% |
|  | 6 | Count | 74 | 7 | 81 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 64.5 | 16.5 | 81.0 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 10.6\% | 3.9\% | 9.3\% |


|  | 7 | Count | 54 | 11 | 65 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Expected Count | 51.8 | 13.2 | 65.0 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 7.8\% | 6.2\% | 7.4\% |
|  | 8 | Count | 58 | 8 | 66 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 52.6 | 13.4 | 66.0 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 8.3\% | 4.5\% | 7.6\% |
|  | 9 | Count | 25 | 3 | 28 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 22.3 | 5.7 | 28.0 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 3.6\% | 1.7\% | 3.2\% |
|  | 10 | Count | 44 | 9 | 53 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 42.2 | 10.8 | 53.0 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 6.3\% | 5.1\% | 6.1\% |
|  | 11 | Count | 8 | 0 | 8 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 6.4 | 1.6 | 8.0 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 1.1\% | .0\% | .9\% |
|  | 12 | Count | 11 | 1 | 12 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 9.6 | 2.4 | 12.0 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 1.6\% | .6\% | 1.4\% |
|  | 13 | Count | 4 | 1 | 5 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 4.0 | 1.0 | 5.0 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | .6\% | .6\% | .6\% |
|  | 14 | Count | 0 | 2 | 2 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 1.6 | . 4 | 2.0 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | .0\% | 1.1\% | .2\% |
|  | 15 | Count | 5 | 1 | 6 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 4.8 | 1.2 | 6.0 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | .7\% | .6\% | .7\% |
|  | 18 | Count | 1 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  | Expected Count | . 8 | . 2 | 1.0 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | .1\% | .0\% | .1\% |
|  | 20 | Count | 1 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  | Expected Count | . 8 | . 2 | 1.0 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | .1\% | .0\% | .1\% |
| Total |  | Count | 696 | 178 | 874 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 696.0 | 178.0 | 874.0 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $70.014(\mathrm{a})$ | 17 | .000 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 67.037 | 17 | .000 |
| Linear-by-Linear | 28.750 |  | 1 |

a 12 cells (33.3\%) have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 20 .

## Oneway

## Descriptives

Years of subscription

|  | N | Mean | Std. <br> Deviat <br> ion | Std. <br> Error | $95 \%$ Confidence <br> Interval for Mean <br> Lower <br> Bound |  | Mpper <br> Bound |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  | Minimum | Maximum |  |  |
| Not switch carrier | 696 | 4.99 | 3.296 | .125 | 4.75 | 5.24 | 0 |
| Switch carrier | 178 | 3.47 | 3.410 | .256 | 2.97 | 3.98 | 0 |

ANOVA
Years of subscription

|  | Sum of <br> Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Between Groups | 327.267 | 1 | 327.267 | 29.695 | .000 |
| Within Groups | 9610.308 | 872 | 11.021 |  |  |
| Total | 9937.574 | 873 |  |  |  |

### 10.25. Carrier Switching and Reasons for Subscribing

## Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

|  | Cases |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Valid |  | Missing |  | Total |  |
|  | N | Percent | N | Percent | N | Percent |
| Statement best describes when subscribed * Switch carrier | 874 | 100.0\% |  | .0\% | 874 | 100.0\% |

Statement best describes when subscribed * Switch carrier Crosstabulation

|  |  |  | Switch carrier |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Not switch carrier | Switch carrier |  |
| Statement best describes when subscribed | Recommended by friends for network quality | Count | 175 | 18 | 193 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 153.7 | 39.3 | 193.0 |
|  |  | \% within Switch carrier | 25.1\% | 10.1\% | 22.1\% |
|  | Most suitable rate | Count | 87 | 34 | 121 |



Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $80.212(\mathrm{a})$ <br> 72.581 <br> Likelihood Ratio | 6 | .000 |
| Linear-by-Linear | 2.138 |  | 1 |

a 1 cells $(7.1 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 3.46 .

### 10.26.Current Internet Usage

## Frequencies

## Statistics

Internet Usage

| $N$ | Valid | 873 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | Missing | 1 |

Internet Usage

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | Lite Internet User | 635 | 72.7 | 72.7 | 72.7 |
|  | Heavy Internet User | 238 | 27.2 | 27.3 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 873 | 99.9 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | System | 1 | . 1 |  |  |
| Total |  | 874 | 100.0 |  |  |

### 10.27.Spending on Mobile Phone Bills versus Current Internet User Group

## Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

|  | Cases |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Valid |  | Missing |  | Total |  |
|  | N | Percent | N | Percent | N | Percent |
| Total spending on mobilephone bill per month * Internet Usage | 873 | 99.9\% | 1 | .1\% | 874 | 100.0\% |
| Voice call spending on mobile-phone bill per month * Internet Usage | 839 | 96.0\% | 35 | 4.0\% | 874 | 100.0\% |
| Internet spending on mobile-phone bill per month * Internet Usage | 835 | 95.5\% | 39 | 4.5\% | 874 | 100.0\% |
| SMS\&MMS spending on mobile-phone bill per month * Internet Usage | 846 | 96.8\% | 28 | 3.2\% | 874 | 100.0\% |

