

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Correlation between clinical manifestations of nocturnal enuresis and attentional performance in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD)

Teng-Kai Yang ^{a,†}, Kuo-How Huang ^{b,†}, Shyh-Chyan Chen ^b, Hong-Chiang Chang ^b, Hung-Ju Yang ^a, Ya-Jun Guo ^{c,d,*}

^a Division of Urology, Department of Surgery, Cardinal Tien Hospital, Yong he Branch, New Taipei City, Taiwan

^b Department of Urology, National Taiwan University Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan

^c Department of Psychiatrics, Taipei City Hospital, Heping Fuyou Branch, Taipei, Taiwan

^d Institute of Neuroscience, National Chengchi University, Taipei, Taiwan

Received 4 July 2011; received in revised form 14 January 2012; accepted 16 January 2012

KEYWORDS

attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD); nocturnal enuresis; voiding dysfunction *Background/Purpose:* Children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) tend to be more vulnerable to various forms of voiding dysfunction and nocturnal enuresis (NE). We attempt to compare the clinical manifestations and attentional performance between ADHD children with NE and those without NE.

Methods: We consecutively enrolled children diagnosed with ADHD in child and adolescent psychiatric clinics. The questionnaires for evaluation of ADHD symptoms and voiding dysfunction symptoms were administered to all study participants. All participants also received the Test Battery for Attention Performance (TAP) for assessment of attentional function. *Results:* A total of 53 children were enrolled in this study, comprising 47 boys and six girls. The

prevalence rate of NE was 28.3%. Children in the NE group had statistically significant higher dysfunctional voiding symptom score (5.40 \pm 3.66 vs.3.16 \pm 2.74; p = 0.018) and two subscales of "When I wet myself, my underwear is soaked" (p < 0.001) and "I miss having a bowel movement every day" (p = 0.047). There were no significant differences with regard to all psychiatric evaluations between the NE and non-NE groups. In the TAP test, the NE group showed a significantly shorter reaction time in the domain of inhibitory control, working memory, and auditory sustained attention than the non-NE group.

* Corresponding author. Department of Psychiatrics, Taipei City Hospital, Heping Fuyou Branch, No. 33, Section 2, Zhonghua Road, Zhongzheng District, Taipei City 100, Taiwan.

E-mail address: guogina@hotmail.com (Y.-J. Guo).

[†] These authors contributed equally to this work.

0929-6646/\$ - see front matter Copyright © 2012, Elsevier Taiwan LLC & Formosan Medical Association. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.jfma.2012.01.019

Conclusion: Children with ADHD have a high prevalence of NE. ADHD children with NE had a significantly higher dysfunctional voiding symptom score and shorter reaction time in most domains of the TAP test. Further study is needed to discern the impact of NE on the neurop-sychological function of ADHD children.

Copyright © 2012, Elsevier Taiwan LLC & Formosan Medical Association. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) is a common problem in child and adolescent psychiatric clinics.¹ It represents a syndromic diagnosis with three symptom domains: inattention, hyperactivity, and impulsivity.² Although there are effective treatments for ADHD, no established objective "test" can conclusively diagnose ADHD.³ Test Battery for Attention Performance (TAP) is a neuropsychological testing used for the evaluation of inhibitory control and attentional performance in children with ADHD.^{4,5}

The association between nocturnal enuresis (NE) and ADHD has been investigated in several previous studies.^{6–11} Children with ADHD tend to be more vulnerable to various forms of urinary problems, such as NE, voiding dysfunction (VD), and diurnal incontinence.^{9–13} The etiology of NE has been reported to be associated with neurological maturation. Previous studies have demonstrated that children with NE had higher incidence of delayed language and gross motor development.¹⁴ However, surveys with neuropsychological attention tests in ADHD children with NE are lacking. Therefore, we conducted our study using TAP to evaluate neuropsychological function and attentional performance in children with ADHD. Moreover, our aim was to investigate the correlation between NE and attentional performance in children with ADHD.

Materials and methods

After obtaining approval from the institutional research ethics committee, informed consent was obtained from each participant before any evaluations or sample collections were conducted. Between January 2005 and December 2007, we consecutively enrolled 53 children, aged 6 to 10 years, diagnosed as having ADHD who first sought medical help without previous medications in the Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Clinics of Taipei Municipal Hospital. The diagnosis of ADHD was confirmed by experienced child and adolescent psychiatrist according to Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th Edition criteria. Children with other mental disorders such as mental retardation, pervasive developmental disorder and psychosis, and organic brain diseases such as seizure were excluded from the study. Details on demographic data, perinatal history, medical history, the presence of sleep disorder, and developmental history were collected from parents or medical records. Sleep disorders including obstructive sleep apnea, nightmares, and sleepwalking were recorded and compared between the two groups.

The psychiatric interviews of these children were obtained from their parents using the validated, Chinese version of the Kiddi Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia-Epidemiologic version (K-SADS-E).¹⁵ Data on behavior problems such as ADHD, oppositional defiant disorder symptoms, conduct disorder, depression, mania, and anxiety disorders were also collected. The IQ of all study participants was assessed using the Chinese version of Wechsler intelligence Scale for Children,¹⁶ which was conducted with the assistance of an experienced child psychologist. NE was defined as nighttime wetting with or without daytime incontinence, at least twice a week over a period of 3 months or longer, but without anatomical abnormalities. The evaluation of NE and VD was examined by urinalysis, renal echo, and structured questionnaire of NE and dysfunctional voiding symptom score (DVSS) questionnaire, Chinese-language version, which has been validated for quantification of voiding symptoms in children.^{17,18} The DVSS consists of 10 questions that assess daytime incontinence, NE, constipation, urgency, voiding frequency, and dysuria, each was scored from 0 to 3 (0 = almost never, 1 = less than half the time, 2 = abouthalf the time, 3 = almost every time) for a maximum total score of 30 (most severe symptoms). An additional 11th guestion assesses recent stressful events within the family. Scores for the NE and non-NE groups were compared for each subscale and in aggregate. The diagnosis of VD was based on DVSS with a threshold score of 6 for females and 9 for males, and abnormal uroflowmetry curve shapes during micturition.

Each of the questionnaires used in this study was explained to the parent(s) of the participants and handed to them for completion. Participants ordinarily participated with their parents in responding to the questionnaires.

Test of attentional performance test

TAP test is a computer-driven test battery for the assessment of attentional function and inhibitory control.^{4,5} All participants completed the TAP test in the morning with the assistance of a well-trained personnel. TAP test is a valid tool for the clinical assessment of attention function of children. Our study adopted the following five components:

(1) Alertness

There are two conditions in this test. For condition A, the children were instructed to press a button as fast as possible when a cross appeared (\times). Under condition B, the children heard an arousal signal (60 dBA) first, then they were to press a button when a cross (\times) appears.

(2) Auditory sustained attention

Two kinds of auditory stimulations (440 and 1000 Hz; 60 db) appeared randomly. The children were instructed to press the button when two |S1|signals were the same.

(3) Visual sustained attention

There are 16 points on the screen that form a square. Four asterisks appear in four of the 16 positions. The children were instructed to press the button when these four asterisks form a square.

(4) Inhibitory control (go/no-go task)

An upright cross and a cross rotated are presented sequentially in a pseudorandom order. Children are instructed to press the button when the upright cross appears (go). When a cross rotated 45° appears on the screen, the children should not press the button (no go). Reaction time, omission, and false alarm were recorded.

(5) Working memory

In order to evaluate working memory, numbers in pseudorandom order appeared in the middle of the screen. If a sequential number was the same, children were asked to press the button. Reaction time, omission, and false alarm were recorded.

Statistical analysis

Mean values of continuous variables were compared using an independent sample *t*-test. The chi-square analyses were performed to compare differences between categorized variables. All analyses were performed with Statistical Package for the Social Sciences software (SPSS 13th ed., Chicago, IL). A *p* value of less than 0.05 was regarded as significant.

Regarding sample size determination, based on some published series,^{17,18} we set the cutoff point of DVSS difference as 3, within-group standard deviation as 3, alpha level 0.05, and power value of 0.8, and the estimated sample size as 16 in each group.