## Crosstab

|  |  |  | Internet Usage |  | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Lite <br> Internet <br> User | Heavy <br> Internet <br> User |  |  |
| Total spending on <br> mobile-phone bill <br> per month | Less than 199TWD | Count | 122 | 14 | 136 |
|  |  | \% within Internet Usage | $19.2 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ | $15.6 \%$ |
|  | 200-399TWD | Count | 191 | 42 | 233 |
|  | 400-599TWD | \% within Internet Usage | $30.1 \%$ | $17.6 \%$ | $26.7 \%$ |
|  |  | Count | 129 | 35 | 164 |
|  |  | \% within Internet Usage | $20.3 \%$ | $14.7 \%$ | $18.8 \%$ |


|  | 600-799TWD | Count | 76 | 42 | 118 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \% within Internet Usage | 12.0\% | 17.6\% | 13.5\% |
|  | 800-999TWD | Count | 23 | 14 | 37 |
|  |  | \% within Internet Usage | 3.6\% | 5.9\% | 4.2\% |
|  | 1000-1199TWD | Count | 38 | 27 | 65 |
|  |  | \% within Internet Usage | 6.0\% | 11.3\% | 7.4\% |
|  | 1200-1399TWD | Count | 22 | 31 | 53 |
|  |  | \% within Internet Usage | 3.5\% | 13.0\% | 6.1\% |
|  | 1400-1599TWD | Count | 19 | 10 | 29 |
|  |  | \% within Internet Usage | 3.0\% | 4.2\% | 3.3\% |
|  | 1600-1799TWD | Count | 6 | 2 | 8 |
|  |  | \% within Internet Usage | .9\% | .8\% | .9\% |
|  | 1800-1999TWD | Count | 2 | 4 | 6 |
|  |  | \% within Internet Usage | . $3 \%$ | 1.7\% | .7\% |
|  | More than 2000TWD | Count | 7 | 17 | 24 |
|  |  | \% within Internet Usage | 1.1\% | 7.1\% | 2.7\% |
| Total |  | Count | 635 | 238 | 873 |
|  |  | \% within Internet Usage | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

## Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $100.089(\mathrm{a})$ | 10 | .000 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 96.949 | 10 | .000 |
| Linear-by-Linear | 83.984 |  | 1 |

a 3 cells (13.6\%) have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 1.64 .

## Crosstab

|  |  |  | Internet Usage |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Lite Internet User | Heavy Internet User |  |
| Voice call spending on | Less than 199TWD | Count | 324 | 73 | 397 |
|  |  | \% within Internet Usage | 52.8\% | 32.4\% | 47.3\% |
|  | 200-399TWD | Count | 152 | 65 | 217 |
|  |  | \% within Internet Usage | 24.8\% | 28.9\% | 25.9\% |
|  | 400-599TWD | Count | 82 | 46 | 128 |
|  |  | \% within Internet Usage | 13.4\% | 20.4\% | 15.3\% |
|  | 600-799TWD | Count | 32 | 21 | 53 |
|  |  | \% within Internet Usage | 5.2\% | 9.3\% | 6.3\% |
|  | 800-999TWD | Count | 11 | 8 | 19 |
|  |  | \% within Internet Usage | 1.8\% | 3.6\% | 2.3\% |



Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $48.103(\mathrm{a})$ | 10 | .000 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 48.473 | 10 | .000 |
| Linear-by-Linear | 30.633 |  | 1 |

a 11 cells ( $50.0 \%$ ) have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is .27 .

## Crosstab

|  |  |  | Internet Usage |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Lite Internet User | Heavy Internet User |  |
| Internet spending on mobile-phone | Less than 1997WD | Count | 432 | 59 | 491 |
|  |  | \% within Internet Usage | 71.2\% | 25.9\% | 58.8\% |
|  | 200-399TWD | Count | 40 | 27 | 67 |
|  |  | \% within Internet Usage | 6.6\% | 11.8\% | 8.0\% |
|  | 400-599TWD | Count | 46 | 41 | 87 |
|  |  | \% within Internet Usage | 7.6\% | 18.0\% | 10.4\% |
|  | 600-799TWD | Count | 57 | 69 | 126 |
|  |  | \% within Internet Usage | 9.4\% | 30.3\% | 15.1\% |
|  | 800-999TWD | Count | 23 | 25 | 48 |
|  |  | \% within Internet Usage | 3.8\% | 11.0\% | 5.7\% |
|  | 1000-1199TWD | Count | 4 | 3 | 7 |
|  |  | \% within Internet Usage | .7\% | 1.3\% | .8\% |
|  | 1200-1399TWD | Count | 3 | 2 | 5 |
|  |  | \% within Internet Usage | . $5 \%$ | . $9 \%$ | .6\% |
|  | 1400-1599TWD | Count | 1 | 0 | 1 |


|  |  | \% within Internet Usage |  | .0\% | .1\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1600-1799TWD | Count | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  | \% within Internet Usage | .0\% | .4\% | .1\% |
|  | More than 2000TWD | Count | 1 | 1 | 2 |
|  |  | \% within Internet Usage | .2\% | . $4 \%$ | .2\% |
| Total |  | Count | 607 | 228 | 835 |
|  |  | \% within Internet Usage | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $148.255(\mathrm{a})$ | 9 | .000 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 148.722 | 9 | .000 |
| Linear-by-Linear | 113.848 |  | 1 |

a 9 cells $(45.0 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 27 .

## Crosstab

|  |  |  | Internet Usage |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Lite Internet User | Heavy Intern et User |  |
| SMS\&MMS spending on mobile-phone bill per month | Less than 199TWD | Count | 560 | 205 | 765 |
|  |  | \% within Internet Usage | 90.5\% | 90.3\% | 90.4\% |
|  | 200-399TWD | Count | 55 | 19 | 74 |
|  |  | \% within Internet Usage | 8.9\% | 8.4\% | 8.7\% |
|  | 400-599TWD | Count | 4 | 2 | 6 |
|  |  | \% within Internet Usage | .6\% | .9\% | .7\% |
|  | 1400-1599TWD | Count | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  | \% within Internet Usage | .0\% | . $4 \%$ | .1\% |
| Total |  | Count | 619 | 227 | 846 |
|  |  | \% within Internet Usage | 100.0\% | $\begin{array}{r} 100.0 \\ \% \end{array}$ | 100.0\% |

Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $2.907(\mathrm{a})$ | 3 | .406 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 2.806 |  | 3 |

a 4 cells $(50.0 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is .27 .