Results

A consecutive series of 53 children with ADHD aged 6 to 10 years were enrolled in the study. The demographic data of the 53 participants are listed in Table 1. The prevalence rate of NE in 53 children with ADHD was 28.3% (15/53). All demographic parameters were similar between the two groups. Table 2 demonstrates the results of DVSS and each subscale in the two groups. There are five children with VD in the NE group and four in the non-NE group (33% vs. 10.5%; p = 0.046). The ADHD children with NE had statistically significant higher total DVSS scores (5.40 ± 3.66 vs.3.16 ± 2.74; p = 0.018). In addition, children tin he NE group tended to have higher scores in the "When I wet myself, my underwear is soaked" and "I miss having a bowel

movement every day" subscales of DVSS (p < 0.001 and p = 0.047).

Table 3 lists the clinical characteristics of all 53 participants. There were no significant differences in terms of K-SADS-E Symptom Profile, type of ADHD, type of comorbid psychiatric diagnosis, motor or language developmental delay, sleep disorders, and IQ score between the NE and non-NE groups.

Table 4 shows the results of the TAP test in all study participants. There were no significant differences between the NE and non-NE groups in the domain of alertness. However, in the domain of inhibitory control (go/ no-go task), ADHD children with NE showed a significantly shorter reaction time than those without NE (461 \pm 134vs. 534 \pm 82.2 seconds; p = 0.023). Similarly, ADHD children with NE had a shorter reaction time than those without NE in the tests of working memory (757 \pm 200 vs. 917 \pm 226 seconds; p = 0.022) and auditory sustained attention (640 \pm 104 vs. 718 \pm 105 seconds; p = 0.02).

Discussion

ADHD is a childhood psychiatric disorder and affects around 3-7% of the childhood population.^{1,19,20} Children with ADHD tend to be more vulnerable to various forms of NE and VD.^{10–13} The prevalence rate of enuresis in children with ADHD was reported as 12.5% in a national survey in the United States¹⁴ and 32% in another series.¹² In the present study, the prevalence rate of NE in patients with ADHD was high (28.3%). Since participants with ADHD in child and adolescent psychiatric clinics were mostly referred by pediatricians or general practitioners from local hospitals, their ADHD symptoms might be more severe. Second, the participants in this study were younger and had more severe symptoms of ADHD. These different characteristics might explain the higher prevalence of NE in our study sample compared to that of other studies.

Why do ADHD children have higher incidence of NE and VD? The exact pathogenesis is still not clear at this time. It has been suggested that voiding disturbances, particularly NE, in children with ADHD are due to nocturnal polyuria and detrusor overactivity.²¹ Some investigators suggested that the delays in central nervous system maturation could account for this association between enuresis and ADHD.^{22–25} Several previous studies have suggested that primary NE happens because a child with ADHD is less able to wake up when the urinary bladder is full or be awake enough to get up and go to the toilet when the urge to urinate is present.^{11,24–26}

Elia et al¹¹ conducted a study to clarify NE as an endophenotype marker in 344 ADHD children ages 6 to 12 years. The enuresis group had a higher likelihood of inattentive symptoms than the nonenuretic group. Night wakings and the ability of children to wake themselves up in the morning were both significantly decreased in children with enuresis compared with children in the control group in the Child Sleep Habits Questionnaire Night Wakings subscale. The authors concluded that deficits in arousal may contribute to both enuresis and inattentive ADHD. NE may be a useful clinical marker in identifying a subgroup of the inattentive phenotype in ADHD genetic studies.¹¹ In the

	NE (<i>n</i> = 15)	Non-NE ($n = 38$)	р
Age (y)	7.53 ± 1.06	7.26 ± 1.03	0.40
Paternal age (y)	$\textbf{40.4} \pm \textbf{5.88}$	$\textbf{40.7} \pm \textbf{4.61}$	0.87
Maternal age (y)	$\textbf{36.7} \pm \textbf{5.20}$	$\textbf{38.0} \pm \textbf{4.51}$	0.39
Birth weight (g)	3126 ± 517	$\textbf{3054} \pm \textbf{554}$	0.67
Gender, F/M (%)	0/15 (0/100)	6/32 (15.8/84.2)	0.10
Gestational age (%)			
Full term	10 (66.7)	34 (89.4)	0.08
Premature (<3 wk)	4 (26.7)	2 (5.3)	
Post-term (>40 wk)	1 (6.7)	2 (5.3)	
Maternal education (%)			
College	4 (26.7)	9 (23.7)	0.92
High school	9 (60)	25 (65.8)	
Elementary school	2 (13.3)	4 (10.5)	
Household incomes (%)			
Low	1 (6.7)	4 (10.5)	0.74
Middle	10 (66.7)	21 (55.3)	
High	4 (26.7)	13 (34.2)	
Marital status (%)			
Intact marriage	12 (80)	33 (86.8)	0.16
Separated	0 (0)	3 (7.9)	
Divorced	3 (20)	2 (5.3)	
Patient with siblings	10 (66.7)	31 (81.6)	0.24
Type of delivery (%)			
Normal spontaneous delivery	8 (53.3)	24 (63.2)	0.51
Cesarean section	7 (46.7)	14 (36.8)	
Perinatal insult (%)	5 (33.3)	10 (26.3)	0.61
Newborn jaundice (%)	7 (46.7)	16 (31.6)	0.76

Household incomes: low mean monthly incomes < NT\$30,000; moderate mean incomes between NT\$30,000 and 75,000; high means incomes \geq NT\$75,000.

Numerical data are expressed as mean \pm SD and compared with *t*-test.

Categorical data are expressed as number (percentage) and compared with Chi-square test.

Table 2 DVSS score of study participants (53 ADHD children) stratified by the presence of nocturnal enurged	Table 2	DVSS score of study	participants ((53 ADHD chil	dren) stratified b	v the p	presence of nocturnal enuresi
---	---------	---------------------	----------------	---------------	--------------------	---------	-------------------------------

	NE (n = 15)	Non-NE ($n = 38$)	р
	Mean \pm SD	Mean \pm SD	
Total DVSS	$\textbf{5.40} \pm \textbf{3.66}$	$\textbf{3.16} \pm \textbf{2.74}$	0.018
Subscale			
Wet underwear	$\textbf{0.07} \pm \textbf{0.26}$	0.00	0.33
Soak underwear	$\textbf{1.13} \pm \textbf{0.64}$	$\textbf{0.03} \pm \textbf{0.16}$	<0.001
No daily bowel movement	$\textbf{0.33} \pm \textbf{0.49}$	$\textbf{0.11} \pm \textbf{0.31}$	0.047
Push to have bowel movement	$\textbf{0.73} \pm \textbf{0.88}$	$\textbf{0.58} \pm \textbf{0.72}$	0.51
Pee 1–2 times/day	$\textbf{0.33} \pm \textbf{0.49}$	$\textbf{0.45} \pm \textbf{0.83}$	0.62
Hold pee	$\textbf{0.60} \pm \textbf{0.83}$	$\textbf{0.58} \pm \textbf{1.00}$	0.94
Can't wait	$\textbf{0.07} \pm \textbf{0.26}$	0.00	0.33
Push to pee	$\textbf{0.93} \pm \textbf{0.88}$	$\textbf{0.55} \pm \textbf{0.76}$	0.12
Hurt when pee	0.00	0.00	—
Stressful events	$\textbf{1.20} \pm \textbf{1.52}$	$\textbf{0.87} \pm \textbf{1.38}$	0.45
Voiding dysfunction (DVSS \geq 6 in girls or DVSS \geq 9 in boys)	5 (33.3)	4 (10.5)	0.046

Numerical data are expressed as mean and SD and compared with *t*-test.

Categorical data are expressed as number (percentage) and compared with Chi-square test. DVSS = dysfunctional voiding symptom score; SD = standard deviation.

 Table 3
 Clinical characteristics (based on psychiatric evaluation) of 53 ADHD children stratified by the presence of nocturnal enuresis.