### 10.28. Intention to Subscribe to 4G LTE versus Internet User Group

## Oneway

## Descriptives

Intention to subscribe

|  |  |  |  | Std. <br> Deviation | Std. Error | Interval for Mean <br> Intence |  | Minim <br> um |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Maxim <br> um |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lower <br> Bound | Upper <br> Bound |  |  |  |
| Lite Internet User | 635 | 2.8756 | 1.10859 | .04399 | 2.7892 | 2.9620 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| Heavy Internet | 237 | 3.3586 | 1.14336 | .07427 | 3.2123 | 3.5050 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| User | 872 | 3.0069 | 1.13799 | .03854 | 2.9312 | 3.0825 | 1.00 | 5.00 |

ANOVA
Intention to subscribe

|  | Sum of <br> Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Between Groups | 40.272 | 1 | 40.272 | 32.212 | .000 |
| Within Groups | 1087.686 | 870 | 1.250 |  |  |
| Total | 1127.959 | 871 |  |  |  |

### 10.29. Willingness to Pay More versus Internet User Group

## Oneway




ANOVA

|  |  | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Willingness to pay more for Network coverage | Between | 4.196 | 1 | 4.196 | 2.879 | . 090 |
|  | Within Groups | 1269.309 | 871 | 1.457 |  |  |
|  | Total | 1273.505 | 872 |  |  |  |
| Willingness to pay more for Voice call quality | Between Groups | 2.190 | 1 | 2.190 | 1.524 | . 217 |
|  | Within Groups | 1251.516 | 871 | 1.437 |  |  |
|  | Total | 1253.707 | 872 |  |  |  |
| Willingness to pay more for Internet service quality and speed | Between |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Groups | 57.708 | 1 | 57.708 | 36.769 | . 000 |
|  | Within Groups Total | 1367.016 | 871 | 1.569 |  |  |
|  |  | 1424.724 | 872 |  |  |  |
| Willingness to pay more for Video call quality | Between Groups | . 498 | 1 | . 498 | . 393 | . 531 |
|  | Within Groups | 1103.687 | 871 | 1.267 |  |  |
|  | Total | 1104.186 | 872 |  |  |  |
| Willingness to pay more for Reliability of SMS \& MMS | Between Groups | . 363 | 1 | . 363 | . 262 | . 609 |
|  | Within Groups | 1205.042 | 871 | 1.384 |  |  |
|  | Total | 1205.404 | 872 |  |  |  |
| Willingness to pay more for Customer service and support | Between |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Groups | 1.244 | 1 | 1.244 | 1.003 | . 317 |
|  | Within Groups | 1080.529 | 871 | 1.241 |  |  |
|  | Total | 1081.773 | 872 |  |  |  |
| Willingness to pay more for Value-added services | Between Groups | 1.493 | 1 | 1.493 | 1.376 | . 241 |
|  | Within Groups | 945.620 | 871 | 1.086 |  |  |
|  | Total | 947.113 | 872 |  |  |  |
| Willingness to pay more for Customer loyalty program | Between Groups | 1.114 | 1 | 1.114 | . 920 | . 338 |
|  | Within Groups | 1054.002 | 871 | 1.210 |  |  |
|  | Total | 1055.116 | 872 |  |  |  |
| Willingness to pay more for Choces of handsets | Between Groups | 1.689 | 1 | 1.689 | 1.150 | . 284 |
|  | Within Groups | 1279.440 | 871 | 1.469 |  |  |
|  | Total | 1281.129 | 872 |  |  |  |
| Willingness to pay more for Discounted price handsets with contract | Between |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Groups | . 025 | 1 | . 025 | . 017 | . 898 |
|  | Within Groups | 1321.459 | 871 | 1.517 |  |  |
|  | Total | 1321.485 | 872 |  |  |  |
| Willingness to pay more for Rate plan | Between Groups | 8.742 | 1 | 8.742 | 5.309 | . 021 |
|  | Within Groups | 1434.154 | 871 | 1.647 |  |  |
|  | Total | 1442.896 | 872 |  |  |  |

### 10.30.Subscribing Intention to 4G LTE versus 4G LTE Rate Plans and Internet User Group

## Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

|  | Cases |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Valid |  | Missing |  | Total |  |
|  | N | Percent | N | Percent | N | Percent |
| Would subscribe * Internet Usage * 4G LTE Package | 872 | 99.8\% | 2 | .2\% | 874 | 100.0\% |

Would subscribe * Internet Usage * 4G LTE Package Crosstabulation

| 4G LTE <br> Package |  |  |  | Internet Usage |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Lite Internet User | Heavy Internet User |  |
| Low price package (888TWD) | Would subscribe | Would not subscribe | Count | 199 | 44 | 243 |
|  |  |  | Expected Count | 176.6 | 66.4 | 243.0 |
|  |  |  | \% within Internet Usage | 64.0\% | 37.6\% | 56.8\% |
|  |  | Would subscribe | Count | 112 | 73 | 185 |
|  |  |  | Expected Count | 134.4 | 50.6 | 185.0 |
|  |  |  | \% within Internet Usage | 36.0\% | 62.4\% | 43.2\% |
|  | Total |  | Count | 311 | 117 | 428 |
|  |  |  | Expected Count | 311.0 | 117.0 | 428.0 |
|  |  |  | \% within Internet Usage | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| High price package (1888TWD) | Would subscribe | Would not subscribe | Count | 241 | 76 | 317 |
|  |  |  | Expected Count | 231.3 | 85.7 | 317.0 |
|  |  |  | \% within Internet Usage | 74.4\% | 63.3\% | 71.4\% |
|  |  | Would subscribe | Count | 83 | 44 | 127 |
|  |  |  | Expected Count | 92.7 | 34.3 | 127.0 |
|  |  |  | \% within Internet Usage | 25.6\% | 36.7\% | 28.6\% |
|  | Total |  | Count | 324 | 120 | 444 |
|  |  |  | Expected Count | 324.0 | 120.0 | 444.0 |
|  |  |  | \% within Internet Usage | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