	NE (n = 15)	Non-NE ($n = 38$)	р
K-SADS-E Symptom Profile			
Inattention	$\textbf{6.07} \pm \textbf{1.44}$	$\textbf{5.55} \pm \textbf{1.98}$	0.37
Hyperactivity	$\textbf{2.53} \pm \textbf{2.13}$	$\textbf{2.71} \pm \textbf{1.99}$	0.78
Impulsivity	$\textbf{1.20} \pm \textbf{0.94}$	$\textbf{1.13} \pm \textbf{0.96}$	0.82
Type of ADHD			
Combined	1 (6.7)	10 (26.3)	0.28
Inattentive	11 (73.3)	22 (57.9)	
Hyperactivity/impulsivity	3 (20)	6 (15.8)	
Type of comorbid psychiatric diagnosis			
Opposional defined disorder	4 (26.7)	10 (26.3)	0.98
Conduct disorder	1 (6.7)	1 (2.6)	0.49
Depression	0 (0)	2 (5.3)	0.37
Mania	1 (6.7)	1 (2.6)	0.49
Phobia	1 (6.7)	6 (15.8)	0.38
Patient with sleep disorder	4 (26.7)	4 (10.5)	0.14
Patient with motor developmental delay	1 (6.7)	5 (13.2)	0.50
Patient with language developmental delay	3 (20.0)	4 (10.5)	0.36
IQ			
Verbal IQ	95.9 ± 11.8	92.8 ± 13.7	0.53
Performance IQ	97.6 ± 9.31	98.1 ± 16.0	0.92
Full IQ	$\textbf{97.6} \pm \textbf{11.6}$	$\textbf{94.5} \pm \textbf{14.3}$	0.51

Numerical data are expressed as mean \pm SD and compared with *t*-test.

Categorical data are expressed as number (percentage) and compared with Chi-square test.

ADHD = attention deficit hyperactivity disorder; K-SADS-E = Kiddi Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia-Epidemiologic version SD = standard deviation.

	NE (<i>n</i> = 15)	Non-NE ($n = 38$)	р
Alertness			
Reaction time without warning (s)	$\textbf{375} \pm \textbf{142}$	396 ± 108	0.56
Omission (no.)	$\textbf{0.20}\pm\textbf{0.56}$	$\textbf{0.44} \pm \textbf{0.86}$	0.33
Reaction time with warning (s)	$\textbf{324} \pm \textbf{104}$	$\textbf{371} \pm \textbf{84.9}$	0.11
Omission with warning (no.)	$\textbf{0.40} \pm \textbf{0.74}$	$\textbf{0.32} \pm \textbf{0.68}$	0.73
Inhibitory control (go/no-go task)			
Reaction time (s)	461 ± 134	534 ± 82.2	0.023
Omission (no.)	$\textbf{3.20}\pm\textbf{3.63}$	$\textbf{2.38} \pm \textbf{3.17}$	0.43
False alarm (no.)	$\textbf{7.27} \pm \textbf{3.99}$	$\textbf{6.12} \pm \textbf{3.98}$	0.36
Working memory			
Reaction time (s)	$\textbf{757} \pm \textbf{200}$	917 ± 226	0.022
Omission (no.)	$\textbf{0.33} \pm \textbf{0.49}$	$\textbf{0.71} \pm \textbf{0.94}$	0.15
False alarm (no.)	1.00 ± 3.61	$\textbf{1.50} \pm \textbf{3.96}$	0.68
Visual sustained attention			
Reaction time (s)	1211 \pm 159	1208 ± 175	0.95
Omission (no.)	$\textbf{4.47} \pm \textbf{2.72}$	$\textbf{5.35} \pm \textbf{3.23}$	0.36
False alarm (no.)	$\textbf{1.87} \pm \textbf{2.56}$	$\textbf{2.56} \pm \textbf{4.10}$	0.55
Auditory sustained attention			
Reaction time (s)	640 ± 104	718 ± 105	0.02
Omission (no.)	$\textbf{1.33} \pm \textbf{2.77}$	$\textbf{1.47} \pm \textbf{1.85}$	0.84
False alarm (no.)	$\textbf{0.87} \pm \textbf{1.77}$	$\textbf{1.38} \pm \textbf{2.24}$	0.44

 Table 4
 Results of TAP test in 53 ADHD children stratified by the presence of nocturnal enuresis.

Numerical data are expressed as mean \pm SD and compared with *t*-test.

Categorical data are expressed as number (percentage) and compared with Chi-square test.

ADHD = attention deficit hyperactivity disorder; TAP = Test of Attentional Performance.

present study, the inattentive K-SADS-E Symptom score was higher in the NE group, although not at a statistically significant level. More samples may be needed to clarify the correlation between NE and inattentive ADHD.