Chi-Square Tests

| 4G LTE <br> Package |  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. (2sided) | Exact Sig. (2sided) | Exact Sig. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Low price package (888TWD) | Pearson ChiSquare | 24.109(b) | 1 | . 000 |  |  |
|  | Continuity Correction(a) Likelihood Ratio | $\begin{aligned} & 23.046 \\ & 24.045 \end{aligned}$ | 1 1 | .000 .000 |  |  |
|  | Fisher's Exact Test Linear-by-Linear Association | 24.052 | 1 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 |
|  | $N$ of Valid Cases | 428 |  |  |  |  |
| High price package (1888TWD) | Pearson ChiSquare | 5.235(c) | 1 | . 022 |  |  |
|  | Continuity Correction(a) Likelihood Ratio | 4.708 5.085 | 1 1 | .030 .024 |  |  |
|  | Fisher's Exact Test Linear-by-Linear Association | 5.223 | 1 | . 022 | . 025 | . 016 |
|  | $N$ of Valid Cases | 444 |  |  |  |  |

a Computed only for a $2 \times 2$ table
b 0 cells $(.0 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 50.57
c 0 cells $(.0 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 34.32 .

## Appendix B－Questionnaire

# Taiwan Next Generation Mobile－phone Network－4G LTE Survey 

English：http：／／goo．gl／zXizw
您好：

我是宋天瑞，目前就讀台灣政治大學國際管理經營學程（IMBA），我正在進行一份論文問卷調查，並且想要請問您幾個關於電信公司滿意度的問題，以及您對於台灣下一代行動網路的期望，也就是4G LTE。

填寫此問卷約需五到十分鐘，您可完全的放心填寫此問卷，您提供的意見將會嚴格保密，並僅限於學術使用。所有關於此問卷的意見會被統計，而您個人的意見也會完全匿名。

請根據您現在的使用情形，或根據您的了解，來回答下面問題。
http：／／goo．gl／ooOTY
http：／／goo．gl／tz6Dh

每一位參加並完成完整問卷的人，將可參加價值 100 元的 7－11 禮卷抽獎。

不管您是自己填完問卷，又或者轉寄此問卷給您於台灣的家人朋友，我都很感謝您，謝謝您撥冗完成問卷！

感謝您撥冗填寫這份問卷。摸彩得獎結果將會在我的 Facebook 公布，並且會以電子郵件聯絡得獎人。http：／／goo．gl／IV4z1；https：／／www．facebook．com／teerasits國立政治大學 國際管理工商碩士生 宋天瑞

## Dear Sir／Madam，

My name is Teerasit Songtis（宋天瑞／Trong），an IMBA student from National ChengChi University，Taiwan．I＇m conducting a survey for my Thesis project and would like to ask you a few questions about your satisfaction on the current mobile phone carrier and expectation toward the next generation，so called 4G LTE in Taiwan．

Filling the survey takes about 5 to 10 minutes．Please rest assured all information you provide will be kept in the strictest confidence and used for academia purpose only．All responses to this survey will be aggregated and your specific replies will not be singled out for identification．

Please kindly answer the following questions based on your current usage and your understanding．
http：／／goo．gl／zXizw
Each participant with a completed survey will be entitled to win lucky draw 7－11 gift voucher coupons worth 100 NT ．

It＇s highly appreciated if you could yourself filling the survey and forward to your friends and family in Taiwan．Thank you very much．

Thank you very much for participate the survey－The lucky draw winner will be contacted by e－mail and announced in my Facebook 。
http：／／goo．gl／IV4z1 ；https：／／www．facebook．com／teerasits

Best Regards，
Teerasit Songtis
NCCU IMBA Student

## 關於您現在手機的電信公司（Your current cell－phone carrier）

在這部分是想問一些使用上的經驗，關於您對於過去或現在的電信公司．
For this part of the survey，we would like to ask you some questions regarding your experience using the current cell－phone carrier．

A1）您現在是使用的哪家電信公司呢？（如果您有兩個以上的門號於不同的電信公司，請選一個您最常使用門號的電信公司）

Which cell－phone carrier do you use？（If you have more than one，please select the one you mostly use）
a）中華電信 ChungHua Telecom
b）台灣大哥大 Taiwan Mobile
c）遠傳電信 Far East Tone
d）威寶 Vibo
e）亞太電信 APPW
f） PHS
g）其他 Other

A2）您門號在這家電信公司使用幾年子？ $\qquad$年

How long have you been using this carrier？ $\qquad$

## A3）您是如何選擇門號要在哪家電信公司的呢？請選擇一項最貼近您的選項～

Which of following statement best describes how you feel when you decided to subscribe your current cell－phone carrier？
a）我聽朋友或家人說這家電信公司有最好的服務品質．I heard from my friends／ family that this carrier has best service quality
b）此電信公司的月租費最適合我．I subscribed this carrier because it offered the monthly plan that best suits me
c）因為這家公司有最便宜的購買手機搭配方案
I bought the mobile phone from this carrier because it had the cheapest mobile phone with package．
d）對我沒差，因為公司會幫我付電信費帳單．It was not my concern as my employer pay for the bill
e）因為我的另一半或家人在這家電信公司，所以如果我也在這家電信公司打給他們會比較便宜．My girlfriend／boyfriend／wife／husband／etc．had been using this carrier so it would be cheaper to call them if I use the same carrier
f）其他，請說明 $\qquad$ Other，please specify $\qquad$

A4）在過去的三個月，您的電話費大約每個月多少錢呢？
In the past 3 months，approximately how much do you pay on your cell phone bill per month in total？

1）手機電話費一個月大約多少錢呢？approximately how much do you pay in total？ $\qquad$
2）一般語音電話\＆視訊電話費每個月大約多少錢？ $\qquad$ Voice call \＆Video Call $\qquad$
3）手機 3G上網的網路費每個月大約多少錢？ $\qquad$ Data／Internet service $\qquad$
4）簡訊 \＆多媒體簡訊費每個月大約多少錢？ $\qquad$ SMS\＆MMS

5）預付卡（Prepaid）還是 一般用租費（Post Paid）
不記得
199 元以下
200～399 元
400～599 元
600～799元
800～999 元
1000～1199元
1200～1399 元
1400～1599 元

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1600 \sim 1799 \text { 元 } \\
& 1800 \sim 1999 \text { 元 } \\
& 2000 \text { 元以上 }
\end{aligned}
$$

A5）請用 1 到 5 分選出下列這些基本的服務對您的重要程度， 1 表示一點都不重要， 5 表示非常重要．

Please rate the following basic services in terms of importance to you［5 scale］
Not important at all．．．．．．．．．Extremely Important
1）一般語音電話 Voice call
2）手機 3G 上網 Data／Internet service
3）視訊電話 Video call
4）簡訊 SMS
5）多媒體簡訊 MMS

A6）請用 1 到 5 分選出下列服務對您的重要程度， 1 表示一點都不重要， 5 表示非常重要。
Please rate the following attributes in terms of importance to you［5 scale］
Not important at all．．．．．．．．Extremely Important
1）電信公司收訊涵蓋範圍 Network coverage
2）語音電話的品質 Voice call quality
3）資料／網路品質和速度 Data／Internet service quality and speed
4）視訊電話的品質 Video call quality
5）可靠的傳送簡訊或多媒體簡訊 Reliability of SMS \＆MMS
6）有助益的客服服務 Helpful customer service and support
7）加值服務（如語音信箱，來電鈴聲．．．等．）Value－added services（e．g．Voicemail service， Selective ring back tone，etc．）

8）針對忠誠度高的客戶方案（如百貨公司停車一小時免費，生日禮物，針對 VIP 客戶的個人及時語音秘書服務）Customer loyalty program（e．g．1hr Free Parking in Department Store，Birthday Gift，Personal assistant hotline for VIP customer，etc．）

9）有適當的手機可供選擇 Choices of available handsets
10）綁約享有買手機空機的折扣 Discounted price handsets with contract
11）適合您的月租費 費率 Rate plan／Monthly subscription fee
12）其他，請詳述＿＿Other，please specify $\qquad$

A7）針對您現有的電信公司來說，您對下列服務是滿意度如何，請用 1～5分表示．（1表非常不滿意； 5 表非常滿意）

Are you satisfied with the following services of your current cell－phone carrier？［5 scale］
Very Dissatisfied． $\qquad$ Very Satisfied
1）電信公司收訊涵蓋範圍 Network coverage
2）語音電話的品質 Voice call quality
3）資料／網路品質和速度 Data／Internet service quality and speed
4）視訊電話的品質 Video call quality
5）可靠的傳送簡訊或多媒體簡訊 Reliability of SMS \＆MMS
6）有助益的客服服務 Helpful customer service and support
7）加值服務（如語音信箱，來電鈴聲．．．等．）Value－added services（e．g．Voicemail service，Selective ring back tone，etc．）
8）針對忠誠度高的客戶方案（如百貨公司停車一小時免費，生日禮物，針對 VIP 客戶的個人及時語音秘書服務）Customer loyalty program（e．g．1hr Free Parking in Department Store，Birthday Gift，Personal assistant hotline for VIP customer，etc．）
9）有適當的手機可供選擇 Choices of available handsets
10）綁約享有買手機空機的折扣 Discounted price handsets with contract
11）適合您的月租費 費率 Rate plan／Monthly subscription fee
12）其他，請詳述＿Other，please specify $\qquad$

A8）您是否願意多付一點月租費來得到下列比較好的服務，依照您的願意程度 $1 \sim 5$ 分來選出來． 1 表示非常不願意， 5 表示非常願意．

Are you willing to pay a bit more for the better service of．．．［5 scale］

1）電信公司收訊涵蓋範圍 Network coverage
2）語音電話的品質 Voice call quality
3）資料／網路品質和速度 Data／Internet service quality and speed
4）視訊電話的品質 Video call quality
5）可靠的傳送簡訊或多媒體簡訊 Reliability of SMS \＆MMS
6）有助益的客服服務 Helpful customer service and support
7）加值服務（如語音信箱，來電鈴聲．．．等．）Value－added services（e．g．Voicemail service， Selective ring back tone，etc．）
8）針對忠誠度高的客戶方案（如百貨公司停車一小時免費，生日禮物，針對 VIP 客戶的個人及時語音秘書服務）Customer loyalty program（e．g．1hr Free Parking in