In their study, Bosson et al²⁴ suggested the presence or absence of boundary errors in both copy and memory reproductions by using a specific neuropsychological (Rey-Osterrieth) test that could reflect a delay in maturation and/or a disorganization of the retinal—hypothalamic cortical pathways in the brain of children with NE.²⁴ Similarly, we applied the TAP test to children with ADHD with or without NE to analyze the impact of NE on the clinical manifestations and attention performance. This is the first study to use a neuropsychological test to evaluate children with ADHD and NE.

In the present study, we evaluated the five different domains of TAP test: alertness, inhibition control, working memory impairment, and attentional deficit. There were no significant group differences on alertness. However, there was evidence of the reaction times in the other three domains being shorter in the NE group. The inaccurate rate in response in each domain was similar in the NE and non-NE groups. As well known, hyperactive children are variable in their speed, and are generally slow and inaccurate in responding. In the present study, ADHD patients with NE reacted faster on TAP tests, yielding a statistical significance for the go/no-go test, working memory, and auditory sustained attention. This pattern of responses may indicate the neuropsychological differences among ADHD patients with and without NE. In our previous study, we found that ADHD children had an increased rate of error in various kinds of attention domains in TAP test as compared to healthy participants (data not shown here). In the present study, the NE group has a similar accuracy with faster response. Since neurological-developmental delay has been mentioned to be the cause of NE,^{22,23} the results in the present study do not seem to be reasonable in consideration of this point. However, no previous study has focused on using attentional performance test in ADHD children with or without NE. In this pilot study, we just described the observational results based on a limited number of cases. Our results suggest a differential pattern of attentional functions in ADHD patients with NE and without NE. Further large-scale study is warranted to clarify the exact pathophysiology between NE and performance of TAP attention test in ADHD.

We also examined whether the VD symptoms were different between ADHD children with NE and those without NE. The DVSS has been validated and is commonly used to evaluate lower urinary tract symptoms such as urinary urgency or straining in children.¹⁸ In the present study, ADHD children with NE had a significantly higher DVSS score. Further investigations for other comorbid voiding problems by using other diagnostic tools such as urodynamic study may be necessary.

In terms of mean scores of all DVSS subscales, the subscale of item "When I wet myself, my underwear is soaked" and item "I miss having a bowel movement every day" were significantly higher in the NE group. These symptoms can be very stressful for children. Thus, we recommend that ADHD patients with nocturia presenting in the clinic require careful evaluation for other symptoms of VD. An important limitation of this pilot study is the lack of healthy control patients. In addition, the number of participants in the NE group was limited. Furthermore, we could not provide information on the causal links between these conditions because of the cross-sectional nature of the study.

In conclusion, children with ADHD have a high prevalence of NE. Children with ADHD may benefit from a multidisciplinary approach.Simultaneous evaluation of VD and NE in children with ADHD is an important issue in clinical practice.This research represents a pilot study in preparation for a more comprehensive study of a larger cohort of children with ADHD. Further study is warranted to discern the impact of NE on the neuropsychological function of ADHD children.

Acknowledgments

The authors are indebted to the contribution of funding from the Cardinal Tien Hospital Yung Ho Branch, National Taiwan University Hospital Joint Research Program.

References

- Swanson JM, Sergeant JA, Taylor E, Sonuga-Barke EJ, Jensen PS, Cantwell DP. Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder and hyperkinetic disorder. *Lancet* 1998;351:429–33.
- 2. Owens J, Hoza B. Diagnostic utility of DSM-IV-TR symptoms in the prediction of DSM-IV-TR ADHD subtypes and ODD. J Atten Disord 2003;7:11–27.
- 3. Berlin L, Bohlin G, Rydell AM. Relations between inhibition, executive functioning, and ADHD symptoms: a longitudinal study from age 5 to 8(1/2) years. *Child Neuropsychol* 2003;9: 255–66.
- Koschack J, Kunert HJ, Derichs G, Weniger G, Irle E. Impaired and enhanced attentional function in children with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Psychol Med* 2003;33:481–9.
- Kuntsi J, Oosterlaan J, Stevenson J. Psychological mechanisms in hyperactivity: I. response inhibition deficit, working memory impairment, delay aversion, or something else? J Child Psychol Psychiatry 2001;42:199-210.
- Baeyens D, Roeyers H, Demeyere I, Verte S, Hoebeke P, Vande Walle J. Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) as a risk factor for persistent nocturnal enuresis in children: a two-year follow-up study. *Acta Paediatr* 2005;94:1619–25.
- 7. Baeyens D, Roeyers H, D'Haese L, Pieters F, Hoebeke P, Vande Walle J. The prevalence of ADHD in children with enuresis: comparison between a tertiary and non-tertiary care sample. *Acta Paediatr* 2006;**95**:347–52.
- Baeyens D, Roeyers H, Van Erdeghem S, Hoebeke P, Vande Walle J. The prevalence of attention deficit—hyperactivity disorder in children with nonmonosymptomatic nocturnal enuresis: a 4-year followup study. J Urol 2007;178:2616–20.
- Burgu B, Aydogdu O, Gurkan K, Uslu R, Soygur T. Lower urinary tract conditions in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: correlation of symptoms based on validated scoring systems. J Urol 2011;185:663–8.
- Duel BP, Steinberg-Epstein R, Hill M, Lerner M. A survey of voiding dysfunction in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Urol 2003;170:1521–3.
- Elia J, Takeda T, Deberardinis R, Burke J, Accardo J, Ambrosini PJ, et al. Nocturnal enuresis: a suggestive endophenotype marker for a subgroup of inattentive attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Pediatr 2009;155:239-44. e5.