Department Store，Birthday Gift，Personal assistant hotline for VIP customer，etc．）
9）有適當的手機可供選擇 Choices of available handsets
10）綁約享有買手機空機的折扣 Discounted price handsets with contract
11）適合您的月租費 費率 Rate plan／Monthly subscription fee
12）其他，請詳述 Other，please specify

A9）正常一個禮拜來說，您平均花多少時間來使用您手機上的應用程式（app）或瀏覽網路呢？

How many hours in a typical week do you actively spend using your phone to access any app or to surf the Internet？
a）一個小時或少於一個小時 1 hour or less
b）1～3 小時
c） $3 \sim 6$ 小時
d）6～9 小時
e）9～12 小時
f）12～18 小時
g）超過 18 小時

## A10）您上週有使用手機上網於下列的哪些活動呢？（可複選）

Last week while using your internet－enable mobile phone，please indicate the following type of activities you took part in．（Select all apply）
a）下載手機應用程式（app）Downloaded a mobile application
b）讀線上的新聞 Read online news
c）用手機查看地圖，或使用定位的功能 Accessed maps and location－based services
d）查看電子郵件 Accessed email
e）使用社交網站 Accessed social media sites（e．g．Facebook，LinkedIn，etc．）
f）使用即時訊息軟體，如 WhatsApp，Line．．．Used instant messaging（e．g．WhatsApp， Line，etc．）
g）網路銀行 Internet banking
h）使用網路電話，如用手機 Skype．軟體通話．Made a telephone call VOIP（e．g．Skype）
i）線上博奕遊戲 Online gambling
j）線上一般遊戲 Online gaming
k）線上購物 Made a purchase online
1）下載或線上聽音樂 Downloaded，streamed or listened to music
m）下載或線上觀看短片 Downloaded，streamed or watched a video clip
n）下載或線上看電視／電影 Downloaded，streamed or watched a TV show or movie
o）觀看線上即時轉播的運動比賽，或比賽結果．Monitored a live sport or sports result
p）線上查看顧客對某項商品使用的意見 Read a consumer review online
q）線上尋找或研究某樣東西 Search or research for something
r）其他，請說明＿＿Others，please specify

## 您未來的電信商 Your future cell－phone carrier

這個部分的問卷是針對您對未來電信公司的期望．請根據您的了解來回答下列的問題，並暫時不去想您現在使用的是哪款手機．
4 G LTE 的服務很快就會在台灣推展開來。
4G LTE 是下個世代的電信技術的演進，當然能提供更快的網路服務，4G LTE 的手機網路速度也是現行 3 G 網路速度的五倍快．

This part of the survey is to gather your anticipation toward your future cell－phone carrier． Please answer the following questions according your understanding regardless your current handsets／devices．

4G LTE mobile broadband service will be available in Taiwan nationwide soon．
4G LTE is the next generation of telecom technology，which can deliver significantly faster， more consistent mobile broadband speeds．It can offer supper fast mobile internet at speeds typically FIVE times faster than 3 G speeds today．

With superfast 4G LTE mobile，customers will be able to：
－Access the web on the go without waiting
－Connect with your friends on social network quicker and easier
－Download high－definition movies in minutes
－Watch live TV on the move without buffering
－Play live multiplayer games on the go
－Download large email attachments quicker than ever
－Make crystal clear audio quality phone through Voice over LTE（Available in the near future）
－Make high quality video calls on the move
－Enjoy super－fast service on your computer，or your other peripheral by connecting through the 4G LTE handset．

## Rate Plan B

Monthly Fee： 888 NT
Data／Internet service：Free for 800 MByte ；If you use over 800 Mbyte，we will charge 0.00061 NT／Byte；Upper limited charge is 2，000 NT

Voice Call：Free 100 minutes；If you call over 100 minutes，we will charge $3.5 \mathrm{NT} /$ minutes Text message：Free 100 SMS

## Rate Plan C

Monthly Fee：1，888NT
Data／Internet service：Free for 2，000 MByte ；If you use over 2，000 MByte，we will charge 0.00041 NT／Byte；Upper limited charge is $2,200 \mathrm{NT}$ ）

Voice Call ：Unlimited for intra－network ；Free 100 minutes for inter－network；if you call over 100 minutes，we will charge 3.5 NT ／minutes for inter－network calls．

Text message：Free 500 SMS
這個部分的問卷是針對您對未來電信公司的期望。請根據您的了解來回答下列的問題，
並請暫時忽略您現在使用的是哪款手機。
4G LTE 的服務很快就會在台灣推展開來。
4G LTE 是下個世代的電信技術的演進，當然能提供更快的網路服務，4G LTE 的手機網路速度也是現行 3G 網路速度的五倍快。

有了超級快的 4G LTE 手機，您就可享有下列的服務：

- 免等待立即連上網路
- 透過社交網站聯絡您的朋友將更快且更方便
- 下載高解析精細畫質的電影只需幾分鐘
- 在您透過交通工具快速移動時，觀看線上即時轉播的節目不需等待。
- 立即可與多位好友連線玩線上遊戲。
- 下載電子郵件的附件將比以往更快。
- 在不久的將來透過 LTE 就可以有更清晰的電話語音品質。
- 在移動中仍能有高品質的視訊電話
- 您的電腦或其他裝置透過 4G LTE 的手機將可享有更快的網路服務。

月租費率方案 B
月租費： 888 NT
網路／數據服務：每個月享有 800 MB 免費，超過 800MB 部分，每 byte 收取 0.00061 元；最多只收取到 2000 元整。

語音電話：每個月享有 100 分鐘免費；超過 100 分鐘部分，每分鐘收取 3.5 NT簡訊：每個月享有 100 則免費 SMS

月租費率方案 C
月租費：1，888NT
網路／數據服務：每個月享有 2,000 MByte 免費；超過 2，000 MByte 部分，每 byte 收取 0.00041 元；最多只收取到 2,200 NT

語音電話：網內通話免費；網外通話每個月享有 100 分鐘免費，超過 100 分鐘部分，每分鐘收取 3.5 NT （網外通話）

簡訊：每個月享有 500 則免費 SMS

B1）您選擇 4G LTE 的意願度為何？請選擇下列最貼近您意願度的描述．
Which of the following statement best describes how you feel about subscribing for the 4G LTE service？

完全願意 1 Definitely would subscribe 1
很可能會吧 2 Probably would subscribe
不一定 3 Might or might not subscribe 3
可能不會吧 4 Probably would not subscribe 4
一定不會 5 Definitely would not subscribe 5

B2）根據您剛讀過對上述 4G LTE 的描述，請用 $1 \sim 5$ 分選出您最喜歡 4 G LTE 的原因， 1 表示最不喜歡， 5 表示最喜歡？？

Based on the description you just read，what do you think you would like most？Please rate the following items．

Don＇t like at all．．．．．Very much like
a）移動方便性 Mobility and convenient
b）更快的網路／數據 服務 Faster internet／data speed
c）高品質的視訊電話 Make high quality video calls capability
d）高品質的語音電話（語音部份透過 LTE 傳送）Make high quality voice calls capability（Voice over LTE）
e）月租費 Monthly rate plan

B3）根據您剛讀過對上述 4G LTE 描述的月租費率，你覺得每個月花這些錢取得的服務划算嗎？

Considering the price of the 4G LTE service as you just read，which statement best describes how you feel about the value for money of this service？
a）非常划算 Very Good Value
b）還算不錯 Fairly Good Value
c）勉強可以 Average Value
d）有點差 Somewhat Poor Value
e）非常差 Very Poor Value

B4）假設現在 4G LTE 已經到處可使用，下面哪個選項最貼近您的感覺？Assuming the 4G LTE service is available now，which of following statement best describes you feeling？
a）我想要成為第一個使用的人．I tend to be one of the first to use the service
b）我想等別人先使用，再聽聽別人的意見再說．I wait until others have used and listen to their comments
c）如果有我喜歡的 4G LTE 手機我才使用 4G LTE．I subscribe only when there is a 4G LTE mobile phone I like available
d）有促銷我才用．I subscribe only when there is a promotion
e）有特別的慶祝才開始使用．I subscribe only for a special celebration
f）其他，請說明＿＿Other，please specify $\qquad$

B5）假設現在 4G LTE 已經到處可使用，您會有多想要推薦讓他人知道呢？
Assuming the 4G LTE service is available now，how likely would you be to recommend it to others？
a）絕對值得推薦 Definitely Would Recommend
b）還算值得推薦 Probably Would Recommend
c）不一定會去推薦 Might or Might Not Recommend
d）大概不會去推薦別人 Probably Would Not Recommend
e）絕對不會去推薦別人 Definitely Would Not Recommend

B6）假設 4G LTE 相關的月租費率已公布讓您選擇，您會選擇下面哪一家電信公司？
Assuming the 4G LTE services offering similar rate plans are available from the following carriers，which one would you subscribe for？
a）中華電信 ChungHua Telecom
b）台灣大哥大 Taiwan Mobile
c）遠傳電信 Far EasTone
d）威寶電信 Vibo
e）亞太電信 APPW
f） PHS
g）全球一動 Global Mobile Corp
h）威麥斯電信 VMAX Telecom Co
i）遠傳大寬頻 WiMAX Far EasTone Telecommunication Co
j）大眾電信 First International Telecom Corp
k）大同電信 Tatung Info Comm Co
1）威達電信 Vee TIME Corp

B7）假設 4G LTE 無法提供服務於您的地區，您會選擇下列哪些服務取代呢？
Assuming the 4G LTE service is not available in your area，which service would you subscribe instead？
a）中華電信 3 G －ChungHua Telecom
b）台灣大哥大 3G－Taiwan Mobile
c）遠傳電信 3G－Far EasTone
d）威寶電信 $3 \mathrm{G}-\mathrm{Vibo}$
e）亞太電信 3 G －APPW
f）全球一動 WiMax－Global Mobile Corp．
g）威麥斯電信 WiMax－VMAX Telecom Co
h）遠傳大寬頻 WiMax－Far EasTone Telecom
i）大眾電信 WiMax－First International Telecom Corp
j）大同電信 WiMax－Tatung InfoComm Co
k）威達電信 WiMax－Vee Time Corp．
1）中華電信 ADSL－HiNet
m）凱擘數位電視第四台－Kbro Cable TV
n）其他

B8）假設現在 4G LTE 已經到處可使用，您打算多久開始使用此服務？
Assuming the 4G LTE service is available now，when do you plan to subscribe the service？
a）三個月內 Within 3 Months
b）六個月內 Within 6 Months
c）一年內 Within 1 Year
d）一年後 After 1 Year

B9）如果您選擇將來的電信公司，請用 1 到 10 分選出下列服務對您的重要程度， 1 表示一點都不重要， 5 表示非常重要．

Please rate the following attributes in terms of importance to you for your future cell－phone carrier－4G LTE［5 scale］

Not important at all．．．．．．．．．Extremely Important
1）電信公司收訊涵蓋範圍 Network coverage
2）語音電話的品質 Voice call quality
3）資料／網路品質和速度 Data／Internet service quality and speed
4）視訊電話的品質 Video call quality
5）可靠的傳送簡訊或多媒體簡訊 Reliability of SMS \＆MMS
6）有助益的客服服務 Helpful customer service and support
7）加值服務（如語音信箱，來電鈴聲．．．等．）Value－added services（e．g．Voicemail service， Selective ring back tone，etc．）