- Robson WL, Jackson HP, Blackhurst D, Leung AK. Enuresis in children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. South Med J 1997;90:503–5.
- Shreeram S, He JP, Kalaydjian A, Brothers S, Merikangas KR. Prevalence of enuresis and its association with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder among U.S. children: results from a nationally representative study. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry 2009;48:35–41.
- Baeyens D, Roeyers H, Naert S, Hoebeke P, Vande Walle J. The impact of maturation of brainstem inhibition on enuresis: a startle eye blink modification study with 2-year followup. J Urol 2007;178:2621-5.
- Dias G, Mattos P, Coutinho G, Segenreich D, Saboya E, Ayrao V. Agreement rates between parent and self-report on past ADHD symptoms in an adult clinical sample. J Atten Disord 2008;12: 70–5.
- 16. Mayes SD, Calhoun SL. WISC-IV and WISC-III profiles in children with ADHD. J Atten Disord 2006;**9**:486–93.
- Bartkowski DP, Doubrava RG. Ability of a normal dysfunctional voiding symptom score to predict uroflowmetry and external urinary sphincter electromyography patterns in children. J Urol 2004;172:1980–5. discussion 5.
- Upadhyay J, Bolduc S, Bagli DJ, McLorie GA, Khoury AE, Farhat W. Use of the dysfunctional voiding symptom score to predict resolution of vesicoureteral reflux in children with voiding dysfunction. J Urol 2003;169:1842–6. discussion 6; author reply 6.

- Bhatia MS, Nigam VR, Bohra N, Malik SC. Attention deficit disorder with hyperactivity among paediatric outpatients. J Child Psychol Psychiatry 1991;32:297–306.
- 20. Mercugliano M. What is attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder? *Pediatr Clin North Am* 1999;46:831-43.
- Crimmins CR, Rathbun SR, Husmann DA. Management of urinary incontinence and nocturnal enuresis in attentiondeficit hyperactivity disorder. J Urol 2003;170:1347–50.
- 22. Baeyens D, Roeyers H, Hoebeke P, Antrop I, Mauel R, Walle JV. The impact of attention deficit hyperactivity disorders on brainstem dysfunction in nocturnal enuresis. *J Urol* 2006;**176**: 744–8.
- 23. Iscan A, Ozkul Y, Unal D, Soran M, Kati M, Bozlar S, et al. Abnormalities in event-related potential and brainstem auditory evoked response in children with nocturnal enuresis. *Brain Dev* 2002;24:681–7.
- Bosson S, Holland PC, Barrow S. A visual motor psychological test as a predictor to treatment in nocturnal enuresis. *Arch Dis Child* 2002;87:188-91.
- Butler RJ, Holland P, Gasson S, Norfolk S, Houghton L, Penney M. Exploring potential mechanisms in alarm treatment for primary nocturnal enuresis. Scand J Urol Nephrol 2007;41: 407–13.
- Chertin B, Koulikov D, Abu-Arafeh W, Mor Y, Shenfeld OZ, Farkas A. Treatment of nocturnal enuresis in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Urol 2007;178: 1744–7.