8）針對忠誠度高的客戶方案（如百貨公司停車一小時免費，生日禮物，針對 VIP 客戶的個人及時語音秘書服務）Customer loyalty program（e．g．1hr Free Parking in Department Store，Birthday Gift，Personal assistant hotline for VIP customer，etc．）
9）有適當的手機可供選擇 Choices of available handsets
10）綁約享有買手機空機的折扣 Discounted price handsets with contract
11）適合您的月租費 費率 Rate plan／Monthly subscription fee
12）其他，請詳述— Other，please specify $\qquad$

B9．12）如果您還有其他重要意見關於將來的電信公司，請詳述 $\qquad$
B9．12）If you have any other thing you think it＇s important for your future mobile－phone network，please specify $\qquad$

## Demographic

Now，I would like to ask few questions about you．

D1）您居住於哪個城市呢？
Which city do you live？
a）基隆市 KeeLung City
b）台北市 Taipei City
c）新北市 New Taipei City
d）桃園 TaoYuan County
e）新竹 HsinChu County
f）苗栗 MiaoLi County
g）台中 TaiChung City
h）彰化 ChangHua County
i）南投 NanTou County
j）雲林 YunLin County
k）嘉義 ChiaYi City
1）台南 TaiNan City
m）高雄 KaoHsiung City
n）屏東 PingTung County
o）台東 TaiTung County
p）花蓮 HuaLien County
q）宜蘭 ILan County
r）澎湖 PengHu County
s）金門 KinMen County
t）連江縣 LienChiang County

D2）您的國籍為何？

What＇s your nationality？
a）台灣 Taiwanese
b）其他 $\qquad$ Other $\qquad$

D3）您的性別？Please indicate your gender
a）男性 Male
b）女性 Female

D4）您大約的年齡 Please indicate your age：
a） 18 歲以下 18 and below
b）19－22
c）23－28
d） $29-35$
e） $36-45$
f）46－55
g）56－65
h） 66 歲以上 66 and above

D5）請問您的職業屬性？Please indicate your profession：
a）大學生 College student
b）碩士生／博士生 Graduate／PhD student
c）老師／教授／學術界 Teacher／Professor／Academia
d）公司職員／政府單位員工／白領階級 Office Staff／Government employee／ White collar worker
e）管理階層人員 Management executive
f）業務／財務人員／保險人員 Sales／Finance／Insurance professional
g）零售業 Retailer
h）藝術家／創意工作者 Artist／Creative professional
i）多媒體業／時尚產業／新聞工作者／公關人員 PR／Media／Fashion／Journalism professional
j）設計師／編輯／導演／出版業 Designer／Editor／Director／Publisher
k）司機／導遊／快遞 Driver／Tour guide／Courier
1）醫藥人員／律師 Medical and Pharmaceutical professional／Lawyer
m）商人 Trader
n）電腦軟體或硬體 工程師／網站開發設計人員 Computer and Software engineer／Web developer
o）技師／生產線工作者 Technician／Production Worker
p）SOHO 族 Self－employed／Home business
q）家庭主婦／家庭主夫 Home－maker／Butler
r）退休人員 Retiree
s）其他（請說明）Others（please specify）

D6）請問您每個月收入大約多少？Please indicate your monthly income range．
a）15，000 TWD 以下
b） 15,001 TWD $\sim 35,000$ TWD
c） $35,001 \mathrm{TWD} \sim 55,000 \mathrm{TWD}$
d） $55,001 \mathrm{TWD} \sim 75,000 \mathrm{TWD}$
e）75，001 TWD～100，000 TWD
f）100，001 TWD～150，000 TWD
g）150，001 TWD～200，000 TWD
h）200，000 TWD 以上

D7）您使用哪個牌子的手機呢？（如果您有超過一支手機，請選一支您最常用的那支手機） Which mobile phone do you use？If you have more than one，please specify the one you mostly use．
－牌子 Brand？
a）iPhone
b）三星 Samsung
c）宏達電 HTC
d）黑莓機 Black Berry
e）諾基亞 Nokia
f）索尼 Sony
g）其他，請註明＿＿Other，please specify
－款式 Model？ $\qquad$ （e．g．iPhone 4S，Galaxy Note）
－手機是否屬於智慧型手機？ $\qquad$ Smartphone？ $\qquad$

D8）您通常用什麼裝置上網呢？（可選擇你有使用的所有裝置）
What are the gadgets that you usually use to connect to the Internet？（Please select all items you use）
a）智慧型手機 Smartphone
b）平板電腦（e．g．iPad，EeePad，變形平板）Tablet（e．g．iPad，EeePad，Transformer）
c）桌上型電腦 Desktop computer
d）筆記型電腦 Laptop（including Ultrabook，Netbook）
e）可攜式多媒體裝置 Portable multimedia players（e．g．iPod，MP3 or MP4 players， PSP，Nintendo DS）
f）數位相機包含無線網路功能 Digital Camera with WiFi capability
g）電視（包含智慧型電視上網）TV（including smart TV）
h）遊戲機（PS3，Xbox，Wii）Game consoles（PS3，Xbox，Wii）
i）其他 $\qquad$ Other $\qquad$

D9）因為摸彩結果需要聯絡您，如果您可以留下您的 e－mail 再好也不過了，謝謝！I would appreciate if you could leave your contact email address so that I may contact you regarding the lucky draw．（Optional） $\qquad$

D10）有任何意見可提供給我做參考的嗎？Please provide any comments you might have （Optional）

Thank you very much for participate the survey．The lucky draw winner will be contacted by e－mail and announced in my Facebook．


